

The Patient Bomb: Sustained Viral Response after Hepatitis C in Cirrhosis

Rui António Rocha Tato Marinho

Serviço de Gastreenterologia e Hepatologia, Lisbon, Portugal

Keywords

Hepatitis C · Liver cirrhosis · Hepatocellular carcinoma · Antiviral agent · Sofosbuvir

Cirrose e resposta virológica mantida

Palavras Chave

Hepatite C · Cirrose hepática · Carcinoma hepatocelular · Agente antivírico · Sofosbuvir

The paper by Pereira Guedes et al. [1], analyzing data from a real-world Portuguese cohort with advanced liver disease, provides us with some interesting ideas, with translation into our clinical and real practice. The study, albeit retrospective, has evaluated data from 237 patients in a tertiary university hospital; 99% completed treatment, and the sustained viral response (SVR) was 98%, similar to the best trial results [2]. Almost two-thirds of the patients were cirrhotics, one-third having intense fibrosis. Patients have been included in the first years of medical therapy with direct antiviral agents (DAA) in Portugal [3].

The overall mortality rate in this study was 19/1,000 person-years, and the liver-related mortality rate was 9.5/1,000 person-years. The hepatic decompensation incidence rate was 25/1,000 person-years, and the hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) incidence rate was 11.6/1,000.

SVR is associated with a low risk of, but does not prevent, evolution to HCC disease progression, especially in

the presence of other causes of liver injury [4]. It is recommended that these patients be kept under close surveillance [5]. There are two main messages: even with cirrhosis, it is possible to eliminate individually the virus, sustained some years after the end of therapy. There is no recurrence of HCV as is the case for HBV. Albeit, cirrhosis is a disease for life. We can talk about real “cure” for patients without cirrhosis but not for patients with intense fibrosis or cirrhosis. It is different to cure the virus only (cirrhosis) and to cure both the virus and the disease (if no cirrhosis).

Some authors call for a need of simplification, saying that it is not necessarily the best evaluation of liver fibrosis. The two best methods for grading fibrosis are liver biopsy and elastography (Fibroscan®). We know the utility and the accuracy of some scores like AST to Platelet Ratio Index (APRI) and others like FIB-4, but they are not as good as elastography. If we live in a setting in which we have access to Fibroscan®, as is the case of the majority of Western Medicine, for example in Portugal. Liver cirrhosis is an oncogenic disorder, one of the most oncogenic situations that we know in medicine, with a 10–40% risk of evolution to HCC at 10 years.

In the paper by Pereira Guedes et al. [1], elastography, liver biopsy and clinical evaluation was used before therapy. After DAA treatment, there is a reduction of the value of elastography. Specially in patients with values close to 12.5 kPa, after therapy, it will be less than 12.5 kPa, with a value of “no cirrhosis” – a false negative...

Why in the name of simplification, put the safety in question in a pre-oncogenic situation? The risk stills persist after SVR, even though at a lower level.

In this study, the average age was 58 years, 16.6% had esophageal varices and the average level for elastography was 20.73 KpA. 70% have had cirrhosis. In fact, patients with advanced disease need treatment as soon as possible. We can say that to treat liver cirrhosis with hepatitis C is a “liver emergency.” Almost 50% of the patients had been treated with interferon, which means that they were “difficult” patients. The adherence has been fantastic, never seen in other situations. Even in patients with difficult situations, like People Who Injected Drugs (PWID), the adherence has been globally almost 100% [6].

The follow-up of this cohort is too short, only 2.5 years after EOT, but the liver mortality was 2.2% (19/1,000 person-years). Four patients (1.7%) died of HCC.

De novo HCC appeared in 10 patients, in 4 patients during treatment. Mortality was higher in patients with cirrhosis, in patients with higher values of MELD (Models for End-Stage Liver Disease, >10), and in patients with a previous history of decompensation.

In the first years of DAA, we have been dealing with difficult patients (i.e., advanced cirrhosis), especially in the very beginning. Saying so, we are dealing with one of the highest oncogenic diseases in medicine. The risk of HCC is between 10 and 40% at 10 years.

Another question is related to the quality of the diagnosis of HCC with ultrasound [7]. If HCC appears during treatment or a short time after the end of treatment, we can conclude that it was there at the time of the last ultrasound, perhaps with small dimensions. But it is known the high dependency from the operator, that lack of control of the practice of doctors who perform the liver ultrasounds. Besides a basic education in digestive ultrasound, we should create a specific and official competence recognized by the Portuguese Board of Doctors (Ordem dos Médicos) (and/or a periodic recertification).

