Lipoma of the Ileum: A Rare Cause of Intussusception in Adults

Lipoma Ileal: Uma Causa Rara de Intussusceção em Adultos

Diogo Melo Pinto^{1*}, Marina Morais¹, Tatiana Moreira Marques¹, Francisco Marrana¹, Fmanuel Guerreiro¹

*Autor Correspondente/Corresponding Author:

Diogo Melo Pinto [diogo.melopinto@ulsm.min-saude.pt] ORCID iD: 0000-0002-4580-7081

RESUMO

A intussusceção é uma entidade rara no adulto. Os sintomas não inespecíficos e na maioria das vezes o diagnóstico é feito com base em tomografia computorizada. Apresentamos um caso de um adulto com obstrução intestinal por intussusceção ileo-ileal causada por um lipoma.

Caso de doente do sexo masculino com dor epigástrica em cólica com um dia de evolução, vómitos biliares e distensão abdominal. O raio-X abdominal revelava níveis hidroaéreos e a tomografia computorizada sugeria uma intussusceção ileo-ileal. Foi realizada uma ressecção intestinal envolvendo a lesão com linfadenectomia associada e anastomose primária. O resultado histopatológico revelou um lipoma. O doente teve alta ao quinto dia pós-operatório.

O lipoma é uma causa rara de intussusceção, caracterizando-se pelo diagnóstico desafiador e ausência de sintomas específicos. A realização precoce de um exame de imagem é essencial para o diagnóstico, estando recomendada uma ressecção cirúrgica da lesão.

PALAVRAS-CHAVE: Doenças dos Intestinos; Lipoma/diagnóstico; Obstrução Intestinal/diagnóstico; Obstrução Intestinal/etiologia

1. General Surgery Department, Unidade Local de Saúde de Matosinhos (ULSM), Matosinhos, Portugal.

Recebido/Received: 2022/06/10 - Aceite/Accepted: 2023/08/17 - Publicado online/Published online: 2023/11/07 - Publicado/Published: 2023/12/31 © Author(s) (or their employer(s)) and Gazeta Médica 2023. Re-use permitted under CC BY 4.0. No commercial re-use. © Autor (es) (ou seu (s) empregador (es)) e Gazeta Médica 2023. Reutilização permitida de acordo com CC BY 4.0

ABSTRACT

Bowel intussusception is a rare entity in adults. Symptoms are nonspecific and most of diagnoses are made by computed tomography scan. We report the case of an adult with bowel obstruction due to an ileoileal intussusception caused by a lipoma.

A 44-year-old male patient with colicky epigastric pain since the day before, bilious vomiting, abdominal distension and epigastrium tenderness. Plain abdominal radiography revealed dilation of small bowel with gas-fluid levels and computed tomography scan suggested an ileoileal intussusception. We performed bowel resection comprising the lesion, removal of the regional lymph nodes and a primary anastomosis. The histopathology report revealed a lipoma. Patient was discharged on the fifth postoperative day.

A lipoma is a rare cause of intussusception, with a challenging diagnosis, because of nonspecific symptoms. An early computed tomography scan is essential for the diagnosis and prompt surgical resection is recommended.

KEYWORDS: Intestinal Diseases; Intussusception/diagnosis; Intussusception/etiology; Lipoma/diagnosis

INTRODUCTION

Bowel intussusception, a process in which a proximal segment of intestine invaginates into the lumen of the adjacent intestinal, is a rare entity which occurs in 2-3 cases/1 000 000 per year and causes 1%-5% of bowel obstruction cases. This entity appears more frequently in children and males and is the second most common cause of acute abdomen in children. In the case of acute abdomen in children.

Classification of intussusception can be done according to its etiology or location. In the adult population only 8%-20% of cases are idiopathic/primary. 4,5 Several conditions like benign polyp, lipoma, diverticulum, malignant tumors, HIV infection, celiac sprue or intramural hematoma may predispose to intussusception.^{2,3} Intussusception can be categorized according to the involved bowel segment: 1) entero-enteric; 2) colo-colic; 3) ileo-colic; and 4) ileo-cecal.⁶ A literature review from Honjo et al has revealed a malignant tumor etiology in 48% of patients with colo-colic intussusception and in 17% of those with entero-enteric. The most frequent histologic findings are adenocarcinoma or metastatic melanoma, respectively.^{1,8} Wang et al, in his series of 292 cases of intussusception, found that the most common enteric etiology were lipomas and not metastatic lesions.9

