Dyslipidaemia, and Mean Blood Cholesterol and Triglycerides Levels in the Portuguese Population: a Systematic Review

Helena Carreira^{1,2}, Marta Pereira^{1,2}, Luís Alves^{1,2,3}, Nuno Lunet^{1,2}, Ana Azevedo^{1,2}

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Dyslipidaemia is a major risk factor for cardiovascular disease, the leading cause of death in Portugal. We aimed to critically summarize the evidence from studies that quantified the distribution of total cholesterol, cholesterol fractions and triglycerides, in order to estimate time trends in the Portuguese adult population. Methods: A systematic review was performed through Pubmed search up to January 2011. References' screening and data extraction were performed independently by two researchers and 28 eligible studies identified. Ecologic estimates of mean total cholesterol, LDL, HDL, and triglycerides were computed by linear regression, adjusting for participants' mean age, year of data collection and geographical coverage.

Results: In 2005, the mean total cholesterol at 50 years of age was 215 mg/dL [95% confidence interval (95%CI): 210 to 219] among women and 219 mg/dL (95%CI: 206 to 232) among men. Between 1985 and 2005, the mean adjusted variation in total cholesterol per calendar year was 0.4 mg/dL (95%CI: -0.3 to 1.2) among women and -0.1 mg/dL (95%CI: -0.6 to 0.4) among men. Data on LDL, HDL and triglycerides covered a much narrower period, precluding analysis of time trends. In 2001 the adjusted mean levels of LDL, HDL and triglycerides were 132 mg/dL, 59 mg/dL and 111 mg/dL, respectively, among women, and 132 mg/dL, 49 mg/dL and 150 mg/dL, respectively, among men.

Conclusions: Estimated mean cholesterol, respective fractions, and prevalence of dyslipidaemia suggest a high proportion of high-risk subjects in the Portuguese population. Between 1985 and 2005, mean total cholesterol did not vary significantly.

KEY-WORDS: CHOLESTEROL, CHOLESTEROL, LDL; CHOLESTEROL, HDL; DYSLIPIDAEMIA; PREVALENCE; TRIGLYCERIDES

DISLIPIDEMIA, E NÍVEIS MÉDIOS DE COLESTEROL E TRIGLICERÍDEOS NA POPULAÇÃO PORTUGUESA: REVISÃO SISTEMÁTICA DA LITERATURA

RESUMO

Introdução: A dislipidemia é um importante factor de risco para as doenças cardiovasculares, a principal causa de morte em Portugal. O objectivo deste estudo foi descrever tendências temporais de colesterol total, LDL, HDL e triglicerídeos na população adulta Portuguesa.

Métodos: Efectuou-se uma revisão sistemática da literatura, utilizando a base de dados Pubmed, até Janeiro de 2011. A selecção dos estudos e a extracção dos dados foram realizadas de forma independente por dois investigadores, identificando-se 28 estudos elegíveis. Obtiveram-se estimativas ecológicas de colesterol total, LDL, HDL, e triglicerídeos, ajustadas para a idade, ano de recolha de dados e cobertura geográfica, através de modelos de regressão linear.

Resultados: Em 2005, o colesterol total médio aos 50 anos de idade foi 215 mg/dL [intervalo de confiança a 95% (IC95%): 210 a 219] nas mulheres e 219 mg/dL (IC95%: 206 a 232) nos homens. Entre 1985 e 2005, o colesterol total médio nos homens variou 0,4 mg/dL (IC95%: -0,3 a 1,2) por cada ano, e nas mulheres -0,1 mg/dL por ano (IC95%: -0,6 a 0,4). Os dados de colesterol LDL, HDL, e triglicerídeos cobriram um curto período, impossibilitando a análise de tendências temporais. Em 2001, o colesterol LDL, HDL, e triglicerídeos médios foram 132 mg/dL, 59 mg/dL, e 111 mg/dL, respectivamente, nas mulheres, e 132 mg/dL, 49 mg/dL e 150 mg/dL, respectivamente, nos homens.

Conclusões: Os níveis de colesterol e de prevalência de dislipidemia sugerem uma elevada proporção de indivíduos em alto risco na população Portuguesa. Entre 1985 e 2005, o colesterol total não variou significativamente.

PALAVRAS-CHAVE: COLESTEROL HDL; COLESTEROL LDL; DISLIPIDEMIA; PREVALÊNCIA; TRIGLICERÍDEOS

1. Department of Clinical Epidemiology, Predictive Medicine and Public Health of the University of Porto Medical School

2. Institute of Public Health of the University of Porto

3.Santo André de Canidelo Health Family Unit, Vila Nova de Gaia, Portugal

INTRODUCTION

Due to its close relation with atherosclerosis, dyslipidaemia increases the risk of ischemic heart disease,¹ ischemic stroke and other vascular diseases.² It is estimated to be responsible for 56% of global ischemic heart disease,² 4.4 million deaths overall (7.9% of the total) and 40.4 million of disability-adjusted life years (2.8% of the total).²

In Portugal, cardiovascular diseases are the leading cause of death³ but mortality by both cerebrovascular and coronary heart disease decreased markedly since the 1980s.⁴ The declining trends in coronary heart disease mortality in many developed countries have been associated with changes in risk factors prevalence and pharmacological and surgical treatments.^{5,6} In the last decades, blood total cholesterol levels decreased in many high-income countries,⁷ contributing to an estimated fraction of the decline in coronary heart disease mortality ranging from 10% to 37%.^{5,8} However, there are no reliable data on time trends of

blood cholesterol or its fractions in Portugal.

An accurate understanding of the burden of dyslipidaemia in Portugal requires the use of reliable and robust data on blood total cholesterol, triglycerides and other lipid fractions, including both central tendency measures in the general population and the prevalence of high-risk levels. Recently, two national surveys that assessed the distribution of cardiovascular risk factors reported data on dyslipidaemia in the Portuguese population,9,10 based on self-reported information9 or on data from clinical records of attendants to primary health care centers.¹⁰ There is a single national survey of the Portuguese general population based on biochemical measurements of fasting blood lipids, conducted in 2001.11 The absence of large national studies justifies the utilization of smaller studies reporting data on blood lipids fractions across Portuguese populations, in specific age-groups and different years of data collection. A systematic review may allow their identification and description in a standardized format, considering the methodological

aspects from each study that may compromise their internal and external validity.

Therefore, we conducted a comprehensive systematic review to critically summarize the evidence from studies that quantified the distribution of blood lipids and its fractions, as well as triglycerides. We aimed to estimate the sex-specific mean levels of blood lipids and prevalence of dyslipidaemia, and their time trends in the Portuguese adult population.

METHODS

SYSTEMATIC REVIEW AND SEARCH STRATEGY

The present systematic review was conducted as part of a more comprehensive review that addressed the distribution of six major cardiovascular risk factors (hypertension,¹² obesity,¹³ dyslipidaemia, smoking, diabetes mellitus, and physical inactivity) in Portuguese adults. A Pubmed search was conducted in January 2011 (the search expression is provided in **Figure 1**). The reference lists of review articles addressing the distribution of cardiovascular risk factors were screened to identify potentially eligible original reports. The current analysis only considers studies with data on mean blood lipids, dyslipidaemia and/or alterations of serum lipid fractions.

ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA AND SCREENING OF REFERENCE LISTS

Two reviewers independently evaluated the studies in three consecutive steps, following predefined criteria, to determine the eligibility of each report. The first two steps relied on the same criteria. In step 1 the exclusion of irrelevant studies was decided by considering only the title and abstract; when the abstract of a particular article was not available, the article was selected for evaluation in step 2, except when the title unequivocally presented information for exclusion (*e.g.* case report, studies of risk factors in a specified population). The full texts of studies selected for step 2 were then evaluated to decide on their eligibility and availability of relevant data. The studies selected

FIGURE 1 - Systematic review flowchart.

2958 publications

(2887 identified through Pubmed search and 71 from bibliographic references of reviews)

Search expression:

[humans[MeSH Terms] AND (Portugal[ad] OR portugal OR acta med port OR rev port cardiol OR rev port cir cardiotorac vasc OR rev port pneumol OR acta reumatol port OR lisbaa[ad] OR lisbon[ad] OR (porto[ad] NOT (brasil[ad] OR brazil[ad])) OR coimbra[ad] OR braza[ad] OR coviha[ad]) AND ((hypertension OR "high blood pressure" OR "blood pressure" OR systolic OR diastolic) OR (obes* OR "body mass index" OR bin OR overweight) OR (cholesterol OR triglycerides OR HDL OR LDL OR dyslipidemia) OR (smoking OR smoke OR tobacco OR cigarette) OR (diabetes OR glycemia OR hyperglycemia OR "impaired fasting glucose" OR IFG OR "impaired glucose tolerance") OR ("physical activity" OR "leisure activities" OR motor activity[mh] OR sedentariness OR exercise)]]



for step 3 were re-evaluated to determine their adequacy for data extraction of relevant data.

The criteria for exclusion of studies were the following: reports not written in Portuguese, English, Spanish, French or Italian; studies not involving humans (e.g. in vitro or animal research); editorials, reviews or comments; reports not providing data specifically for Portuguese subjects; studies not evaluating adult populations; studies evaluating samples of participants not expected to represent the general population regarding the frequency of the cardiovascular risk factors under study (e.g. subjects with diabetes, athletes, sedentary elderly); not presenting data on blood cholesterol, triglycerides or dyslipidaemia; insufficient characterization of the methods (e.g. not specifying the region where the sample was assembled, not describing the data collection procedures).

The decisions taken independently by the two reviewers in all steps were compared and the disagreements were resolved by consensus or after discussion with a third researcher. The agreement between the reviewers was 73.0%, 81.7% and 82.0%, in step 1, step 2 and step 3, respectively.

DATA EXTRACTION

Two investigators independently evaluated the selected studies to extract the following data for sample characterization: sample characteristics (sex, age, sample size); type of population (general population, university students, volunteers, occupational groups and primary health care users); sampling strategy (probability or non probability sampling); and geographical coverage (national or regional).

