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#### Abstract

The present study aimed to assess the precision of oxygen uptake with heart rate regression during treadmill running in highly trained runners. Twenty national and international level male runners were divided into two equal groups. Group A was formed of 10 sprinters ( $31.5 \pm 4.7$ years, height $1.74 \pm 0.04 \mathrm{~m}$ and mass $61.8 \pm 5.2 \mathrm{~kg}$ ), and group $B$ comprised 10 endurance runners ( $25.7 \pm$ 4.5 years, height $1.77 \pm 0.08 \mathrm{~m}$ and mass $71.2 \pm 5.8 \mathrm{~kg}$ ). Each participant performed six min bouts at a constant velocity on a level treadmill, with each bout at an increased running velocity. The starting velocity was $3.33 \mathrm{~m} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{-1,}$ with a $0.56 \mathrm{~m} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ increase in each subsequent bout. $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ and HR were measured during all runs. Mean peak $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ and mean peak HR for Group A were, respectively, $71.7 \pm 7.0 \mathrm{~mL} \cdot \mathrm{~kg}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ and $179.8 \pm 12.7$ beats $\cdot \mathrm{min}^{-1}$, while for Group B were $67.3 \pm 4.9 \mathrm{~mL} \cdot \mathrm{~kg}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ and $198.2 \pm 9.8$ beats $\cdot \mathrm{min}^{-1}$. The linearity of the regressions between $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ and HR in both groups was very high ( $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.97$ and $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.76$ ) with small standard errors of regression. Despite a stronger correlation in endurance-trained athletes, the results of the present study indicate that it is possible to use HR as an indicator of exercise intensity in individuals trained in both speed and longer distances. KEYWORDS: internal load; energy cost; linear regression.


## INTRODUCTION

Indirect calorimetry based on oxygen uptake $\left(\mathrm{VO}_{2}\right)$ is the gold-standard procedure to assess the energy demand during physical activities (McClave et al., 2016). Unfortunately, very few professionals that work in sports do have easy access to gas analysers. This is a perfect justification for several attempts to validate other measurements to estimate sports'energy demand (Leenders, Sherman, \& Nagaraja, 2006; Fudge et al., 2007). With technological advancement, wearable equipment has made heart rate (HR) measurement easy and reliable. HR monitors are just useful tools to prescribe exercise intensity and monitor training adaptations in exercise prescription (Franco, Oliveira, Neves, \& Santos, 2004).

The $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ - HR relationship is vastly used to assess the energy demand during locomotion in animals (Brosh, 2007; Halsey et al., 2008), and it is equally well investigated in humans (Garet et al., 2005). The $\mathrm{VO}_{2}-\mathrm{HR}$ relationship is often used in the medical field (Mezzani et al., 2007) and
to assess children's physical activity (Iannotti, Claytor, Horn, \& Chen, 2004), but less in the sports training field (Vella \& Robergs, 2005). The potential of HR to be used as a valid exercise intensity indicator fell back on establishing the individual relationship between $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ and HR . The precision of this technique depends on the robustness of the regression line (Reis, den Tillaar, \& Marques, 2011).

The precision of the $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ - exercise intensity regression is well described and accepted during treadmill running (Reis et al., 2007) or running on a track (Reis, Duarte, EspíritoSanto, \& Russell, 2004). However, the literature presents less evidence to confirm the robustness of regression models between $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ and HR during running exercises (Hilloskorpi et al., 1999). It is our opinion that there is a lack of studies addressing the $\mathrm{VO}_{2}-\mathrm{HR}$ regression issue, and little attention has been given to the standard error of these regressions. Indeed, using correlation coefficients to interpret the regression is quite limited if not inadvisable.

[^0]Reis et al. (2011) have studied the robustness of linear regression in the relationships between $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ and running velocity, HR and running velocity, and HR and $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ in highly trained long-distance roadrunners. Strong relationships between HR , running velocity and $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ were found in this study, which would allow the use of HR as an accurate indicator of energy demand and of running speed in this kind of athletes. However, that study was limited to endur-ance-trained runners.

Regarding power athletes within the same sports, i.e. sprinters, it is likely that due to the different metabolic profile of their performances, the HR and VO 2 responses vary when compared with long-distance athletes. Thus, the robustness of the linear regression of the $\mathrm{HR}-\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ relation in these individuals would tend to be less accurate. The goal of the present study was to compare the relationship between HR and $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ between endurance and long-sprint-trained runners.

