Received: September 9, 2022 Accepted: March 31, 2023 Published online: May 23, 2023

Port J Public Health 2023;41:34-44 DOI: 10.1159/000530673

Psychological, Social, and Economic Burden of COVID-19: A Comparison of Polish and Portuguese Young Adults

Sylwia Fraczek^a Lara Noronha Ferreira^{b, c, d}

^aUniversidade do Algarve, Faculty of Economy, Faro, Portugal; ^bUniversidade do Algarve, ESGHT, Faro, Portugal; ^cCentre for Health Studies and Research of the University of Coimbra (CEISUC)/ Centre for Innovative Biomedicine and Biotechnology (CIBB), Coimbra, Portugal; dResearch Centre for Tourism, Sustainability and Well-Being (CinTurs), Faro, Portugal

Kevwords

COVID-19 · Economic situation · EQ-5D-5L · Health-related quality of life · Mental health

Abstract

Introduction: The COVID-19 pandemic has affected all societies, and its effects relate not only to physical health but also to mental health, social relations, and the economic situation of the population all over the world. This research aims at studying the perceptions of changes during the COVID-19 pandemic in mental health, economic situation, and social relations among Polish and Portuguese young. The present study also sought to assess the perception of change during the pandemic in health-related quality of life (HRQoL) among Polish and Portuguese young adults. Methods: A sample of young adults (aged 18–29) from Poland (n = 330) and Portugal (n = 189) filled in an online questionnaire composed of the EQ-5D-5L, some questions from the SHARE COVID-19 questionnaire regarding mental health, social relations, and economic situation, and sociodemographic details. Descriptive analyses, χ^2 tests, Student's t test, and Fisher's exact test were used to study the existence of differences between Poles and Portuguese. Results: Almost 2 years after the beginning of the pandemic, Polish and Portuguese respondents reported a perceived lower level of HRQoL in comparison to the times

before the outbreak of COVID-19. Both Polish and Portuguese respondents perceived an increase in sleeping problems since the outbreak and in Ioneliness. Respondents from both countries reported a perception of a decrease in the frequency of meeting other people and a perceived economic deterioration. **Conclusion:** The results indicate what factors are contributing to the worsening of the general living situation of the respondents and show that governments and health authorities should, in addition to the medical consequences of the virus, take the necessary measures to mitigate the long-term consequences of the virus. Authorities should, as well, pay special attention to the group of young adults who, in this uncertain time, are trying to make the most important decisions for their development.

> © 2023 The Author(s). Published by S. Karger AG, Basel on behalf of NOVA National School of Public Health

Carga Psicológica, Social e Económica da COVID-19: Uma Comparação Entre Jovens Adultos Polacos e **Portugueses**

Palavras Chave

COVID-19 · EQ-5D-5L · Qualidade de vida relacionada com a saúde · Saúde mental · Situação económica

karger@karger.com www.karger.com/pjp



karger.com/Services/OpenAccessLicense). Usage and distribution for

commercial purposes requires written permission.

Resumo

Introdução: A pandemia de COVID-19 afetou todas as sociedades, e os seus efeitos estão relacionados não só com a saúde física, mas também com a saúde mental, relações sociais, e a situação económica da população mundial.

Esta investigação visa estudar as perceções de alterações durante a pandemia na saúde mental, na situação económica e nas relações sociais entre jovens adultos polacos e portugueses. Este estudo pretende também avaliar a perceção da alteração durante a pandemia da qualidade de vida relacionada com a saúde (QVRS) entre jovens adultos polacos e portugueses. Métodos: Uma amostra de jovens adultos (18-29 anos) Polacos (n = 330) e Portugueses (n = 189) preencheu um questionário online composto pelo EQ-5D-5L, algumas perguntas do questionário SHARE COVID-19 relativas à saúde mental, relações sociais e situação económica, bem como características sociodemográficas. Foi realizada uma análise descritiva e aplicados testes do Qui-quadrado, o teste t de Student e o teste exato de Fisher para estudar a existência de diferenças entre polacos e portugueses. Resultados: Quase dois anos depois do início da pandemia, os respondentes polacos e portugueses relatam um nível percebido QVRS mais baixo em comparação com o período antes da pandemia. Tanto os inquiridos polacos, como os portugueses reportaram um aumento percebido em problemas de sono e na solidão. Os respondentes de ambos os países reportaram uma diminuição percebida da freguência de encontros com outras pessoas e uma deterioração percebida da sua situação económica. Conclusão: Os resultados indicam quais são os fatores que estão a contribuir para o agravamento da situação geral de vida dos inquiridos e mostram que os governos e as autoridades sanitárias devem, para além das consequências médicas do vírus, tomar as medidas necessárias para mitigar as suas consequências a longo prazo. As autoridades devem ainda prestar especial atenção ao grupo de jovens adultos que, nestes tempos de incerteza, estão a tentar tomar as decisões mais importantes para o seu desenvolvimento.