Unlike children, the majority of adult patients with intussusception present nonspecific symptoms such as dull abdominal pain, changes in bowel habits and abdominal distension. The classic triad of abdominal pain, vomiting and lower gastrointestinal bleeding rarely occurs. Acute symptoms due to complete bowel obstruction appear in less than 20% of the patients. In few cases, patients may develop sepsis and bowel perforation or necrosis. Physicians should also inquire for constitutional symptoms, such as weight loss, fatigue

or anorexia. Despite the nonspecificity of the condition, detection of adult intussusception has increased with the widespread use of novel diagnostic imaging techniques.

CASE REPORT

A 44-year-old male patient presented to the urgency department with intermittent colicky epigastric pain since the day before, which initiated in the peri-umbilical area and was associated with bilious vomiting. He denied any other symptoms, namely fever, abdominal distension, digestive hemorrhage or change of bowel habits.

His past medical and family history was irrelevant, and no previous endoscopic studies had been performed. His vital signs were within reference values. Physical examination revealed dehydration, abdominal distension, epigastrium tenderness and no palpable masses. On rectal examination, no masses or signs of bleeding were found. Adequate hydro-electrolyte resuscitation was initiated on admission.

Initial laboratory data showed white cell count of 12.59 x 10°/L, with 90% neutrophils and a C-reactive protein (CRP) of 8.10 mg/L. Standing plain abdominal radiography revealed dilation of small bowel with gasfluid levels (Fig. 1). There were no signs of perforation. Contrast-enhanced computed tomography (CT) scan of abdomen and pelvis showed a small bowel obstruction and a typical "sausage" sign near the ileocecal junction surrounded by its mesenteric fat, suggestive of ileoileal intussusception (Fig. 2). There were no indirect signs of a malignant tumor.

The patient was taken to the operating room for a laparotomy. On exploration, we found a grossly dilated small bowel and a 2.5×3 cm palpable intraluminal lump, already without intussusception.



FIGURE 1. Abdominal radiograph on admission: Lower abdomen axial CT scan: small bowel intussusception with dilation of the edematous upstream bowel loops and "sausage"-shape soft tissue mass.

This mass was soft, mobile, with no adherence to the mesentery. Also, no macroscopic signs of local invasion or metastatic lymph nodes were found. We resected the involved segment of the bowel with a 3 cm margin on either side, removed the closest lymph nodes and performed a primary anastomosis. Cut section of resected specimen showed a pedunculated polyp with intact overlying mucosa (Fig. 3). The histopathology report revealed a $2.7 \times 2.3 \times 3$ cm submucosal lipoma of the terminal ileum. There was no evidence of dysplasia or malignancy.

Post-operative period was uneventful, and the patient was discharged on the fifth postoperative day.

DISCUSSION

Any lesion in the bowel that alters normal peristaltic activity can initiate an invagination. In this case, the causing lesion was a lipoma, a benign tumor of mesenchymal origin, usually solitary, that can be found anywhere along the gastrointestinal tract, most commonly in the colon. They can cause of acute intestinal obstruction as presented above, but also nonspecific gastrointestinal symptoms or blood loss. During the first decade of this century, only 51 cases of adult intussusception caused by lipomas were reported in the literature. During the second decade, only 55 cases were published as case reports in PubMed (pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/), mainly in the colon.



FIGURE 2. Abdominal CT on admission: small bowel intussusception with dilation of the edematous upstream bowel loops and "sausage"-shape soft tissue mass.

Lipomas account for 5% of all gastrointestinal tumors and are the second most common benign tumor of the small bowel. ^{2,11} The peak age of incidence is between 50 and 70 years old and it appears to affect more women than men. ^{1,8,12} They are usually asymptomatic, especially if they are small. Symptoms are usually vague, such as abdominal pain, diarrhea or vomiting. A palpable mass is present in fewer than 40% of the cases. ¹¹ When larger than 2 cm, they might ulcerate and cause acute or chronic anemia due to blood losses. ^{1,11} Due to their intramural location, lipomas may also be one of the causes of intussusception, and therefore of acute intestinal obstruction. Our patient presented with acute obstruction rather than nonspecific symptoms, which relates to its intramural location and large size of the lesion.