## METHOD

The present work is characterised as cross-sectional descriptive, where the HR will be used as a dependent variable and $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ as the independent variable.

## Participants

Twenty male subjects volunteered for this study after medical approval. The participants composed of two equal groups of 10 athletes each:

- Group A: national-level endurance track or road runners;
- Group B: national-level long-sprint runners (400 m).

The mean age, years of systematic training, height and body mass of Group A subjects were, respectively: $31.5 \pm 4.7$ years, $15.2 \pm 6.2$ years, $1.74 \pm 0.04 \mathrm{~m}$ and $61.8 \pm 5.2 \mathrm{~kg}$. In Group B, the mean age, years of systematic training, height and body mass were, respectively: $25.7 \pm 4.5$ years, $12.5 \pm 4.3$ years, $1.77 \pm 0.08 \mathrm{~m}$ and $71.2 \pm 5.8 \mathrm{~kg}$. The subjects gave their written informed consent to participate in the experiment, and all procedures followed the Declaration of Helsinki.

## Measures

Laboratory testing was conducted on a level treadmill. Tests were performed in a room with a controlled environment, with temperatures ranging from 16 to $19^{\circ}$ Celsius and humidity between 45 and $55 \%$. The subjects were asked to refrain from high-intensity training during the three days previous to the test. Each subject performed several six min bouts at a constant velocity on the treadmill. For endurance runners, the starting running velocity was $3.33 \mathrm{~m} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Each
subsequent bout was performed with a velocity increase of $0.56 \mathrm{~m} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. For sprinters, the starting running velocity was 2.77 $\mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{s}^{-1}$. Each subsequent bout was performed with a velocity increase of $0.56 \mathrm{~m} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. The recovery time between bouts was individual and based on heart rate and $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ measurements during the recovery. Subjects were allowed to start a new bout, when during a one-min period, heart rate and $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ were less than 5 beats $\cdot \mathrm{min}^{-1}$ and less than $2 \mathrm{~mL} \cdot \mathrm{~kg}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ respectively different from the value observed before the beginning of the first bout. The test was ended by voluntary exhaustion of the subject. The highest $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ mean value averaged over 20 s was taken as the subject's peak $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$. Through all testing, expired gases were collected and analysed with a K4 b2 gas analyser (Cosmed, Rome, Italy), and $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ was averaged in 20 s intervals. Before each test, a reference air calibration of the device was performed using a known gas standard of $16 \% \mathrm{O}_{2}$ and $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ concentration. The flow meter was also calibrated before each test with a $3,000 \mathrm{~mL}$ syringe. HR was recorded continuously with an RS800 device (Polar Electro, Finland) and averaged over 20 s intervals. The highest HR mean value averaged over 20 s was taken as the subject's peak HR.

## Linear regressions

The exercise bouts were performed in order to obtain HR $-\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ relation points that enabled the calculation of a valid regression equation. For each velocity, mean HR and mean $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ over the last minute of the bout were used for this purpose and HR was taken as the dependent variable. All the subjects completed five full bouts. Some subjects performed a sixth bout, but this was not included in the regression, as it failed to comply with the $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ steady-state attainment in every subject (determined as a difference of less than 2 $\mathrm{mL} \cdot \mathrm{kg}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ between two consecutive minutes).

## Statistical analysis

Data were analysed with SPSS 23.0 (IBM, New York, USA) software. Normality assumption was checked with the Shapiro-Wilk test. Simple linear regressions were used on all data. The scatter around the regression line was used as a measure of the fitness of the regression lines. The statistical significance was set to $p \leq 0.05$. The results are presented as means $\pm$ standard deviations (SD).

## RESULTS

Mean peak $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ and mean peak HR for Group A were, respectively, $71.7 \pm 7 \mathrm{~mL} \cdot \mathrm{~kg}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ and $179.8 \pm 12.7$ beats $\cdot \mathrm{min}^{-1}$, while for Group B were $67.3 \pm 4.9 \mathrm{~mL} \cdot \mathrm{~kg}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ and $198.2 \pm$ 9.8 beats $\cdot \mathrm{min}^{-1}$. Figures 1 and 2 show the regressions between

HR and $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ in both testing Groups. Both were significant ( $p<0.01$ ), with a small standard error of regression (Sy.x), and with high squared correlation coefficient ( $R^{2}$ ).