© 2023 The Author(s). Published by S. Karger AG, Basel on behalf of NOVA National School of Public Health

Introduction

On March 11th, 2020, World Health Organization (WHO) declared COVID-19 disease a pandemic [1].

With the increase in the number of cases and deaths, authorities all over the world have taken measures to stop the spread of the virus.

At the time of writing this article, the pandemic has been going on for more than 2 years during which waves of significant increases in incidence required the repeated imposition of safeguard measures by the governments of many countries. Taking this into account, concerns have been expressed by mental health experts and public health practitioners about the potential effects of COVID-19 [2, 3]. The possible impact of social restrictions on well-being and mental health disorders has also been an area of concern [2, 3]. Research has been dedicated to addressing the prevalence of mental health problems; however, studies have raised social and economic issues as well [4, 5]. Although the pandemic has severely affected the elderly [6, 7], it has been also challenging for young adults, who must deal with life-changing decisions despite the pandemic.

The set of common factors important for shaping the attitudes of young adults depends to a large extent on the requirements and developmental tasks characteristic for a given age of life. The youngest adults (18–24 years old) more often than those slightly older (25–35 years old) are studying, are not yet employed, are financially dependent on their parents, live extensive social lives, and make professional plans [8]. Between the ages of 25 and 35, the "developmental tasks" become different: then they look for permanent life partners and start families, needing their own flat, financial independence, and professional stability. Thus, events of a different kind may form their outlook on life and their lifestyles [8].

Early pandemic research shows that COVID-19 stressors, such as economic issues affecting daily life, and academic delays were positively associated with the level of anxiety symptoms of Chinese college students during the pandemic, while social support was negatively correlated with their anxiety [3]. A study in Asia has shown that mental health problems remain severe among most young people at a time of public health emergency and low education level; negative coping styles and post-traumatic stress syndrome symptoms were the influence factors of youth mental health [9]. Other scholars have studied the influence of the economic situation on the psychological state during the pandemic [10]. They concluded that economic insecurity is positively related to job insecurity and identity disruption and negatively related to psychological well-being. A global perspective on the issue can be found in the report of the Global Survey on Youth and COVID, which aims to capture the immediate effect of the pandemic on young adults regarding education, employment, mental well-being, rights, and social activism. The

main findings suggest that the pandemic has been particularly hard for young women, teenagers, and youth in lower-income countries [11]. In fact, young people living in lower-income countries experienced a reduction in working hours and in income. Young women were more likely to report a decrease in productivity. Moreover, young people living in lower income reported far more restricted access to online and distance learning. Young people reported reduced mental well-being and were even worse for young women [11].

Likewise, Polish researchers are concerned with the well-being of young adults during the pandemic. Szczepańska and Pietrzyka [12] found a strong correlation between the severity of the confinement measures during the pandemic and the level of student activity in public spaces, significant deterioration in their physical and mental well-being, and overall quality of life. Also, Dlugosz [13] has taken up the issue of the impact of pandemics on the lives of Cracow students. Most respondents believe that coronavirus is a danger, but only 1/3 said they feel threatened. They partially manifest symptoms of psychological stress in the form of tension, irritability, general apathy, and headaches. Equally, Portuguese researchers are trying to analyse the situation of young people and young adults during the time of COVID-19.