In conclusion, it is recommended to keep these patients under surveillance. Cirrhosis is a disease for life, and we must keep in mind an important message when communicating the SVR to patients with cirrhosis/intense fibrosis. The “Diploma/Clinical Report” should say: “We have viral elimination for life, but you need to do an ultrasound with a good radiologist or a doctor very keen on digestive ultrasound every 6 months. For life.”

Finally, SVR is associated with a low risk of, but does not prevent, HCC occurrence or disease progression, especially in the presence of other causes of liver injury. Patients should avoid alcohol [8], lose weight [9], stop smoking, control diabetes [10], and drink coffee.

It is a second-hand harm reduction risks and a damage control. The elastography done before therapy can help with the risk estimation [11].

References

- 1 Pereira Guedes T, Fragoso P, Lemos C, Garrido M, Silva J, Falcão D, et al. Long-Term Follow-Up of Advanced Liver Disease after Sustained Virological Response to Treatment of Hepatitis C with Direct-Acting Antivirals: Outcomes from a Real-World Portuguese Cohort. *GE Port J Gastroenterol*. 2019. doi: 10.1159/000503074.
- 2 Zeuzem S, Jacobson IM, Baykal T, Marinho RT, Poordad F, Bourlière M, et al. Retreatment of HCV with ABT-450/r-ombitasvir and dasabuvir with ribavirin. *N Engl J Med*. 2014 Apr;370(17):1604–14.
- 3 Rodrigues J, Tato-Marinho R, Mota-Filipe H, Martins AP, Martins JC, Andreozzi V, et al. Evidence of Impressive Real World SVR from the Portuguese Ledipasvir/Sofosbuvir and Sofosbuvir Universal Coverage Program to Eradicate (Eliminate) Hepatitis C. *J Hepatol*. 2016;64(2):S224.
- 4 van der Meer AJ, Veldt BJ, Feld JJ, Wedemeyer H, Dufour JF, Lammert F, et al. Association between sustained virological response and all-cause mortality among patients with chronic hepatitis C and advanced hepatic fibrosis. *JAMA*. 2012 Dec;308(24):2584–93.
- 5 Ioannou GN, Beste LA, Green PK, Singal AG, Tapper EB, Waljee AK, et al. Increased Risk for Hepatocellular Carcinoma Persists Up to 10 Years After HCV Eradication in Patients With Baseline Cirrhosis or High FIB-4 Scores. *Gastroenterology*. 2019 Nov;157(5):1264–1278.e4.
- 6 Grebely J, Dalgard O, Conway B, Cunningham EB, Bruggmann P, Hajarizadeh B, et al.; SIMPLIFY Study Group. Sofosbuvir and velpatasvir for hepatitis C virus infection in people with recent injection drug use (SIMPLIFY): an open-label, single-arm, phase 4, multicentre trial. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2018 Mar;3(3):153–61.
- 7 Hanna RF, Miloushev VZ, Tang A, Finklestone LA, Brejt SZ, Sandhu RS, et al. Comparative 13-year meta-analysis of the sensitivity and positive predictive value of ultrasound, CT, and MRI for detecting hepatocellular carcinoma. *Abdom Radiol (NY)*. 2016 Jan;41(1):71–90.
- 8 Kanwal F, Kramer JR, Asch SM, Cao Y, Li L, El-Serag HB. Long-Term Risk of Hepatocellular Carcinoma in HCV Patients Treated With Direct Acting Antiviral Agents. *Hepatology*. 2019. doi: 10.1002/hep.30823.
- 9 Peleg N, Issachar A, Sneh Arbib O, Cohen-Naftaly M, Harif Y, Oxtrud E, et al. Liver steatosis is a major predictor of poor outcomes in chronic hepatitis C patients with sustained virological response. *J Viral Hepat*. 2019 Nov; 26(11):1257–65.
- 10 Veldt BJ, Chen W, Heathcote EJ, Wedemeyer H, Reichen J, Hofmann WP, et al. Increased risk of hepatocellular carcinoma among patients with hepatitis C cirrhosis and diabetes mellitus. *Hepatology*. 2008 Jun;47(6):1856–62.
- 11 Vergnion J, Foucher J, Terrebbonne E, Bernard PH, le Bail B, Merrouche W, et al. Noninvasive tests for fibrosis and liver stiffness predict 5-year outcomes of patients with chronic hepatitis C. *Gastroenterology*. 2011 Jun;140(7): 1970-9, 1979.e1-3.