Quantitative data on the distribution of mean total cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, triglycerides and prevalence of blood fractions alterations or dyslipidaemia (with the respective criteria), and the methods used for data collection (e.g. biochemical measurements, self-report or clinical records) were also extracted. Age- and sex-specific estimates were extracted whenever available. When a study did not present the mean age of the participants in each age group we assumed the mid-point of the age interval. When an age group also included subjects aged below 18 years old (e.g. age group 17-20 years), we computed the mid-point and excluded the data if the mid-point was lower than 17.5 years. For surveys that reported data by age groups but provided open age intervals at the extremes, we considered the upper/lower limit by assuming the same width for extreme classes as that of the adjacent class (e.g. for surveys reporting data in participants aged <30, 30–39, 40–49, and ≥50 years, we considered the overall range as 20-59 years).

Differences in the data extracted by the two investigators were discussed until consensus, and involving a third investigator when necessary.

DATA ANALYSIS

Data referring to mean levels of total cholesterol, LDL and HDL cholesterol, and triglycerides are summarized in figures depicting the age- and sex-specific estimates. Each figure includes the sex-specific prediction for each outcome based on linear regression models including the mean participants' age and, when appropriate, a quadratic term of the participants' age as independent variables.

We fitted sex-specific multiple linear regression models of the mean levels of total cholesterol, LDL and HDL cholesterol and triglycerides, on the following independent variables: year of data collection, geographical coverage and participants' mean age. For mean total cholesterol and triglycerides in men, and LDL cholesterol in men and women we also included a quadratic term of age to account for the non linear relation. Studies that did not present data stratified by gender were excluded from this analysis. Only studies in which total cholesterol was reported to be measured in fasting conditions were included in the analysis. Only one study provided information with no mention to the fasting conditions of the participants, and it was not included in the analysis also because it did not provide information stratified by gender.¹⁴ We used the linear regression equations to predict the mean levels of the outcomes for each sex at the age of 50 years for specific calendar years. For total cholesterol, time trends were quantified by the regression coefficient of calendar year. Data on mean LDL, HDL and triglycerides covered a much narrower period, precluding analysis of time trends, and predictions were made for only one survey year (2001).

Estimation of time trends in prevalence of dyslipidaemia or single blood lipids fractions alterations, was not performed due to the diversity of cut-off points used to define the outcome.

As one or more estimates of the outcomes were extracted from each study, corresponding to different age strata, the confidence intervals were calculated using robust estimates of the standard errors, to account for the lack of independence of the observations from the same study.

RESULTS

We identified 28 studies eligible for data extraction in this systematic review.^{9-11, 14-38} Ten presented data on mean total cholesterol^{11, 14-16, 26, 30, 32, 34, 36, 37}, 5 on

mean LDL cholesterol^{11, 26, 30, 36, 37}, 6 on mean HDL cholesterol^{11, 30, 32, 34, 36, 37}, 8 on mean triglycerides^{11, 14-16, 30, 34, 36, 37}, and 23 presented data on the prevalence of blood lipid disorders, either based on alteration of single lipid fractions^{10, 11, 15-28, 30, 35, 39} or on the prevalence of dyslipidaemia (self-reported or composite outcome)^{9, 16, 18, 29-31} (**Figure 1**).

The main characteristics of the studies providing data on alterations of single blood lipids fractions and on the prevalence of dyslipidaemia, as well as the respective age- and sex-specific estimates, are presented in Tables 1 and 2. Only 8 studies relied on probability samples of the general population, and eleven were based on samples of users of specified health care facilities. Four different criteria were used for classification of blood lipids alterations. The proportion of elevated LDL cholesterol ranged from 4.8%, when defined as LDL cholesterol \geq 130 mg/dL, among young women, in 2005²⁶ to 73.8%, when defined as LDL cholesterol ≥115 mg/ dL, among men aged 30-80 years, in 2007.²⁵ Low HDL cholesterol ranged from 15% among women to 55% among men age 20-29 years when defined as \leq 45 mg/dL, in 2007.²⁵ In general, the proportion of subjects with blood lipids alterations increased with age and was higher among men, regardless of the criteria used to define the outcome.

Figure 2 depicts the variation of sex-specific estimates of mean total cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, and triglycerides with age. Total cholesterol, LDL cholesterol and triglycerides increased progressively with age among women, despite an attenuation of the slope for LDL in the very elderly, while among men an increase up to approximately 60 years was followed by a decrease in these three lipid fractions. HDL hardly varied with age in both sexes. Despite the small sex differences observed under the age of 30, men had higher levels of total cholesterol, LDL cholesterol and triglycerides until the age of 60, while after 60 years the mean levels of these lipid fractions were higher among women. Women had higher levels of HDL cholesterol at all ages. Detailed information on mean blood lipids is provided in Table 3.

In 2005, the mean total cholesterol at 50 years of age, predicted by a model adjusting for geographical coverage, was 215 mg/dL (95%CI: 210 to 219) among women and 219 mg/dL (95%CI: 206



^{▲ --} Men ● -- Women

| TABLE 1 - | Main characteris | tics and results of | studies with da | ata on dyslipidaen | ia defined with bas | e on alteratio | ns of a single blood | lipids fraction. | |
|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|---|---------------------|----------------|--|--------------------------|--|
| lst Author, year of publication | Year/period of data collection | Population type | Sampling process | Recruitment place | Lipids fasting | Sex | Age range | Sample size | |
| Pereira-Miguel, 1983 ¹⁵ | 1981 | General population | Probability | Urban sample: Santarém, Leiria, Castelo Branco, Guarda, Lisboa | Yes | F | 25-34 35-44 45-54 55-64 | 103 115 107 101 | |
| | | | | Rural sample: Outeiro da Cortiçada, Aljubarrota, Alcongosta, Celorico da Beira, Alcáçovas, Romeu | - | М | 25-34 35-44 45-54 55-64 | 92 123 112 105 | |
| | | | | | Yes | F | 25-34 35-44 45-54 55-64 | 87 132 131 147 | |
| | | | | | - | М | 25-34 35-44 45-54 55-64 | 104 158 146 128 | |
| Martins, 1993 ¹⁶ | 1987 | General population | Probability | Sesimbra, Palmela, | Yes | F | 15-64 | 866 | |
| | | | | Barreiro, Setúbal | _ | М | 15-64 | 734 | |
| | 1993 | General population | Probability | Sesimbra, Palmela, | Yes | F | 15-64 | 519 | |
| | | | | Barreiro, Setúbal | | М | 15-64 | 483 | |
| Schneider, 1995 ³⁵ | 1994 * | General population | Probability | Açores | ND | MF | 20-40 40-60 20-60 | 521 568 1089 | |
| Nunes, 1997 ¹⁷ | 1995 | Volunteers | Non probability | Viseu | ND | F | 20-29 30-39 40-49 50-59 60-69 70-79 80-89† | 1173 | |
| | | | | | - | М | 20-29 30-39 40-49 50-59 60-69 70.79 80-89† | 679 | |
| Ribas, 1997 ¹⁸ | 1996 * | Primary health care users | Probability | Porto | Yes § | М | 40-89† | 164 | |
| Reis, 1997 ¹⁹ | 1996 * | Volunteers | Non probability | Linha do Estoril | ND | MF | ND | 5083 | |
| Ferreira, 1998 ²⁰ | 1997 * | Primary health care | Non probability | Mata Mourisca | ND | F | 20-79 | 826 | |
| | | users | | | - | М | 20-79 | 826 | |
| Canhão, 1999 ⁵⁸ | 1993 * | Primary health care | Probability | Lisboa | Yes | F | 20†-80† | ND | |
| | | users | | | - | М | 20†-80† | ND | |
| Simões, 2000 ²¹ | 1998-1999 | General population | Probability | Góis | Yes | F | 25-29 30-34 35-39 40-44 | 49 42 53 30 | |
| | | | | | - | М | 25-29 30-34 35-39 40-44 | 44 44 48 30 | |
| Cardoso, 2001 ²² | 1999 | Occupational group | Non probability | Coimbra | Yes | MF | 20-69 | 283 | |
| Cardoso, 2001 ²² Instituto de Alimentação Becel, 2001 ¹¹ | 2001 | General population | Probability | Portugal | Yes | F | 18-35 35-44 45-54 55-96 | 1428 | |
| | | | | | | М | 18-35 35-44 45-54 55-96 | | |

Hyperchol – hypercholesterolaemia; Hypertrig – hypertriglyceridaemia;

– Female;

1 - Male;

IF – Male and female;

ID – no data;

For surveys that reported data y age groups but provided open ge intervals at the extremes, ge intervals at the extremes, we considered the upper/lower imit by assuming the same width for extreme classes as bat of the adjacent class (e.g. or surveys reporting data in articipants aged <30, 30–39, i0-49, and \geq 50 years, we powidered the operall range as msidered the overall range as 0–59 years);

Data estimated from the raphs presented in the original port;

Data assumed to be obtained i fasting conditions as it was bstracted from the clinical cords;

Data referring only to the 839 evaluated subjects;

Mean age of the participants eported in the original studies, is the total age range of the *irticipants* was not reported;

* To convert the values of total holesterol in mmol/L to mg/ L divide by 0.02586, and to onvert triglycerides in mmol/L o mg/dL divide by 0.0113.