The variability in clinical presentations often requires the use of imaging methods.8 CT scan is the method of choice for the diagnosis of intussusception, with a reported sensitivity of more than 70% and 100% of specificity.^{1,3,9,10} Classic imaging signs consist of "target" or "sausage" signs, a mass lesion with Hounsfield units between -80 and -120 and a crescent-like fatty mass.^{3,5,11} In our case report, a typical "sausage" sign led us to consider intussusception as the most probable diagnostic. Computed tomography (CT) scan can also provide indirect signs of bowel ischemia (gas in the bowel wall, in the mesenteric and portal veins, and mesenteric fat stranding) or malignancy (larger size and thickness of the mass, intratumoral calcification/ hyperattenuation, regional lymphadenopathy, direct invasion of adjacent organs or metastasis). 1,13 However, due to economic and safety reasons, ultrasound is usually the first tool in the diagnosis, which is more appropriate if a palpable mass is found. An echogenic mass with pseudokidney sign, a trident sign or a doughnut sign can make the diagnosis of intussusception on ultrasound.^{1,2}



FIGURE 3. Macroscopic examination of specimen: pendulum polypoid submucosal tumor of the terminal ileum causing the intussusception.

Other approaches for the diagnosis of intussusception are endoscopy, plain abdominal radiography, barium studies, endoscopy, colonoscopy or magnetic resonance imaging.^{3,4}

Unlike children, adults' intussusception rarely resolves withbariumstudiesduetothehighincidenceofunderlying anatomical abnormalities, and surgical treatment is usually indicated.^{5,9} The extension of surgery depends on the site, cause and degree of obstruction. Resection with or without prior reduction is a controversial issue because reduction of an undiagnosed lesion could lead to transperitoneal or vascular seeding if an underlying malignant lesion is the cause. It can also increase the risk of bowel perforation and venous embolization.^{7,14} Therefore, reduction of the intussusception should be reserved for patients in whom resection may cause short gut syndrome or in whom benign diagnosis has been made previously. 10,15 Intraoperative colonoscopy may help to distinguish benign from malignant lesions before reduction. Irreversible bowel ischemia or marked inflammation are contraindications for reduction. 1,7,10 For acute intussusception, an en bloc resection without previous reduction is the recommended treatment.^{2,10} For lesions on the right side of the colon, resection with primary anastomosis is preferred. For left-sided lesions, it is safer to perform resection with a colostomy and Hartmann's pouch, with re-anastomosis at a second stage.9

Some authors recommend operative reduction for small bowel before resection with primary anastomosis,

once again due to concerns of a short gut syndrome and because small bowel lesions were malignant in less than half of the cases (12%-40%).^{5,7,9} Laparoscopic approach has been reported as a possibility based on the clinical condition of the patient, the location and extent of intussusception and surgeons' expertise. In our case, we chose to perform an open approach due to exuberant dilation of the small bowel. An ileoileal intussusception was diagnosed intraoperatively without any macroscopic malignancy criteria, therefore we performed a resection of the bowel involving the lesion, after spontaneous reduction. Endoscopic resection has been described, although complications such as perforation or hemorrhage have been reported.³

The major risk factor affecting intussusception prognosis is the cause of the lesion, with overall mortality rates ranging between 8.7% for benign lesions to 52.4% with malignant.^{3,4} Lipomas are a benign condition with no reports of malignant transformation and therefore with good prognosis.¹ There is no report of mortality due to lipoma in patients successfully treated.¹⁴

DECLARAÇÃO DE CONTRIBUIÇÃO/CONTRIBUTORSHIP STATEMENT

DP: Aquisição, análise, interpretação dos dados e escrita

MM: Aquisição, análise, interpretação dos dados e revisão final

TM e FM: Aquisição, análise e interpretação dos dados

EG: Revisão final

DP: Acquisition, analysis, interpretation of data and writing

MM: Acquisition, analysis, interpretation of data and final review

TM and FM: Acquisition, analysis and interpretation of data

EG: Final review

RESPONSABILIDADES ÉTICAS

CONFLITOS DE INTERESSE: Os autores declaram a inexistência de conflitos de interesse na realização do presente trabalho.

FONTES DE FINANCIAMENTO: Não existiram fontes externas de financiamento para a realização deste artigo.

CONFIDENCIALIDADE DOS DADOS: Os autores declaram ter seguido os protocolos da sua instituição acerca da publicação dos dados de doentes.