For example, Carneiro's study [14] shows that Portuguese young adults perceived the situation of confinement to have significantly or completely affected the social and personal domains of their lives and increased alcohol consumption is associated with higher levels of stress. Participants of the study also reported an increase in time spent playing online games and several individuals initiated or re-initiated various risky behaviours. Other scholars have studied the impact of COVID-19 on mental well-being, quality of life, or social impact [15, 16], but few were dedicated to Portuguese young people.

In fact, there is still a need for further research on the psychological, social, and economic situation related to COVID-19 and the mitigation of its negative effects. Although there are already some studies in Portugal and Poland on these matters [15, 16], they are still a small number and some of them do not address all these aspects at the same time. In addition to the reduced number of published studies focusing on the psychological, social, and economic aspects of young people, to the authors' knowledge, there are no studies that focus on young people from Portugal and Poland and compare them. In fact, given that Portugal is a Mediterranean country and Poland is a Central/Eastern European country, it would be interesting to understand whether young people from these two countries think in the same way

regarding the psychological, social, and economic situation and health-related quality of life (HRQoL). Additionally, it would be interesting to understand whether the pandemic has had similar or different impacts on young people in these two countries since the measures taken by the Government authorities to fight the pandemic were different and the reactions of the populations across Europe to the imposed measures were very different.

Therefore, this research aims at studying the perceptions of changes during the COVID-19 pandemic in mental health, economic situation, and social relations among Polish and Portuguese young adults. The present study also sought to assess the perception of change during the pandemic in HRQoL among Polish and Portuguese young adults.

Materials and Methods

Study Design

The target population of this study was the young adult Polish and Portuguese population aged between 18 and 29 years old. The non-existence of a sampling frame with the population under study led the research team to decide to use a non-probabilistic sampling method. A combination of convenience and snowball sampling methods was applied to locate young adults from Poland and Portugal, within the age group targeted.

In December 2021, a pre-test of the questionnaire was applied to a sample of 10 young Polish and 10 young Portuguese adults, who were not included in the main survey. Comments and feedback about the questionnaire were collected and taken into account in the final version of the questionnaire.

Data collection was implemented using an online questionnaire. The participants were invited by email and through the researchers' social networks to take part in the survey. Additionally, they were asked to share the link with other acquaintances that met the inclusion criteria, regarding age and nationality. The online survey was conducted from 26 January to 21 February 2022.

Questionnaire

The questionnaire included 36 questions, organized into five sections – HRQoL, mental health, social relations, economic situation, and background information about the respondents. The EQ-5D-5L questionnaire was used to measure the HRQoL of Poles and Portuguese. In addition, the study used questions from the SHARE-COVID-19 questionnaire to study the economic situation, social relations, and mental health of the respondents. Sections 2, 3, and 4 of the questionnaires were based on the SHARE-COVID-19 questionnaire, which is further explained below

The last part of the questionnaire focused on sociodemographic aspects such as gender, age, marital status, labour status, and residence. The average time to complete the questionnaires was 10 min. The questionnaire was prepared in two languages – Polish and Portuguese. Each version had the same questions and structure. There was no need for translating or validating the

questionnaire since all the questions included already existed in both languages and were also validated for both countries.

EQ-5D-5L

The EQ-5D-5L is one of the most widely used generic questionnaires in Europe developed by the EuroQoL group to provide a simple assessment of the quality of life [17]. The EQ-5D-5L is a general questionnaire that comprises two parts: the EQ-5D-5L descriptive system and the EQ VAS.

The EQ-5D-5L descriptive system comprises five dimensions – mobility, self-care, usual activities, pain/discomfort, and anxiety/depression. Each dimension has five response levels: no problems, slight problems, moderate problems, severe problems, and unable to/extreme problems. It defines 3,125 possible health states and allows the computation of the EQ-5D-5L index, which reflects how good or bad a health state is according to the preferences of the general population of a country/region [18]. The EQ VAS contains a visual analogue scale (by means of which the respondent assesses his/her current state of health on a scale from 0 [worst imaginable health state] to 100 [best imaginable health state]).