| Total ch | olesterol | LDL - c | holesterol | HDL - ch | olesterol | Triglycerides | | |
|----------------|-----------------------|---------------|--------------|-----------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------------|--|
| % Hyperchol | Hyperchol criteria | % High LDE | LDL criteria | % Low HDL | HDL criteria | % Hypertrig | Hypertrig criteria | |
| 2.0 | ≥ 250mg/100mL | ND | ND | ND | ND | 2.0 | ≥ 175mg/100mI | |
| 6.1 19.5 | | | | | | 2.7 9.6 | | |
| 22.8 | | | | | | 12.3 | | |
| 8.7 | ≥ 250mg/100mL | ND | ND | ND | ND | 8.8 | ≥ 175mg/100mL | |
| 21.1 22.3 | | | | | | 21.0 17.3 | | |
| 22.9 | | | | | | 17.9 | | |
| 2.0 | ≥ 250mg/100mL | ND | ND | ND | ND | 6.6 | ≥ 175mg/100mI | |
| 6.3 13.7 | | | | | | 4.9 | | |
| 22.7 | | | | | | 7.0 9.9 | | |
| 10.1 | ≥ 250mg/100mL | ND | ND | ND | ND | 7.2 | ≥ 175mg/100mL | |
| 12.9 | | | | | | 14.7 | | |
| 17.0 | | | | | | 8.6 | | |
| 55.1 | ≥ 200mg/dL | ND | ND | ND | ND | 4.7 | > 200mg/dL | |
| 57.3 | ≥ 200mg/dL | ND | ND | ND | ND | 14.0 | > 200mg/dL | |
| 51.2 | ≥ 200mg/dL | 46 | > 135mg/dL | 3.7 | < 40mg/dL | 16.1 | > 200mg/dL | |
| 52.6 | ≥ 200mg/dL | 44 | > 135mg/dL | 18.8 | < 35mg/dL | 5.7 | > 200mg/dL | |
| 34.8 | > 200mg/dL | ND | ND | ND | ND | 20.2 | > 180mg/dL | |
| 58.1 | 0 | | | ND | ND | 40.0 | 0 | |
| 47.3 | | | | 17.4 | < 35mg/dL | 30.9 | | |
| 11.1 | ≥ 200mg/dL | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | |
| 13.3 27.2 | | | | | | | | |
| 38.2 | | | | | | | | |
| 48.6 53.2 | | | | | | | | |
| 66.7 | | | | | | | | |
| 5‡ | ≥ 200mg/dL | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | |
| 30‡ 35± | | | | | | | | |
| 41‡ | | | | | | | | |
| 39‡ 39+ | | | | | | | | |
| 82‡ | | | | | | | | |
| 57‡ | > 200mg/dL | 36‡ | > 135mg/dL | 15‡ | < 35mg/dL | 26‡ | > 150mg/dL | |
| 26 | ≥ 200mg/dL | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | |
| 11.2 | ≥ 200mg/dL | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | |
| 14.0 | ≥ 200mg/dL | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | |
| 37.1 | > 240mg/dL | ND | ND | ND | ND | 8.6 | > 200mg/dL | |
| 37.3 | > 240mg/dL | ND | ND | ND | ND | 12.0 | > 200mg/dL | |
| 10.2 | ≥ 200mg/dL | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | |
| 16.6 | and/or treated | | | | | | | |
| 26.6 | | | | | | | | |
| 38.6 | ≥ 200mg/dL | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | |
| 45.5 | and/or treated | | | | | | | |
| 47.9 | | | | | | | | |
| 45.5 | . 100 / 17 | ND | NIN | ND | ND | 22.0 | . 200 - 11 | |
| 41.0 | > 190mg/dL | ND | ND | ND | ND | 33.9 | > 200mg/dL | |
| 10.2 | ≥ 240mg/dL | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | |
| 20.8 | | | | | | | | |
| 38.6 | | | | | | | | |
| 9.7 | ≥ 240mg/dL | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | |
| 32.2 | | | | | | | | |
| 24.0 | | | | | | | | |

| TABLE 1 (| TABLE 1 (cont.) - Main characteristics and results of studies with data on dyslipidaemia defined with base on alterations of a single blood lipids fraction. | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|----------------|-----|--|----------------|--|--|--|--|
| 1st Author, year of publication | Year/period of data collection | Population type | Sampling process | Recruitment place | Lipids fasting | Sex | Age range | Sample size | | | | |
| Rocha, 2003 ²³ | 1999-2000 | Primary health care users | Non probability | Lisboa | Yes § | MF | †30-89† | 3228 | | | | |
| Santiago, 2003 ²⁴ | 2002 | Volunteers | Non probability | Districts of Aveiro, | ND | F | 48.7 (12.6) ¶ | 532 | | | | |
| | | | | Guarda and Leiria | | М | 47.0 (11.7) ¶ | 461 | | | | |
| Fiuza, 2008 ¹⁰ | 2006-2007 | Primary health care users | Non probability | Portugal | Yes | F | 18-29 30-39 40-49 50-59 60-69 70-79 80-89† | 10386 | | | | |
| | | | | | | М | 18-29 30-39 40-49 50-59 60-69 70-79 80-89† | 6469 | | | | |
| Carmo Martins, 2008 ²⁵ | 2007 | Health care users | Non probability | Lisboa | Yes | F | 20-29 30-80 | 67 341 | | | | |
| | | | | | - | М | 20-29 30-80 | 47 217 | | | | |
| Brandão, 2008 ²⁶ | 2005 | University students | Probability | Aveiro | Yes | F | 20.6 (ND) ¶ | 254 | | | | |
| | | | | | _ | М | 20.7 (ND) ¶ | 124 | | | | |
| Cavaco, 2010 ²⁷ | 2009 | Health care users | Non probability | Lisboa | No | MF | 18-76 | 32 | | | | |
| Lobão, 2010 ²⁸ | 2007 | Primary health care users | Non probability | Vila Nova de Gaia | ND | MF | 18-84 | 502 | | | | |

to 232) among men. Between 1985 and 2005 the mean total cholesterol varied 0.4 mg/dL per calendar year (95% confidence interval (95%CI): -0.3 to 1.2) among women, adjusting for the age of participants, year of data collection and geographical coverage of the study. Among men, mean total cholesterol varied -0.1 mg/dL per year (95%CI: -0.6 to 0.4) in the same calendar period.

In 2001, the adjusted mean levels of HDL cholesterol, LDL cholesterol and triglycerides in women at 50 years of age were 59 mg/dL, 140 mg/dL and 111 mg/dL, respectively. In men, at 50 years, the adjusted mean levels of HDL cholesterol, LDL cholesterol and triglycerides were 49 mg/dL, 146 mg/ dL and 150 mg/dL, respectively.

DISCUSSION

The mean levels of total cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, HDL cholesterol and triglycerides observed in the Portuguese population suggest a profile of high risk, and a small and non significant variation of the mean blood total cholesterol levels was observed between 1985 and 2005. The scarcity of data using standardized methodology and homogeneous criteria across a wide time span precludes the analysis of time trends for cholesterol subfractions and triglycerides as well as for prevalence of lipid alterations. This study provides a summary of the best available evidence on blood lipid profile in Portuguese adults. However, the present study is limited by the use of ecological summary estimates and the diversity of methodological options adopted in the original reports. The primary sources of information are heterogeneous regarding the methods used to determine the blood lipids, the time of data collection, the age range of groups, and the quality of reporting of data. These limitations were partially overcome through stratified analyses by sex and multivariate modeling of the data. The heterogeneity of the criteria used to define blood lipids alterations impaired the assessment of its trends, but attending to the extensive literature search this is inevitable, since cutoff points changed over time and at a certain time point are not consensual among recommendations from different entities.

Most studies used to assess time trends in mean total cholesterol involved samples of the general population. Since blood total cholesterol is associated with education⁴⁰ and two studies included in our analysis evaluated samples of university students,^{26, 32} we reanalyzed the data excluding these reports and the conclusions were unchanged.

Hyperchol – hypercholesterolaemia; Hypertrig – hypertriglyceridaemia;

F – Female;

M–Male;

MF – *Male and female; ND* – *no data;*

† For surveys that reported data by age groups but provided open age intervals at the extremes, we considered the upper/lower limit by assuming the same width for extreme classes as that of the adjacent class (e.g. for surveys reporting data in participants aged <30, 30–39, 40–49, and ≥50 years, we considered the overall range as 20–59 years);

‡ Data estimated from the graphs presented in the original report;

§ Data assumed to be obtained in fasting conditions as it was abstracted from the clinical records;

II Data referring only to the 2839 evaluated subjects;

¶ Mean age of the participants reported in the original studies, as the total age range of the participants was not reported;

** To convert the values of total cholesterol in mmol/L to mg/ dL divide by 0.02586, and to convert triglycerides in mmol/L to mg/dL divide by 0.0113.

> 2012; 26[3]: 112-123 ARQUIVOS DE MEDICINA

| Total ch | olesterol | LDL - c | holesterol | HDL - ch | olesterol | Triglyc | erides |
|----------------|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------|------------------------|--------------|-------------|-----------------------|
| % Hyperchol | Hyperchol criteria | % High LDL | LDL criteria | % Low HDL HDL criteria | | % Hypertrig | Hypertrig criteria |
| 30.4 II | ≥ 220mg/dL | ND ND | | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| 37.7 | ≥ 190mg/dL | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| 57.5 | ≥ 190mg/dL | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| ND | ND | ND | ND | 23.4 | < 40mg/dL | 14.1 | ≥ 150mg/dL |
| | | | | 28.8 | | 22.1 | |
| | | | | 35.2 | | 25.4 | |
| | | | | 34.9 | | 30.9 | |
| | | | | 36.5 | | 33.6 | |
| | | | | 34.2 | | 34.5 | |
| | | | | 34.9 | | 28.3 | |
| ND | ND | ND | ND | 37.1 | < 40mg/dL | 25.8 | ≥ 150mg/dL |
| | | | | 49.3 | | 40.0 | |
| | | | | 54.4 | | 43.2 | |
| | | | | 52.8 | | 44.6 | |
| | | | | 48.0 | | 40.8 | |
| | | | | 41.6 | | 32.5 | |
| | | | | 38.0 | | 27.6 | |
| 31.3 | ≥ 4.9mmol/L ** | 28.4 | ≥ 2.97mmol/L** | 14.9 | ≤ 1.16mmol/L | 4.5 | ≥ 2.05mmol/L |
| 63.3 | | 64.8 | | 23.8 | ** | 10.9 | ** |
| 25.5 | > 4.9mmol/L.** | 45.7 | > 2.97mmol/L.** | 55.3 | < 1.16mmol/L | 10.6 | > 2.05mmol/L |
| 66.4 | | 73.8 | | 53.0 | ** | 22.1 | ** |
| 23.2 | ≥ 200mg/dL | 8.7 | ≥ 130mg/dL | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| 6.4 | ≥ 200mg/dL | 4.8 | ≥130mg/dL | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| 50.0 | ≥ 200mg/dL | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| 29.1 | ≥ 200mg/dL | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |

At the individual level, total and LDL cholesterol are known to increase with obesity,41,42 smoking43 and high intake of saturated and trans fatty acids and dietary cholesterol.44,45 The efficacy of lipid lowering drugs has also been demonstrated by several high quality clinical trials.^{46, 47} The time trends in cholesterol observed in our review are likely to be driven by the variation in the exposure to these factors. In Portugal, between 1995 and 2005, the prevalence of overweight increased 3% and 4%, and the prevalence of obesity increased 7% and 1% among women and men, respectively.¹³ Data from the 1998-1999 and 2005-2006 National Health Surveys indicate that during this period the consumption of fish and soup declined, but the consumption of fatcontaining foods such as meat and milk increased.48 Data on physical activity trends in Portugal are not available. However, the National Health Survey conducted in 1998-1999 showed that overall 71% of Portuguese subjects aged over 15 years were sedentary.49 Smoking in Portugal has decreased among men, but increased among women.50 These trends could account for a deleterious effect on blood lipids over time. However, our results contradict this expectation and the non-significant change in the total cholesterol over time seems to be explained by other determinants, including the use of cholesterol

lowering medication. Statins are able to reduce as much as 20% of total cholesterol levels after a mean follow-up period of 5 years, compared with those who do not use cholesterol lowering medication.⁵¹ In 1985, statins were hardly ever used and data from the lipid-lowering drugs prescribed and sold to outpatients in mainland Portugal showed an increase from 10.21 defined daily doses (DDD) per 1000 inhabitants per day in 1995 to 67.93 in 2004, mainly due to an average annual growth of 34.5% in the use of statins (from 4.43 DDD per 1000 inhabitants per day in 1995 to 60.73 in 2004).⁵²

Current guidelines for the evaluation and treatment of dyslipidaemia identify concentrations of LDL cholesterol rather than total cholesterol as the primary target of treatment^{53, 54} and highlight the importance of risk reduction by targeting triglycerides and HDL cholesterol.⁵⁵ Our results highlight a large proportion of subjects with alterations of blood lipids, despite not being possible to quantify time trends for LDL cholesterol nor HDL cholesterol. We have previously estimated that, in 2005, the prevalence of overweight at 50 years of age exceeded 40% among women and 50% among men, while the prevalence of obesity was nearly 20% in both sexes,¹³ certainly contributing for the observed prevalence of high-risk lipid levels.

| 1st Author, year of publication | Year/period of data collection | Population type | Sampling process | Recruitment place | Lipids fasting | Sex | Age range | Sample size | Dyslipidaemia prevalence (%) | Criteria |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|---|-------------------|-----|--|---|---|--|
| Teles, 2008 ²⁹ | 2004-2005 | Primary health care users | Non probability | Portugal | NA | М | 40-69 | 3067 | 31.0 | Self-reported |
| Bonhorst, 2010 ⁹ | 2009 | General population | Probability | Portugal | NA | MF | 40-101 | 10447 | 36.8 | Self-reported |
| Alves, 2008 ^{30 *} | 1999-2003 | General population | Probability | Porto | NA | F | 18-24 25-34 35-44 45-54 55-64 65-74 75 84 | 81 141 275 366 316 269 83 | 9.9 11.3 21.8 32.8 49.7 52.4 57.8 | Self-reported |
| | | | | | | | 85-94 | 8 | 50.0 | |
| | | | | | | М | 18-24 25-34 35-44 45-54 55-64 65-74 75-84 85-94 | 50 84 164 213 195 169 64 7 | 2.0 15.5 31.7 37.1 44.1 39.1 21.9 28.6 | |
| | | | | | Yes | F | 40-44 45-49 50-54 55-59 60-65 | 135 158 166 159 146 | 76.3 84.8 84.3 89.3 90.4 | If low risk †: Total cholesterol ≥5mmol/L ‡ or LDL-C ≥3mmol/L ‡ |
| | | | | | | M | 40-44 45-49 50-54 55-59 60-65 | 80 92 101 72 106 | 83.8 84.8 85.2 79.2 86.8 | If high risk †: total cholesterol ≥4.5mmol/L ‡ or LDL-C ≥2.5mmol/L ‡ |
| dos Reis, 1990 ³¹ | 1989 § | Primary health care users | Probability | Algés | Yes II | F | 21-40 41-60 60-79 ¶ | 136 178 317 | 1 10 19 | Total cholesterol ≥ 250 mg/dL or (tota cholesterol – HDL |
| | | | | | | М | 21-40 41-60 60-79 ¶ | 87 133 136 | 8 16 27 | ≥ 200 mg/dL |
| Martins, 1993 ¹⁶ | 1993 | General population | Probability | Sesimbra, Palmela, Barreiro, Setúbal | Yes | F | 15-64 | 519 | 3.3 | Trig >200mg/dL, HDL <35 mg/dL fe |
| | | | | | | М | 15-64 | 481 | 2.7 | men or <40mg/dL for women |
| Ribas, 1997 ¹⁸ | 1996 § | Primary health care users | Probability | Porto | Yes § | М | 40-89† | 164 | 60 ** | Total cholesterol >200mg/dL, HDI <35, LDL>135 |

F – Female; M – Male; MF – Male and female; NA – not applicable; Trig – Triglycerides; HDL – HDL cholesterol; LDL – LDL cholesterol;

* Age- and sex- estimates obtained directly from the authors;

† Subjects were considered low risk or high risk as indicated in the European guidelines;

‡ To convert the values of total cholesterol in mmol/L to mg/dL divide by 0.02586;

§ When the period of data collection was not reported we assumed the publication year minus the median difference between the publication year and date of data collection in the articles for which that information was available (1.0 years);

Il Data assumed to be obtained in fasting conditions as it was abstracted from the clinical records;

¶ For surveys that reported data by age groups but provided open age intervals at the extremes, we considered the upper/lower limit by assuming the same width for extreme classes as that of the closest class (e.g. for surveys reporting data in participants aged <30, 30–39, 40–49, and ≥50 years, we considered the overall range as 20–59 years); ** Data estimated from the graphics presented in the original report.

> In several other high income countries blood cholesterol levels have declined during the last decades.56 Recently a decrease of 0.19 mmol/L and 0.21 mmol/L per decade for men and women in Australasia, North America and Western Europe between 1980 and 2008 was reported.7 It is not clear what drives this difference, but changes in the pattern of blood lipids determinants are likely to be the cause.⁷

Recent European guidelines recommend levels of total cholesterol in the general population below 5 mmol/L.54 The estimated levels of mean total cholesterol at 50 years are far above this goal. Longitudinal studies have shown that a plasma total cholesterol reduction of 1% results in a decrease of coronary heart disease mortality of 2-3%.57 Therefore the benefits of blood lipids reduction could not