Mean regression parameters of both groups were compared with t -test for independent samples. No significant difference ( $p<0.05$ ) was observed in R values $(-0,11 ; 95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ $-0,18-0,44)$, but Sy.x ( 2,$12 ; 95 \%$ CI $-0,92-5,15$ ) and b ( $-0,54$; $95 \% \mathrm{CI}-0,99-0,97$ ) were significantly different ( $p>0.05$ ).

## DISCUSSION

The present study aimed to compare the precision of HR - $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ regression in two specialized groups of elite runners and to test the hypothesis that long-distance running athletes should present a stronger relationship between these variables.

The main finding was that the heart rate correlated strongly (with low standard error) with oxygen uptake in both studied groups. The results also showed that the


Figure 1. Linear regression between heart rate and oxygen uptake in endurance trained runners ( $n=10$ ).


Figure 2. Linear regression between heart rate and oxygen uptake in sprint trained runners ( $n=10$ ).
endurance runners presented clearly a stronger correlation between HR and $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$, which may indicate that the level of aerobic ability interferes with this relationship. This hypothesis can also be supported by the greater slope of the regression line of group $B$ ( $b$ values). This means that sprinters increase more at HR for each $\mathrm{mL} \cdot \mathrm{kg}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ increase in $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ (Reis et al., 2011).

When we compared the endurance runners (group A) regression lines in the present study with those obtained by Reis et al. in 2011, we observed a similar regression correlation coefficient with a slightly higher error in our data. This fact amplifies and corroborates the possible use of HR as an indicator of the exercise intensity in this specific group of athletes and supports the use of HR measured by high-reliability wearable present on large scale in the market.

We investigated how much the HR could reflect aerobic energy cost by having the heart rate as dependent and the VO 2 as independent variable. The model presented a mean error of regression of $4.1 \pm 1.9$ beats $\cdot \mathrm{min}^{-1}$ in endurance runners and $6.2 \pm 3.7$ beats $\cdot \mathrm{min}^{-1}$ in sprint runners. The literature suggests evidence on the non-linearity of the HR - $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ relationship at very low or very high exercise intensities (for references, see Achten \& Jeukendrup, 2003). Our results indicate that in highly trained runners, it is possible to observe the linearity up to exercise intensities as high as $90 \%$ peak $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$. The high linearity may be partially due to the fact that the factors, which typically explain the deviations from linearity, may be non-existent or negligible in well-trained runners (Bragada et al., 2010). Another issue that can help explain the high linearity in our subjects is that only steadystate $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ with a duration of 6-min bouts were included in the regressions. In fact, it has been shown that quick transitions between intensities (short-duration exercise bouts) account for the non-linearity of the $\mathrm{HR}-\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ relationship (Jeukendrup, Hesselink, Kuipers, \& Keizer, 1997; Vachon, Bassett, \& Clarke, 1999).

Possible explanations for the better linearity in the endur-ance-trained runners may be the following: a better aerobic ability, which impairs the appearance of the VO 2 slow component, especially at intensities above the lactic threshold (Oliveira, Costa, Neves, \& Santos, 2006; Reis et al., 2007); the higher aerobic ability of the endurance-trained runners may also help to explain a better agreement of oxygen uptake with heart rate, as both systems respond linearly with exercise intensity increase (Reis et al., 2011); endurance-trained runners have a less variable anthropometrics and metabolic profile; while $400-\mathrm{m}$ runners may present a larger variation in their anthropometric and metabolic profiles (Reis, Junior, Zajac, \& Oliveira, 2011).

## CONCLUSION

The strong relationships between HR and $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ found in this study showed that, in highly trained runners, it is possible to have heart rate as an accurate indicator of aerobic energy cost with an error below 7 bpm . The results suggest that this relationship is stronger in endurance runners, with an error below 5 bpm . Therefore, especially in endurance runners, HR may be used instead of $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ to track changes in the subjects' running economy during training periods. As such, assessing the subjects $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ response to exercise may not be that important for exercise prescription purposes.
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