CONSENTIMENTO: Consentimento do doente para publicação obtido.

PROVENIÊNCIA E REVISÃO POR PARES: Não comissionado; revisão externa por pares.

ETHICAL DISCLOSURES

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST: The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

FINANCING SUPPORT: This work has not received any contribution, grant or scholarship.

CONFIDENTIALITY OF DATA: The authors declare that they have followed the protocols of their work center on the publication of data from patients.

PATIENT CONSENT: Consent for publication was obtained.

PROVENANCE AND PEER REVIEW: Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

REFERENCES

- Manouras A, Lagoudianakis EE, Dardamanis D, Tsekouras DK, Markogiannakis H, Genetzakis M, et al. Lipoma induced jejunojejunal intussusception. World J Gastroenterol. 2007;13:3641-4. doi: 10.3748/wjg.v13.i26.3641.
- Vagholkar K, Chavan R, Mahadik A, Maurya I. Lipoma of the Small Intestine: A Cause for Intussusception in Adults. Case Rep Surg. 2015, 2015:856030. doi: 10.1155/2015/856030.
- Lee DE, Choe JY. Ileocolic intussusception caused by a lipoma in an adult. World J Clin Cases. 2017;5:254-7. doi: 10.12998/ wjcc.v5.i6.254.
- Azar T, Berger DL. Adult intussusception. Ann Surg. 1997;226:134-8. doi: 10.1097/00000658-199708000-00003.
- Krasniqi AS, Hamza AR, Salihu LM, Spahija GS, Bicaj BX, Krasniqi SA, et al. Compound double ileoileal and ileocecocolic intussusception caused by lipoma of the ileum in an adult patient: A case report. J Med Case Rep. 2011:5:452. doi: 10.1186/1752-1947-5-452.
- Marinis A, Yiallourou A, Samanides L, Dafnios N, Anastasopoulos G, Vassiliou I, et al. Intussusception of the bowel in adults: a review. World J Gastroenterol. 2009;15:407-11. doi: 10.3748/wjg.15.407.
- Honjo H, Mike M, Kusanagi H, Kano N. Adult intussusception: a retrospective review. World J Surg. 2015; 39:134-8. doi: 10.1007/s00268-014-2759-9.
- Boyack I, Vu D, Patel P, Opsha O. Colocolic intussusception secondary to submucosal lipoma. Am J Emerg Med. 2020;38:1697.e1-1697.e3.doi:10.1016/j.ajem.2020.04.046.
- Wang LT, Wu CC, Yu JC, Hsiao CW, Hsu CC, Jao SW. Clinical entity and treatment strategies for adult intussusceptions: 20 years' experience. Dis Colon Rectum. 2007;50:1941-9. doi: 10.1007/s10350-007-9048-8.

- 10. Mouaqit O, Hasnai H, Chbani L, Benjelloun B, El Bouhaddouti H, Ibn El Majdoub K, et al. Adult intussusceptions caused by a lipoma in the jejunum: report of a case and review of the literature. World J Emerg Surg. 2012;7:28. doi: 10.1186/1749-7922-7-28.
- 11. Thompson WM. Imaging and findings of lipomas of the gastrointestinal tract. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2005;184:1163-71. doi: 10.2214/ajr.184.4.01841163.
- 12. Balamoun H, Doughan S. Ileal lipoma a rare cause of ileocolic intussusception in adults: Case report and literature review. World J Gastrointest Surg. 201;3:13-5. doi: 10.4240/wjgs. v3.i1.13.
- 13. Li ZH, You DY, Gao DP, Yang GJ, Dong XX, Zhang DF, et al. Role of CT scan in differentiating the type of colorectal cancer. Onco Targets Ther. 2017;10:2297-203. doi: 10.2147/OTT. S131008.
- 14. Barussaud M, Regenet N, Briennon X, de Kerviler B, Pessaux P, Kohneh-Sharhi N, et al. Clinical spectrum and surgical approach of adult intussusceptions: a multicentric study. Int J Colorectal Dis. 2006;21:834-839. doi: 10.1007/s00384-005-0789-3.
- 15. Namikawa T, Hokimoto N, Okabayashi T, Kumon M, Kobayashi M, Hanazaki K. Adult ileoileal intussusception induced by an ileal lipoma diagnosed preoperatively: report of a case and review of the literature. Surg Today. 2012;42:686-92. doi: 10.1007/s00595-011-0092-6.