| Image: status Section: Part Properties Section: Part Properity Properity Properity Properity Properity Part P | TADLE 3 | - Main chai | racteristics and | results of stu | idies presenting | ; data on n | iean blo | od lipid | s levels. | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---|-------------|----------|------------------|------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|
| predictationof data bypetypeprocessplatehavinghavingrangesizeplate biol< | 1st Author, | Year/period | Population | Sampling process | Recruitment | Lipids | Sex | Age | Sample | | holestero | <u>.</u> | Triglycerides |
| Principal PP3 Values Nameska M käres ND M2 17-3 4 1907 ND ND <t< th=""><th>year of publication</th><th>of data collection</th><th>type</th><th>place</th><th>fasting</th><th></th><th>range</th><th>size</th><th>Total (mg/dL*)</th><th>LDL (mg/dL*)</th><th>HDL (mg/dL*)</th><th>(mg/dL*)</th></t<> | year of publication | of data collection | type | | place | fasting | | range | size | Total (mg/dL*) | LDL (mg/dL*) | HDL (mg/dL*) | (mg/dL*) |
| 1994 254 5 1019 30.0 30. | Pereira-Miguel, | 1974 | Volunteers | Non probability | Alcáçovas | ND | MF | † 15-24 | 4 | 138.7 | ND | ND | 118.2 |
| Reserver with a second secon | 197414 | | | | | | | 25-34 | 5 | 170.0 | | | 112.8 |
| Reconsidered in the second | | | | | | | | 35-44 45-54 | 10 | 1/7.0 215.7 | | | 206.3 |
| Rate: Rate: <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>55-64</td><td>16</td><td>189.6</td><td></td><td></td><td>170.0</td></t<> | | | | | | | | 55-64 | 16 | 189.6 | | | 170.0 |
| Image: state in the | | | | | | | | 65-74 | 18 | 199.1 | | | 170.9 |
| Image: state | | | | | | | | 75-84† | 6 | 205.8 | | | 171.0 |
| Revise Migral, 1983 ¹ 1983 ² General pepulation 1983 ¹ Poloality 1983 ¹ Unive 1983 ¹ Visitation 1983 ¹ Visitation 1 | | | | | Alfeizerão | ND | MF | † 15-24 | 7 | 151.1 | ND | ND | 163.1 |
| Reize Migal (93) ⁵ 1987 (1) General populators (1) Poskality (1) Users (1) 100 (1) 100 (1) 100 (1) 100 (1) 100 (1) ND (1) ND (1) ND (1) ND (1) 100 (1) Penish Migal (1) 1987 (1) General populators (1) Poskality (1) MD (1) 100 (1) 100 (1) 100 (1) 100 (1) 100 (1) ND (1) ND (1) 100 (1) Penish Migal (1) 1987 (1) General populators (1) Poskality (1) MD (1) 100 (1) 100 (1) ND (1) 100 (1) 100 (1) ND (1) 100 (1) < | | | | | | | | 25-34 35-44 | 14 16 | 14/./ 158 7 | | | 157.6 |
| Productional symplexies Product by the symplexies Pr | | | | | | | | 45-54 | 29 | 163.6 | | | 193.6 |
| Bits Bits <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>55-64</td><td>30</td><td>175.6</td><td></td><td></td><td>196.2</td></th<> | | | | | | | | 55-64 | 30 | 175.6 | | | 196.2 |
| $ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | | | | | | | | 65-74 75-84 † | 22 3 | 175.1 170.0 | | | 198.1 233.6 |
| $ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | Densing Minuel | 1092 + | Consulation | Deckshilter | I lub au | Ver | E | 25.24 | 102 | 1771 | ND | ND | 00 C |
| Image: Probability of the section of the sectin of the section of the section of the section of the sec | 1983 ¹⁵ | 1982 ‡ | General population | Probability | (Santarém, Leiria, | res | г | 25-34 35-44 | 105 | 177.1 | ND | ND | 88.6 87.9 |
| $ \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c } & 101 & $ | | | | | Castelo Branco, | | | 45-54 | 107 | 216.9 | | | 113.8 |
| Kates M 23-34 (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) | | | | | Guarda, MSD Lisboa) | | | 55-64 | 101 | 219.7 | | | 108.6 |
| Image: Probability of the section of the sectin of the section of the section of the section of the sec | | | | | Listoaj | | М | 25-34 | 92 | 201.2 | ND | ND | 119.4 |
| Katal (knows) Test (knows) 100 (knows) 21/1 (knows) 100 (knows) 21/1 (knows) 100 (knows) | | | | | | | | 35-44 | 123 | 214.3 | | | 145.4 |
| $\left \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | | | | | | | 45-54 55-64 | 112 | 221./ | | | 145.8 |
| $\left \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Remea) 35.4 143 1993 105.5 Science 35.64 147 25.2 106.5 Science 35.64 147 25.2 106.5 Science 35.64 147 25.2 106.5 Science 109.1 109.5 100.5 105.5 Science 109.1 109.1 105.5 106.5 106.5 Science 109.1 109.1 109.1 109.1 109.1 109.1 Kafnes, 1991 ^M 199.1 Geneal pegulation Probability Yes Yes 75.80 103 64.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00 | | | | | Rural (Alcácovas and | Yes | F | 25-34 35-44 | 87 132 | 176.3 184 1 | ND | ND | 90.2 96.4 |
| Image: Part of the section o | | | | | Romeu) | | | 45-54 | 132 | 199.9 | | | 103.5 |
| Kafasa, 1991 ¹⁴ 1999 ‡ General population Probability Yas Yas ND ND ND 113 Kafasa, 1991 ¹⁴ 1999 ‡ General population Probability Yas F 75.60 103 64oma4 ND 123ma40. 1113 Kafasa, 1991 ¹⁴ 1999 ‡ General population Probability Yas F 75.60 104 550m4 ND 123ma40. 123ma40. Marrins, 1999 ¹⁶ 1987 General population Probability Seimbers, Palmoda, Kas Yes F 75.60 17 52ma40. ND 106 morta Marrins, 1999 ¹⁶ 1987 General population Probability Seimbers, Palmoda, Kas Yes F 75.64 17 52ma40. ND 106 morta Marrins, 1999 ¹⁶ 1987 General population Probability Seimbers, Palmoda, Kas Yes F 15.24 166 106. ND 77.5 Marrins, 1999 ¹⁶ General population Probability Seimbers, Palmoda, | | | | | | | | 55-64 | 147 | 215.2 | | | 116.5 |
| $ \left[\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | | | | | | М | 25-34 | 104 | 196.5 | ND | ND | 115.6 |
| Arya His 2130 1111 Kafatos, 1991 ³⁴ 1990 ‡ General population Poskality Vila Francude Yes F 75-64 123 Od 64mmdJL ND 123mmdJL 142mmdJL Kafatos, 1991 ³⁴ 1990 ‡ General population Poskality Yila Francude Yes F 75-60 107 62mmdJL ND 154mmdJL 142mmdJL Martins, 1993 ¹⁴ 1987 General population Poskality Sestimbra, Palmeda, Yes F 15-24 156 176.7 ND ND 154mmdJL 135 Martins, 1993 ¹⁴ 1987 General population Poskality Sestimbra, Palmeda, Yes F 15-24 156 176.7 ND ND 775 Martins, 1993 ¹⁴ 1987 General population Poskality Sestimbra, Palmeda, Yes F 15-24 156 166.2 ND ND 75 § 1135 55-44 122 206.1 3135 § 313 § | | | | | | | | 35-44 | 158 | 201.7 | | | 120.5 |
| Kafinos, 1991 ³⁴ 1990 # General population Poshability Kira Yile, Fanca de Xira Yes F 7.80 103 6.4mm/L ND 1.23 mm/L 1.42 mm/L M 75.80 104 5.8mm/L ND 1.23 mm/L 1.29 mm/L 1.06 mm/L | | | | | | | | 45-54 55-64 | 146 128 | 215.0 206.1 | | | 121.1 111.3 |
| Xira M 75-80 104 58.madul. ND 1.7.manul. 1.29.manul. Marrins, 1993 ¹⁶ 1987 General population Probability Seimbra, Palmela, Barreiro, Seciabal Yes F 75.80 17 6.000-444. ND 15.4mmoll. 106 mmoll. Marrins, 1993 ¹⁶ 1987 General population Probability Seimbra, Palmela, Barreiro, Seciabal Yes F 15.54 156 176.7 ND ND 1.97.775 Marrins, 1993 ¹⁶ 1987 General population Probability Seimbra, Palmela, Barreiro, Seciabal Yes F 15.54 156 176.7 ND ND 177.835 105 122.5 M 136 166.6 181.6 135.9 | Kafatos, 1991 ³⁴ | 1990 ‡ | General population | Probability | Vila Franca de | Yes | F | 75-80 | 103 | 6.4 mmol/L | ND | 1.23 mmol/L | 1.42 mmol/L |
| Cainba Yes F 7.80 17 6.0000dd ND 1.36 number 1.99 number Marrins, 1993 ⁴⁶ 1987 General population Probability Sesimban, Palmela, Barreiro, Settibal Yes F 15.24 156 17.67 ND ND 0.06 number Marrins, 1993 ⁴⁶ 1987 General population Probability Sesimban, Palmela, Barreiro, Settibal Yes F 15.24 156 17.67 ND ND 0.06 number Marrins, 1993 ⁴⁶ 1987 General population Probability Sesimbra, Palmela, Barreiro, Settibal Yes F 15.24 156 17.67 ND ND 7.55 1093 General population Probability Sesimbra, Palmela, Barreiro, Settibal Yes F 152.4 83 166.7 ND ND 7.55 19.55 1993 General population Probability Sesimbra, Palmela, Barreiro, Settibal Yes F 152.4 83 166.7 ND ND 7.55 13.55 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Xira</td><td></td><td>М</td><td>75-80</td><td>104</td><td>5.8 mmol/L</td><td>ND</td><td>1.17 mmol/L</td><td>1.29 mmol/L</td></td<> | | | | | Xira | | М | 75-80 | 104 | 5.8 mmol/L | ND | 1.17 mmol/L | 1.29 mmol/L |