In this study, we have used the Portuguese version of the EQ-5D-5L [19] and the Portuguese value set to obtain the EQ-5D-5L index [20]. Similarly, the Polish version of the EQ-5D-5L and the Polish value set was used [21]. As this study intended to study the perception of change in HRQoL during the pandemic among Polish and Portuguese, the sample was asked to fill in the EQ-5D-5L regarding the period before and during the pandemic, in order to capture the respondents' perception of change.

SHARE COVID-19 Questionnaire

The Survey of Health, Ageing and Retirement (SHARE) CO-VID-19 Questionnaire was developed at the beginning of the pandemic. It includes 7 topics related to health and health behaviours, changes in professional and economic circumstances, as well as changes in social networks [22].

As mentioned above, sections 2, 3, and 4 of the questionnaire used for this research are based on selected questions from SHARE COVID-19 Questionnaire 1 [23]. The selected questions were four questions considering mental health (have you had trouble sleeping recently? Has that been more so, less so, or about the same as before the outbreak of Corona? How much of the time do you feel lonely? Has that been more so, less so, or about the same as before the outbreak of Corona?); two questions with multiple sub-questions considering social relations (during the last 3 months, how often did you do each of the following activities? Since the outbreak of Corona, how often did you have personal contact, that is, face to face, with the following people from outside your home?); seven questions asking about the economic situation of the participants (due to the Corona crisis, have you become unemployed, were laid off, or had to close your business? Did you reduce your working hours since the outbreak of Corona? Did you or any other household member receive additional financial support due to the outbreak of Corona from your employer, the government, relatives, friends, and/or others? Who gave you this financial support? Thinking of your household's total monthly income since the outbreak of Corona, would you say that your household is able to make ends meet? Since the outbreak of Corona, did you need to postpone regular payments such as rent, mortgage 10 and loan payments, and/or utility bills? Since the outbreak of Corona, did you need to dip into your savings to cover the necessary day-today expenses?). These selected questions included dichotomic scales, as

well as Likert scales. As the SHARE COVID-19 Questionnaire 1 was already available in Portuguese and in Polish, there is no need to translate or validate it to Portugal or Poland.

Statistical Analyses

Descriptive statistical analyses (i.e., mean, standard deviation, frequencies, and proportions [%]) were carried out to describe the sample, the respondents' HRQoL mental health, social relations, and economic situation. To assess if there were differences between the EQ-5D-5L dimensions by country of origin, the Fishers' exact test was used. In addition, differences between EQ-5D-5L index and EQ VAS distributions across groups defined by country of origin were assessed using the Student's t test. Comparisons in mental health, social relations, and the economic situation between Polish and Portuguese samples were analysed using the χ^2 test.

All the analyses were performed in IBM SPSS, version 28. Differences were considered statistically significant at a significance level of 0.05.

Ethical Approval and Informed Consent

The survey respondents were informed about the research aims, the anonymous nature of the data collected, and their freedom to refuse to participate, after which they gave their informed consent. The research project received approval from the Independent Ethical Committee of the University of the Algarve (ref^a CEUAlg Pn°61/2021) and complied with de Declaration of Helsinki.

Results

Study Sample

The research was conducted among young adults from Poland and Portugal. Table 1 presents the characteristics of the studied group according to sociodemographic features: gender, age, marital status, employment status, and place of residence. The study involved 519 respondents aged 18–29 years, including 330 from Poland and 189 from Portugal, with a mean age of 25.3 and 25.4, respectively. The Portuguese sample had fewer women (61.9%) than the Polish sample (68.5%). As was expected given the age of the participants in the survey, there was a majority of single persons in both samples. There was around 40% of Polish participants married or living in partnership, while there were less than 30% among the Portuguese participants. The majority of the respondents were employed in both samples: 72.1% Polish and 54.5% Portuguese. The Portuguese participants working and studying or only studying were around 20%; this proportion was particularly higher in the case of those only studying when compared with the Polish participants, there were only 6.7%. Among the respondents, most Poles lived in big cities or in their suburbs (53.9%), while this proportion was lower among the Portuguese participants (46.6%).

