GE – Portuguese Journal of Gastroenterology

GE Port J Gastroenterol 2023;30:249–251 DOI: 10.1159/000524269 Received: September 1, 2021 Accepted: February 13, 2022 Published online: April 28, 2022

# Direct Mucosal-Side Fibrosis Cutting for Salvage Endoscopic Submucosal Dissection of Secondary Barrett's Neoplasia Adjacent Multiband Resection Scars

Vincent Zimmer<sup>a, b</sup> Bert Bier<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Medicine, Marienhausklinik St. Josef Kohlhof, Neunkirchen, Germany; <sup>b</sup>Department of Medicine II, Saarland University Medical Center, Saarland University Homburg, Homburg, Germany; <sup>c</sup>Institute of Pathology Saarbrücken-Rastpfuhl, Saarbrücken, Germany

#### Keywords

 $Barrett`s \ esophagus \cdot Early \ cancer \cdot Endoscopic \ submucosal \\ dissection \cdot Perforation \cdot Fibrosis \cdot Multiband \ resection$ 

Incisão mucosa directa de fibrose para ESD de resgate de neoplasia em Barrett adjacente a cicatrizes de resseção multibanda

### **Palavras Chave**

Esófago de Barrett · Neoplasia precoce · Disseção endoscópica da submucosa · Perfuração · Fibrose · Ressecção multibandas

A 54-year-old male patient with long-standing Barrett's esophagus underwent multiband ligation endoscopic mucosal resection (MBL-EMR) 1 year previously due to low-risk early cancer (pT1m2, L0, V0, G2, R0). Of note, a nodular-type small Barrett's neoplasia was resected en bloc in one EMR specimen, while the remaining specimens contained areas of low-grade dysplasia without circumscribed lesions. Radiofrequency ablation of the remaining non-dysplastic Barrett's mucosa with preserved acetic acid whitening was scheduled; however, the patient missed several follow-up appointments. At repeat EGD, a secondary Paris 0-IIa lesion estimated at 15 mm

Karger@karger.com www.karger.com/pjg © 2022 Sociedade Portuguesa de Gastrenterologia. Published by S. Karger AG, Basel

This article is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY) (http://www.karger.com/Services/ OpenAccessLicense). Usage, derivative works and distribution are permitted provided that proper credit is given to the author and the original publisher. and representing a second Barrett's neoplasia emerged adjacent to MBL-EMR scars at oral (towards the mouth) and anterior (towards the sternum) aspects (Fig. 1a, linked color imaging). Acetic acid staining was only abrogated within the lesion itself and endoscopic biopsies confirmed well-differentiated adenocarcinoma. The patient presented for endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD) after adequate counselling, including alternative surgery. First, an uncomplicated C-shaped incision from the anal side around the posterior (towards the back, or towards 6 o'clock) parts was performed. Unlike the conventional ESD approach to high-grade fibrosis (distant mucosal incision, submucosal approach to fibrosis with or without tunnel technique), direct cutting into the scar area was tried using an articulating ESD knife (3.5-mm ClutchCutter, Fuji, Düsseldorf, Germany). An initial injection of indigo carmine-saline mixture likewise failed to reasonably lift the mucosa. Special attention was paid to first cut in an ultra-superficial fashion as indicated by a crepe paper-like appearance (electrosurgical settings as for mucosal incision: endo cut 1, effect 2, duration 4, interval 1; hemostasis: soft coagulation, effect 4, 100 W; Fig. 1b). Of note, a hard and longer Inoue-type cap was used to adequately grasp the tissue in a superficial fashion. With the incised mucosa continuously pushed aside by the opened scissors, deeper cuts through dense high-

Correspondence to: Vincent Zimmer, vincent.zimmer@gmx.de



**Fig. 1. a** Linked color imaging illustrating an estimated 15-mm, Paris 0-IIa neoplastic lesion adjacent multiband resection scars. **b** Ultra-superficial direct mucosal-side fibrosis cutting using an articulating knife (ClutchCutter, Fuji). **c** Transection of exuberant submucosal F2 fibrosis at later stages. **d** Final operative situs indicating en bloc R0 resection as confirmed by final pathology: pT1m2, L0, V0, G1, R0. **e** Representative histopathology of the Barrett carcinoma. HE stain, ×10.

grade F2 fibrosis were performed, and this appeared to indicate the correct resection plane (Fig. 1c). The final histopathology confirmed en bloc resection: pT1m2, L0, V0, G1, R0 (Fig. 1d, e).

Salvage ESD for secondary Barrett's cancer after MBL-EMR has rarely been reported and may pose significant challenges due to marked fibrosis [1]. While high-grade fibrosis in ESD is conventionally tackled by distant mucosal incision with a submucosal approach to fibrotic areas, in this approach the fibrotic area is directly approached from the mucosal side by ultra-superficial cuts using an articulating knife followed by pushing aside the fibrotic mucosa followed by deeper cuts into the fibrotic area [2]. While risk of perforation is real, potential benefits may be in the reduction of the overall resection area, thus potentially translating into reduced risk of ESD-related strictures. Albeit such an approach clearly warrants systematic studies in terms of efficacy and safety, as of now the endoscopic treatment in such complex situations equally clearly needs to be individualized [3].

## **Statement of Ethics**

The patient has given written informed consent for publication (including the publication of images).

#### Reference

- Nieuwenhuis EA, Pech O, Bergman JJGHM, Pouw RE. Role of endoscopic mucosal resection and endoscopic submucosal dissection in the management of Barrett's related neoplasia. Gastrointest Endosc Clin N Am. 2021; 31(1):171–82.
- 2 Oung B, Faller J, Juget Pietu F, Petronio M, Pioche M. Salvage endoscopic submucosal dissection for recurrent invasive Barrett neoplasia after endoscopic resection and radiofrequency ablation. Endoscopy. 2021;53(1): E5–6.
- 3 Nagami Y, Ominami M, Sakai T, Maruyama H, Fukunaga S, Otani K, et al. Repeated endoscopic submucosal dissection for esophageal neoplasia located close to a previous endoscopic submucosal dissection scar. Clin Transl Gastroenterol. 2020;11(8):e00226.

# **Conflict of Interest Statement**

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

#### **Funding Sources**

There was no funding for this work.

# **Author Contributions**

V.Z. – clinical care, drafting, and finalization of the manuscript; B.B. – pathology care, critical revision, and final approval.