| Marrins, 1993 ¹⁶ 1987 General population Probability Barreiro, Setubal Yes Barreiro, Setubal Yes Barreiro, Setubal F 15-24 156 176.7 ND ND 705 775 Marrins, 1993 ¹⁶ 1987 General population Probability Sesimbra, Palmela, Barreiro, Setubal Yes F 15-24 156 176.7 ND ND 705 735 1335 23-34 123 183.3 183.3 183.3 1135 1145 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Coimbra</td><td>Yes</td><td>F</td><td>75-80</td><td>17</td><td>6.20 mmol/L</td><td>ND</td><td>1.36 mmol/L</td><td>1.93 mmol/L</td></td<> | | | | | Coimbra | Yes | F | 75-80 | 17 | 6.20 mmol/L | ND | 1.36 mmol/L | 1.93 mmol/L |
| Martins, 1993 ¹⁶ 1987 General population Probability Setimbra, Palmela, Barreiro, Setibal Yes F 15-24 156 176.7 ND ND 70 § Martins, 1993 ¹⁶ 1987 General population Probability Setimbra, Palmela, Barreiro, Setibal Yes F 15-24 156 176.7 ND ND 70 § Martins, 1993 ¹⁶ 1987 General population Probability Setimbra, Palmela, Barreiro, Setibal Yes F 15-24 156 176.7 ND ND 70 § 113 § 122 § 136 166.2 ND ND 75 § 122 § 123 135 124 § 135 § 150 § 1 | | | | | | | М | 75-80 | 17 | 5.22 mmol/L | ND | 1.34 mmol/L | 1.06 mmol/L |
| $\left \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | Martins, 1993 ¹⁶ | 1987 | General population | Probability | Sesimbra, Palmela, | Yes | F | 15-24 | 156 | 176.7 | ND | ND | 70 § |
| $\frac{1}{135} + \frac{1}{13} + \frac{1}{13} + \frac{1}{13} + \frac{1}{135} + \frac{1}{13$ | | | | | Barreiro, Setúbal | | | 25-34 | 123 | 183.3 | | | 77 \$ |
| Image: Probability 2013 Probability 2013 Sesimbra, Palmela, Pal | | | | | | | | 35-44 45-54 | 174 206 | 198.3 | | | 83 \$ |
| M 15-24 136 166.2 ND ND 75 § 35-44 143 221.5 113 § 150 § 113 § 150 § 113 § 150 § 113 § 150 § 113 § 150 § 113 § 150 § 113 § 150 § 113 § 150 § 113 § 150 § 110 § 150 § 110 § 150 § 110 § 150 § 110 § 150 § 110 § 150 § 110 § 150 § 110 § 150 § 110 § 150 § 110 § 150 § 110 § 150 § 110 § 100 § 100 § 100 § 100 § 100 § 100 § 100 § 100 § 100 § 100 § 100 § 110 § 100 § 110 § 110 § 110 § 110 § 110 § 110 § 100 § 100 § 100 § 100 § 100 § 100 § 100 § 110 § 100 § 100 § 110 § 100 § 100 § 110 § 110 § 110 § 110 § 110 § 110 § 110 § | | | | | | | | 55-64 | 200 | 241.6 | | | 122 § |
| $\frac{1}{1000^{10}} = \frac{1}{1000^{10}} + \frac{1}{1000^$ | | | | | | | М | 15-24 | 136 | 166.2 | ND | ND | 75 § |
| $\frac{35.44}{45.54} \frac{143}{163} \frac{221.5}{223.0} \qquad 50 \text{S}}{140 \text{S}}$ $\frac{1993}{1993} \text{General population} \text{Probability} \text{Sesimbra, Palmela, Barreiro, Setubal} \text{Yes} \text{F} \frac{15.24}{45.54} \frac{83}{66} \frac{181.6}{181.6} \text{ND} \text{ND} \frac{70 \text{S}}{90 \text{S}}{107 \text{S}}{100 \text{S}}{10$ | | | | | | | | 25-34 | 122 | 206.1 | | | 113 § |
| 49:54 103 223.0 159 % 1993 General population Probability Sesimbra, Palmela, Barreiro, Serúbal Yes F 25.24 83 166.7 ND ND 70 % 1993 General population Probability Sesimbra, Palmela, Barreiro, Serúbal Yes F 25.24 83 166.7 ND ND 70 % 1007 \$ 35.44 89 193.3 113 % 113 % 113 % 113 % 113 % 113 % 113 % 113 % 113 % 113 % 113 % 113 % 113 % 113 % 113 % 113 % 113 % 114 % 124 / 213.3 113 % 113 % 113 % 113 % 113 % 113 % 113 % 113 % 113 % 113 % 114 % 124 / 213.3 113 % 113 % 113 % 113 % 114 % 113 % 114 % 113 % 114 % 113 % 114 % 114 % 114 % 113 % 114 % 113 % 114 % 113 % 114 % 114 %< | | | | | | | | 35-44 | 143 | 221.5 | | | 150 \$ |
| 1993 General population Probability Sesimbra, Palmela, Barreiro, Setúbal Yes F 15-24 (2-34) 83 (66,7) ND ND ND 90 § 90 § 90 § 90 § 1993 General population Probability Sesimbra, Palmela, Barreiro, Setúbal Yes F 15-24 83 166,7 ND ND 70 § 90 § 35-44 89 193.3 113 § 13.5 13.6 13.6 107 § 113.8 13.6 107 § 113.8 13.0 § 164.5 13.5 13.0 § 164.5 13.6 107 § 13.0 § 164.5 13.6 107 § 13.0 § 164.5 13.6 147 § 13.0 § 164.5 164.5 164.5 160 § 147 § 155-64 122 246.7 164 § 160 § 147 § 155-64 122 218.5 140 § 160 § 147 § 155-64 122 218.5 140 § 166 § 166 § 166 § 166 § 166 § 166 § 166 § 166 § 166 § | | | | | | | | 45-54 55-64 | 165 | 223.0 | | | 159 \$ |
| 1995 General population Probability Sesimbra, raimela, Barreiro, Setúbal Yes F 15-24 83 166./ ND ND ND ND ND ND 90 § Barreiro, Setúbal Barreiro, Setúbal Yes F 15-24 83 166./ ND ND ND 90 § Barreiro, Setúbal Yes F 15-24 83 166./ ND ND 90 § M 1524 23.3 124 213.3 113 § 130 § 64-74* 12 246.7 ND ND 75 § 144 § 233.3 130 § 64-74* 12 246.7 190.8 ND ND 75 § 147 § 35.44 96 217.0 147 § 158 § 166.7 140 § 147 § 25.64 122 218.5 100 § 146 § 164 § 164 § Torres, 2000 ³³ 1999 General population Probability Madeira Yes | | 1000 | C 1 1 | D 1 1-11 | C. L. D.L. L | V | F | 15.0/ | 62 | 1667 | ND | ND | 70.6 |
| Images-Vidal, 2001 ³² 1999 General population Probability Madeira Yes M 25-64 101.4 21.3.3 113 § Marques-Vidal, 2001 ³² 1999 University students Probability Mone da Caparica Yes F 190.609 585 174 ND 52 59 Marques-Vidal, 2001 ³² 1994-1995 University students Probability Mone da Caparica Yes F 190.609 585 174 ND 52 59 | | 1993 | General population | Probability | Sesimbra, Palmela, Barreiro, Setúbal | Yes | F | 15-24 25-34 | 83 66 | 166./ | ND | ND | 70 § 90 § |
| $\frac{45.54}{55.64} \begin{array}{c} 124 & 213.3 \\ 233.3 \\ 64.74 & 12 & 246.7 \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} 113 \\ 130 \\ 64.74 \\ 12 & 246.7 \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} 113 \\ 130 \\ 64.74 \\ 12 & 246.7 \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} 113 \\ 130 \\ 164 \\ 164 \\ 166 \\ 100 \\ 166 \\ 166 \\ 100 \\ 166 \\ 100 \\ 1$ | | | | | Darreno, octubal | | | 35-44 | 89 | 193.3 | | | 107 \$ |
| $\frac{55-64}{64.74} = \frac{145}{12} = \frac{233.3}{246.7} \qquad 130 \ \text{s} \\ \frac{64.74}{12} = \frac{246.7}{246.7} \qquad 164 \ \text{s} \\ \frac{145}{64.74} = \frac{12}{12} = \frac{246.7}{164} \\ \frac{145}{164} = \frac{1100}{164} \\ \frac{145}{164} = \frac{1100}{166} \\ \frac{1100}{166} \frac{1100}{166$ | | | | | | | | 45-54 | 124 | 213.3 | | | 113 \$ |
| $\frac{1}{12} = \frac{1}{12} + \frac{1}{12} \frac{1}{12} $ | | | | | | | | 55-64 64-74 * | 145 | 233.3 | | | 130 § |
| $\frac{M}{25-65} = \frac{152.3}{71} + \frac{75}{192.3} + \frac{152.3}{199.8} + \frac{142.3}{199.8} + \frac{143.3}{199.8} + \frac{113.3}{122.39} + \frac{113.3}{122.39} + \frac{113.3}{122.39} + \frac{113.3}{122.39} + \frac{113.3}{11.3} + 11$ | | | | | | | | 01-/1 | 12 | 240.7 | | | 104 y |
| Torres, 2000 ³³ 1999 General population Probability Madeira Yes M 25-65 50 4.8 mmol/L 2.9 mmol/L 1.3 mmol/L 1.3 mmol/L 1.3 mmol/L Marques-Vidal, 1994-1995 University students Probability Monte da Caparica Yes F 190(3.0) 585 174 ND 52 59 Marques-Vidal, 2001 ³² 1994-1995 University students Probability Monte da Caparica Yes F 190(3.0) 585 174 ND 52 59 | | | | | | | М | 15-24 25-34 | 75 71 | 152.3 190.8 | ND | ND | 75 § 147 § |
| $\frac{45.54}{55.64} \frac{106}{122} \frac{224.5}{218.5} \qquad \qquad$ | | | | | | | | 35-44 | 96 | 217.0 | | | 158 § |
| $\frac{55.64}{64.74*} \begin{array}{c} 122 \\ 13 \\ 223.9 \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} 218.5 \\ 223.9 \\ 164 \\ 164 \\ 164 \\ 164 \\ 164 \\ 164 \\ 164 \\ 164 \\ 164 \\ 164 \\ 164 \\ 164 \\ 164 \\ 166 $ | | | | | | | | 45-54 | 106 | 224.5 | | | 160 § |
| Torres, 2000 ³³ 1999 General population Probability Madeira Yes M 25-65 50 4.8 mmol/L 2.9 mmol/L 1.3 mmol/L 1.3 mmol/L Marques-Vidal, 2001 ³² 1994-1995 University students Probability Monte da Caparica Yes F 190(30) 585 174 ND 52 59 Marques-Vidal, 2001 ³² 1994-1995 University students Probability Monte da Caparica Yes F 190(30) 585 174 ND 52 59 | | | | | | | | 55-64 64-74 * | 122 13 | 218.5 223.9 | | | 140 \$ 164 \$ |
| Marques-Vidal, 2001 ³² 1994-1995 University students Probability Monte da Caparica Yes F 190(3.0) 585 174 ND 52 59 M 196(3.6) 416 166 ND 45 70 | Torres, 2000 ³³ | 1999 | General population | Probability | Madeira | Yes | М | 25-65 | 50 | 4.8 mmol/L | 2.9 mmol/L | 1.3 mmol/L | 1.3 mmol/L |
| Marques-Vidal, 2001 ³² 1994-1995 University students Probability Monte da Caparica Yes F 190(3.0) 585 174 ND 52 59 M 196(3.6) 416 166 ND 45 70 | | | | | | | М | 25-65 | 37 | 5.4 mmol/L | 3.4 mmol/L | 1.2 mmol/L | 1.8 mmol/L |
| 2001 ³² Caparica M 196(3.6)¶ 416 166 ND 45 70 | Marques-Vidal, | 1994-1995 | University students | Probability | Monte da | Yes | F | 19.0 (3.0)¶ | 585 | 174 | ND | 52 | 59 |
| | 200132 | | | | Caparica | | М | 19.6 (3.6)¶ | 416 | 166 | ND | 45 | 70 |