Table 1. Sample characteristics

| Characteristics of respondents | Poland ($n = 330$) | Portugal ($n = 189$) |
|--|----------------------|------------------------|
| Gender | | |
| Female | 225 | 117 |
| | 68.5% | 61.9% |
| Male | 105 | 72 |
| | 31.8% | 38.1% |
| Age | | |
| Mean | 25.3 | 25.35 |
| SD | 3.21 | 2.86 |
| Marital status | | |
| Single | 180 | 125 |
| • | 54.5% | 66.1% |
| Married/partnership | 133 | 55 |
| | 40.3% | 29.1% |
| Divorced/separated | 15 | 9 |
| | 4.5% | 4.8% |
| Widowed | 2 | 0 |
| | 0.6% | 0.0% |
| Labour status | | |
| Working | 238 | 103 |
| | 72.1% | 54.5% |
| Working and studying | 53 | 39 |
| | 16.1% | 20.6% |
| Studying | 22 | 39 |
| | 6.7% | 20.6% |
| Not working nor studying | 17 | 8 |
| | 5.2% | 4.2% |
| Place of residence | | |
| A big city | 120 | 54 |
| | 36.4% | 28.6% |
| The suburbs or outskirts of a big city | 58 | 34 |
| | 17.6% | 18.0% |
| A large town | 68 | 73 |
| A | 20.6% | 38.6% |
| A small town | 51 | 18 |
| A more large and the | 15.5% | 9.5% |
| A rural area or village | 33 | 10 |
| | 10.0% | 5.3% |

Health-Related Quality of Life

Respondents were asked to describe their perception of change in HRQoL during the pandemic based on the EQ-5D-5L descriptive system. The results are presented in Table 2.

The results show a higher proportion of respondents reporting no problems in mobility in both samples regarding the period before the outbreak of COVID-19 – as was expected since the surveyed sample was aged 18–29. However, the perception of the respondents during the pandemic shows an increase in mobility problems since the proportion of respondents in level 1 decreased in both samples. These results are similar in

dimensions of usual activities and pain/discomfort – the number of respondents reporting perceived problems in these two dimensions is higher during the pandemic, compared to the perception of the period before the pandemic. In addition, there was an increase in the perception of problems regarding anxiety and depression during the pandemic, for both samples. Differences between EQ-5D-5L dimensions between Polish and Portuguese respondents were considered statistically significant.

Table 2 also reports the mean EQ-5D-5L index for the Polish and Portuguese samples. Both samples of respondents perceived a decrease in their HRQoL, when comparing the

Table 2. Perception of change in HRQoL during the pandemic measured by the EQ-5D-5L

| Dimension | Level | Perception before the pandemic | | Perception during the pandemic | |
|--|-------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | | Poland (%) | Portugal (%) | Poland (%) | Portugal (%) |
| Mobility**/** | No problems | 71.1 | 90.6 | 66.7 | 86.5 |
| • | Slight problems | 25.0 | 7.1 | 28.4 | 10.3 |
| | Moderate problems | 3.9 | 1.2 | 3.9 | 2.2 |
| | Severe problems | 0.0 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 0.5 |
| | Extreme problems | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| Self-care**/** | No problems | 68.8 | 93.6 | 73.8 | 92.0 |
| | Slight problems | 28.1 | 4.7 | 21.7 | 4.3 |
| | Moderate problems | 2.6 | 1.7 | 4.5 | 3.7 |
| | Severe problems | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | Extreme problems | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Usual activities**/** | No problems | 71.7 | 89.0 | 67.6 | 74.5 |
| | Slight problems | 25.5 | 8.1 | 29.2 | 17.6 |
| | Moderate problems | 2.7 | 2.9 | 3.2 | 8.0 |
| | Severe problems | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | Extreme problems | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Pain/discomfort**/** | No problems | 58.5 | 77 . 2 | 54.7 | 56.2 |
| | Slight problems | 37.0 | 18.7 | 36.2 | 30.8 |
| | Moderate problems | 4.5 | 4.1 | 7.9 | 11.4 |
| | Severe problems | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 1.6 |
| | Extreme problems | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Anxiety/depression*/* | No problems | 51.0 | 67.8 | 38.6 | 36.0 |
| Allxicty/depicssion | Slight problems | 41.7 | 24.7 | 38.6 | 34.4 |
| | Moderate problems | 6.8 | 7.5 | 16.2 | 24.2 |
| | Severe problems | 0.5 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 5.4 |
| | Extreme problems | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 |
| EQ-5D-5L index (SD) ^{-/*} EQ VAS (SD) ^{*/*} | Extreme problems | 0.0 0.97 (0.06) 83.79 (11.6) | 0.96 (0.08) 85.45 (11.46) | 0.94 (0.08) 78.14 (13.0) | 0.90 (0.12) 78.25 (15.28) |