| TABLE 3 (co | nt.) - | • Main characteristics and results of studie | s presenting data on mean blood lipids levels. |
|-------------|--------|--|--|
|-------------|--------|--|--|

| 1st Author, | st Author, Year/period Population Sampling Recruitme | | | | Lipids | Sex | Age | Sample | c | Triglycerides | | |
|-----------------------------|--|---------------------|-------------|----------|---------|-----|-------------|--------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------|
| year of publication | of data collection | type | process | place | fasting | | range | size | Total (mg/dL*) | LDL (mg/dL*) | HDL (mg/dL*) | (mg/dL*) |
| Instituto de | 2001 | General population | Probability | Portugal | Yes | F | 18-35 | 1428 | 193.8 | 119.8 | 60.4 | ND |
| Alimentação | | r-r-r | , | 8 | | - | 35-44 | | 196.4 | 125.0 | 57.4 | |
| Becel, 200111 | | | | | | | 45-54 | | 217.4 | 142.6 | 57.3 | |
| | | | | | | | 55-96 | | 225.4 | 148.6 | 53.9 | |
| | | | | | | М | 18-35 | | 190.8 | 123.4 | 45.8 | ND |
| | | | | | | | 35-44 | | 220.5 | 145.6 | 46.6 | |
| | | | | | | | 45-54 | | 222.6 | 146.9 | 48.1 | |
| | | | | | | | 55-96 | | 211.4 | 137.8 | 48.3 | |
| | | | | | | MF | 18-25 | 58 | 169.4 | 106.6 | 50.6 | 93.8 |
| | | | | | | | 25-34 | 233 | 197.4 | 125.3 | 52.8 | 134.4 |
| | | | | | | | 35-44 | 340 | 205.8 | 133.1 | 53.2 | 126.7 |
| | | | | | | | 45-54 | 327 | 220.0 | 144.8 | 52.8 | 138.1 |
| | | | | | | | 55-64 | 169 | 228.0 | 148.0 | 55.3 | 142.3 |
| | | | | | | | 65-74 | 106 | 228.0 | 153.6 | 50.7 | 146.1 |
| | | | | | | | 75-96 | 195 | 209.9 | 137.2 | 49.8 | 127.5 |
| Brandão, 2008 ²⁶ | 2005 | University students | Probability | Aveiro | Yes | F | 20.6 (2.5)¶ | 254 | 176.3 | 90.4 | ND | ND |
| | | | | | | М | 20.7 (3.0)¶ | 124 | 157.5 | 85.2 | ND | ND |
| Freitas, 2008 ³⁷ | 2001 | General population | Probability | Madeira | Yes | MF | 46.1 (11)¶ | 510 | 217.7 | 114.7 | 57.1 | 130.7 |
| Alves, 2008 ³⁰ | 1999-2003 | General population | Probability | Porto | Yes | F | 18-24 | 81 | 178 | 100 | 63 | 78 |
| | | | | | | | 25-34 | 141 | 188 | 108 | 63 | 83 |
| | | | | | | | 35-44 | 275 | 209 | 130 | 60 | 96 |
| | | | | | | | 45-54 | 366 | 225 | 143 | 60 | 110 |
| | | | | | | | 55-64 | 316 | 234 | 150 | 59 | 125 |
| | | | | | | | 65-74 | 269 | 228 | 145 | 59 | 123 |
| | | | | | | | 75-84 | 83 | 231 | 149 | 59 | 117 |
| | | | | | | | 85-93 | 8 | 269 | 190 | 54 | 144 |
| | | | | | | М | 18-24 | 50 | 151 | 88 | 50 | 65 |
| | | | | | | | 25-34 | 84 | 191 | 122 | 49 | 107 |
| | | | | | | | 35-44 | 164 | 222 | 144 | 48 | 158 |
| | | | | | | | 45-54 | 213 | 225 | 149 | 48 | 143 |
| | | | | | | | 55-64 | 195 | 222 | 142 | 52 | 138 |
| | | | | | | | 65-74 | 169 | 217 | 143 | 52 | 119 |
| | | | | | | | 75-84 | 64 | 207 | 130 | 53 | 115 |
| | | | | | | | 85-93 | 7 | 217 | 141 | 69 | 70 |

F-Female; M-Male; MF-Male and female; ND-not defined in the original report;

* Mean cholesterol and triglycerides values are presented in mg/dL, with the exception of the mean values indicated with other units. To convert the values of total cholesterol in mmol/L to mg/dL divide by 0.0113;

† For surveys that reported data by age groups but provided open age intervals at the extremes, we considered the upper/lower limit by assuming the same width for extreme classes as that of the closest class (e.g. for surveys reporting data in participants aged <30, 30−39, 40−49, and ≥50 years, we considered the overall range as 20−59 years);</p>
‡ When the period of data collection was not reported we assumed the publication year minus the median difference between the publication year and date of data collection in

4. When the period of data collection was not reported we assumed the publication year minus the median difference between the publication year and date of data collection in the articles for which that information was available (1.0 years);

\$ Data estimated from the graphs presented in the original report;

 $|| \mathit{Age-} \textit{ and sex-specific estimates obtained directly from the authors;}$

9 Mean age and standard deviation of the participants reported in the original studies, as the total age range of the participants was not reported.

be overemphasized. However, a strict interpretation of international guidelines may lead to individuals with optimal lipid profiles being the exception, rising issues related to medicalization and risk labeling of asymptomatic subjects.³⁰ The physicians' role in aggressively promoting the need for behavioral changes in all patients and using drug therapies with appropriate targeting has become of paramount importance. Strategies to improve blood lipids profile of the population may include measures not only at an individual level but also a national level. These should include concerted efforts for the adoption of healthy lifestyles, through smoking cessation, healthy diet and increased physical activity.

Correspondência:

Helena Carreira

Departamento de Epidemiologia Clínica, Medicina Preditiva e Saúde Pública Faculdade de Medicina – Universidade do Porto Al. Prof Hernâni Monteiro, 4200-319 Porto, Portugal

Email: hcarreira@med.up.pt

REFERENCES

1. Lewington, S., G. Whitlock, R. Clarke, P. Sherliker, J. Emberson, J. Halsey, N. Qizilbash, R. Peto, and R. Collins. Blood cholesterol and vascular mortality by age, sex, and blood pressure: a meta-analysis of individual data from 61 prospective studies with 55,000 vascular deaths. Lancet, 2007. 370(9602): p. 1829-39.

2. World Health Organization, The world health report 2002 - Reducing Risks, Promoting Healthy Life. 2002: Geneve.

3. Portuguese National Institute of Statistics. The demographic changes in Portugal. 2008.

4. World Health Organization Regional Office for Europe, European health for all database. 2012.

 S. Unal, B., J.A. Critchley, and S. Capewell. Explaining the decline in coronary heart disease mortality in England and Wales between 1981 and 2000. Circulation, 2004. 109(9): p. 1101-7.
 Capewell, S., C.E. Morrison, and J.J. McMurray. Contribution of modern cardiovascular treatment and risk factor changes to the decline in coronary heart disease mortality in Scotland between 1975 and 1994. Heart, 1999. 81(4): p. 380-6.

7. Farzadfar, F., M.M. Finucane, G. Danaei, P.M. Pelizzari, M.J. Cowan, C.J. Paciorek, G.M. Singh, J.K. Lin, G.A. Stevens, L.M. Riley, and M. Ezzati. National, regional, and global trends in serum total cholesterol since 1980: systematic analysis of health examination surveys and epidemiological studies with 321 country-years and 3.0 million participants. Lancet, 2011. 377(9765): p. 578-86

8. Laatikainen, T., J. Critchley, E. Vartiainen, V. Salomaa, M. Ketonen, and S. Capewell. Explaining the decline in coronary heart disease mortality in Finland between 1982 and 1997. Am J Epidemiol, 2005. 162(8): p. 764-73.

9. Bonhorst, D., M. Mendes, P. Adragao, J. De Sousa, J. Primo, E. Leiria, and P. Rocha. Prevalence of atrial fibrillation in the Portuguese population aged 40 and over: the FAMA study. Rev Port Cardiol, 2010. 29(3): p. 331-50.

10. Fiuza, M., N. Cortez-Dias, S. Martins, and A. Belo. Metabolic syndrome in Portugal: prevalence and implications for cardiovascular risk-results from the VALSIM Study. Rev Port Cardiol, 2008. 27(12): p. 1495-529.

11. Instituto de Alimentação Becel. Estudo epidemiológico de caracterização do perfil lipídco da população portuguesa. 2001.

12. Pereira, M., H. Carreira, A. Azevedo, and N. Lunet. Trends in hypertension prevalence (1990-2005) and mean blood pressure (1975-2005) in Portugal: a systematic review. Blood Press. 2012 Aug;21(4):220-6.

13. Carreira, H., M. Pereira, A. Azevedo, and N. Lunet. Trends of body mass index, and prevalence of overweight and obesity in Portugal (1995 – 2005): a systematic review. Public Health Nutr. 2012 Feb 28:1-10. [Epub ahead of print]

14. Pereira-Miguel, J.M., M.J. Pereira-Miguel, M.G. Amador, M.J. Halpern, F. Pádua, J.M.P. Pádua, and I. Pinto. Estudos epidemiológicos sobre hipertensão arterial e as hiperlipidémias na população portuguesa. Medicina Universal, 1974. 17(12): p. 363-376.

15. Pereira-Miguel, J.M., Epidemiologia da pressão arterial e da lipidémia em amostras da população Portuguesa. 1983, Faculdade de Medicina da Universidade de Lisboa: Lisboa

16. Martins, M., M.O. Rodrigues, M.C. Almeida, and A. Fonseca. Os resultados dos exames laboratoriais nos inquéritos CINDI n.º 1 (1987) e n.º2 (1993). Arquivos INSA, 1993. 19. 17. Nunes, L., J. Pipa, C. Nascimento, A. Costa, C. Cabral, L. Almeida, N. Soares, J. Ferreira, A. Portugal, L. Veiga, P. Favas, and E. Rufino. [Prevalence of several cardiovascular risk factors in a population in the municipality of Viseu]. Rev Port Cardiol, 1997. 16(9): p. 703-7, 664.

18. Ribas, M.J. and J.F. Ramos. Detecção de Factores de Risco de Cardiopatia Isquémica - Estudo de uma lista de utentes. Cardiologia-Actual, 1997. 7: p. 1911-9.

19. Reis, R.P. A prevenção das doenças cardiovasculares. Cardiologia-Actual, 1997. 7: p. 2050-6.

20. Ferreira, S.D. Estudo das Dislipidémias na Unidade de Saúde de Mata Mourisca (Estudo Epidemiológico). Cardiol-actual, 1998: p. 2415-2425.

21. Simoes, J.A., M.E. Gama, and C.B. Contente. Prevalence of cardiovascular risk factors in a rural population between 25 and 44 years old. Rev Port Cardiol, 2000. 19(6): p. 693-703.

22. Cardoso, J. and M. Mendonça. Factores de Risco para Doença Aterosclerótica numa Força de Segurança Pública da Região Centro. Cardiologia-Actual, 1999. 9: p. 2663-72.

23. Rocha, E., A. Mello e Silva, and P. Nogueira. Relation between hypertension and cardiovascular events-implications for coronary prevention. Rev Port Cardiol, 2003. 22(10): p. 1215-24.

24. Santiago, L.M. and P. Serra e Silva. Coronary heart disease risk: the results of population screening by the Central Region Branch of the Portuguese Heart Foundation. Rev Port Cardiol, 2003. 22(9): p. 1039-48. 25. Carmo Martins, M., L. Lima Faleiro, M.O. Rodrigues, I. Albergaria, and A. Fonseca. [Influence of the APOE genotypes in some atherosclerotic risk factors]. Acta Med Port, 2008. 21(5): p. 433-40. 26. Brandao, M.P., F.L. Pimentel, C.C. Silva, and M.F. Cardoso. Risk factors for cardiovascular disease in a Portuguese university population. Rev Port Cardiol, 2008. 27(1): p. 7-25.

27. Cavaco, A.N. and J.P. Romano. Exploring pharmacist-customer communication: the established blood pressure measurement episode. Pharm World Sci, 2010. 32(5): p. 601-9.

28. Lobao, A., P. Marques, C. Leite, M. Almeida, P. Araujo, V. Cardoso, M.E. Pinto, and F. Vidal. [Smoking and cardiovascular risk factors in Barao do Corvo Health Center]. Acta Med Port, 2010. 23(2): p. 159-66. 29. Teles, A.G., M. Carreira, V. Alarcao, D. Sociol, J.M. Aragues, L. Lopes, M. Mascarenhas, and J.G. Costa. Prevalence, severity, and risk factors for erectile dysfunction in a representative sample of 3,548 portuguese men aged 40 to 69 years attending primary healthcare centers: results of the Portuguese erectile dysfunction study. J Sex Med, 2008, 5(6): p. 1317-24.

30. Alves, L. and A. Azevedo. Hypercholesterolemia, eligibility for lipid-lowering therapy and therapeutic success population-based study in a Portuguese urban population. Eur J Endocrinol, 2008. 159(6): p. 755-60. 31. dos Reis, R.P. and H.P. dos Reis. [Cardiovascular risk factors in the list of patients of a general practitioner]. Rev Port Cardiol, 1990. 9(7-8): p. 607-12

32. Marques-Vidal, P., S. Llobet, J.A. Carvalho Rodrigues, and M.J. Halpern. Cardiovascular risk factor levels in Portuguese students. Acta Cardiol, 2001. 56(2): p. 97-101.

33. Torres, I., F. Correia, C. Cruz, J. Maia, and J.P. Lima-Reis. Prevalência da Obesidade na área do grande Porto. Arq Med, 1989. 3: p. 377-9.

34. Kafatos, A., J.L. Schlienger, J.P. Deslypere, A. Ferro-Luzzi, and J.A. Cruz. Nutritional status: serum lipids. Euronut SENECA investigators. Eur J Clin Nutr, 1991. 45 Suppl 3: p. 53-61.

35. Schneider, V. J. Cruz, D. Lopes, G. Bruges, J. Paisana, F. Gomes, and C. Gil. Prevalência dos principais factores de risco cardiovascular na população dos Açores. Rev Port Cardiol, 1995. 14(12): p. 1019-1027. 36. Torres, I.C., L. Mira, C.P. Ornelas, and A. Melim. Study of the effects of dietary fish intake on serum lipids and lipoproteins in two populations with different dietary habits. Br J Nutr, 2000. 83(4): p. 371-9. 37. Freitas, A.I., I. Mendonca, M. Brion, M.M. Sequeira, R.P. Reis, A. Carracedo, and A. Brehm. RAS gene polymorphisms, classical risk factors and the advent of coronary artery disease in the Portuguese

population. BMC Cardiovasc Disord, 2008. 8: p. 15.

38. Canhao, P., F. Falcao, T. Pinho e Melo, H. Ferro, and J. Ferro. Vascular risk factors for perimesencephalic nonaneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage. J Neurol, 1999. 246(6): p. 492-6.

39. Canhao, P., A.N. Pinto, H. Ferro, and J.M. Ferro. Smoking and aneurysmal subarachnoid haemorrhage: a case-control study. J Cardiovasc Risk, 1994. 1(2): p. 155-8.

Eliasson, M., U. Janlert, J.H. Jansson, and B. Stegmayr. Time trends in population cholesterol levels 1986-2004: influence of lipid-lowering drugs, obesity, smoking and educational level. The northern Sweden MONICA study. J Intern Med, 2006. 260(6): p. 551-9.
 Poobalan, A., L. Aucott, W.C. Smith, A. Avenell, R. Jung, J. Broom, and A.M. Grant. Effects of weight loss in overweight/obese individuals and long-term lipid outcomes-a systematic review. Obes

Rev, 2004. 5(1): p. 43-50.

42. Denke, M.A., C.T. Sempos, and S.M. Grundy. Excess body weight. An underrecognized contributor to high blood cholesterol levels in white American men. Arch Intern Med, 1993. 153(9): p. 1093-103. 43. Craig, W.Y., G.E. Palomaki, and J.E. Haddow. Cigarette smoking and serum lipid and lipoprotein concentrations: an analysis of published data. BMJ, 1989. 298(6676): p. 784-8.

44. Kromhout, D., A. Menotti, B. Bloemberg, C. Aravanis, H. Blackburn, R. Buzina, A.S. Dontas, F. Fidanza, S. Giampaoli, A. Jansen, and et al. Dietary saturated and trans fatty acids and cholesterol and 25-year mortality from coronary heart disease: the Seven Countries Study. Prev Med, 1995. 24(3): p. 308-15.

45. Clarke, R., C. Frost, R. Collins, P. Appleby, and R. Peto. Dietary lipids and blood cholesterol: quantitative meta-analysis of metabolic ward studies. BMJ, 1997. 314(7074): p. 112-7.

46. Abourbih, S., K.B. Filion, L. Joseph, E.L. Schiffrin, S. Rinfret, P. Poirier, L. Pilote, J. Genest, and M.J. Eisenberg. Effect of fibrates on lipid profiles and cardiovascular outcomes: a systematic review. Am J Med, 2009. 122(10): p. 962 e1-8.

47. Law, M.R., N.J. Wald, and A.R. Rudnicka. Quantifying effect of statins on low density lipoprotein cholesterol, ischaemic heart disease, and stroke: systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ, 2003. 326(7404): p. 1423.

48. Marques-Vidal, P., P. Ravasco, C.M. Dias, and M.E. Camilo. Trends of food intake in Portugal, 1987-1999: results from the National Health Surveys. Eur J Clin Nutr, 2006. 60(12): p. 1414-22. 49. Instituto Nacional de Estatística and Instituto Nacional de Saúde Dr. Ricardo Jorge. Inquérito Nacional de Saúde 1998-1999. 2000.

50. Precisos, J., J. Calheiro, D. Pereira, H. Campos, H. Antues, L. Rebelo, and J. Bonito, [Prevalence and smoking trends in Portugal and Europe]. Acta Med Port, 2009. 22(4): p. 335-48. 51. LaRosa, J.C., J. He, and S. Vupputuri. Effect of statins on risk of coronary disease: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. JAMA, 1999. 282(24): p. 2340-6.

52. Teixeira, I.J., A. Escoval, and M. Schiappa. Lipid-lowering drugs: use and expenditure in Portugal (1995-2004). Rev Port Cardiol, 2007. 26(5): p. 475-93

53. National Institute of Health, National Heart Lung and Blood Institute, and National Cholesterol Education Program. Third report of the National Cholesterol Education Program Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III).

54. De Backer, G., E. Ambrosioni, K. Borch-Johnsen, C. Brotons, R. Cifkova, J. Dallongeville, S. Ebrahim, O. Faergeman, I. Graham, G. Mancia, V.M. Cats, K. Orth-Gomer, J. Perk, K. Pyorala, J.L. Rodicio, S. Sans, V. Sansoy, U. Sechtern, S. Silber, T. Thomsen, and D. Wood. European guidelines on cardiovascular disease prevention in clinical practice: third joint task force of European and other societies on cardiovascultar disease prevention in clinical practice (constituted by representatives of eight societies and by invited experts). Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil, 2003. 10(4): p. 51-510. 55. Ford, E.S., C. Li, W.S. Pearson, G. Zhao, and A.H. Mokdad. Trends in hypercholesterolemia, treatment and control among United States adults. Int J Cardiol, 2010. 140(2): p. 226-35.

56. Kuulasmaa, K., H. Tunstall-Pedoe, A. Dobson, S. Fortmann, S. Sans, H. Tolonen, A. Evans, M. Ferrario, and J. Tuomilehto. Estimation of contribution of changes in classic risk factors to trends in coronary-event rates across the WHO MONICA Project populations. Lancet, 2000. 355(9205): p. 675-87.

57. Law, M.R., N.J. Wald, and S.G. Thompson. By how much and how quickly does reduction in serum cholesterol concentration lower risk of ischaemic heart disease? BMJ, 1994. 308(6925): p. 367-72. 58. Canháo, P., F. Falcao, T. Pinho e Melo, H. Ferro, and J. Ferro. Vascular risk factors for perimesencephalic nonaneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage. J Neurol, 1999. 246(6): p. 492-6.