Modal level in bold. Comparisons between the country of origin and the EQ-5D-5L dimensions were analysed using the Fishers' exact test. Comparisons between the means of EQ-5D-5L index and EQ VAS distributions across groups defined by country of origin were analysed using the Student's t test. HRQoL, health-related quality of life; SD, standard deviation. *p < 0.05. **p < 0.001.

period before the pandemic with the period during the pandemic. Table 2 shows as well the perception of change in HRQoL assessed by the EQ VAS. Results show that, compared with their perception of HRQoL before the pandemic, both Polish and Portuguese respondents perceived a decrease in their overall HRQoL during the pandemic as measured by the EQ VAS. The results reveal that statistically significant differences appear in EQ-5D-5L index and in EQ VAS according to the country of origin, with exception of the perceived EQ-5D-5L index before de pandemic (Table 2).

Mental Health

The sample was asked to provide information on recent trouble sleeping, frequency of recent trouble sleeping before the pandemic outbreak, recent feelings of loneliness, and frequency of recent loneliness compared to before the pandemic outbreak (Table 3). The results showed that the majority of the Polish respondents (60.9%) had trouble sleeping or had recently changed their sleep mode, whilst almost half of the Portuguese reported these kinds of troubles. About one-third of the Polish respondents (33.0%) perceived that their sleeping problems increased during the pandemic, and these results are similar for the Portuguese respondents (31.2%).

Similar proportions of respondents from the two countries reported recent feelings of loneliness some of the time (46.4% and 42.3%, respectively). These feelings are perceived more so than before the outbreak of CO-VID-19 by 28.8% of the Polish respondents and by 42.9% of the Portuguese respondents. The results show the existence of statistically significant differences in mental

Table 3. Perception of change in mental health during the pandemic

| Mental health | Country | | |
|---|---------------|--------------|--|
| | Poland (%) | Portugal (%) | |
| Trouble sleeping recently* | | | |
| Trouble sleeping or recent change in sleep routine | 60.9 | 49.2 | |
| No sleeping problems | 39.1 | 50.8 | |
| Frequency of sleep problems in recent versus pre-pand | emic outbreal | (| |
| More so | 33.0 | 31.2 | |
| Less so | 4.2 | 3.2 | |
| About the same | 62.7 | 65.6 | |
| Recent feelings of loneliness* | | | |
| Often | 25.5 | 18.5 | |
| Some of the time | 46.4 | 42.3 | |
| Hardly ever or never | 28.2 | 39.2 | |
| Frequency of feeling lonely recently versus before the pandemic outbreak* | | | |
| More so | 28.8 | 42.9 | |
| Less so | 5.5 | 2.6 | |
| About the same | 65.8 | 54.5 | |

Modal level in bold. Comparisons between the country of origin and the mental health variables were analysed using the χ^2 test. *p < 0.05.

health variables according to the country of origin, with exception of the perceived frequency of recent sleeping problems when compared with the period before the pandemic.

Social Relations

Table 4 shows the perception of change in the frequency of activities and of personal contact (face-to-face) with others outside the home since the outbreak compared to before the outbreak. More than half (50.6%) of the Polish respondents perceive that they go out shopping as often during the pandemic as before the outbreak. However, also a significant proportion of respondents (47.9%) go out shopping at the time of the survey less often than before. The results reported by the Portuguese respondents are similar: 47.8% perceive the maintenance of the shopping frequency, while 45.7% perceive they go less often. Considering the frequency of going out for a walk, the majority of the Polish respondents (53.0%) perceive that they enjoy this pleasure less frequently than before the pandemic, while this proportion is much lower for the Portuguese respondents (38.6%). In fact, almost 17% of the Portuguese sample perceive that they go for a walk more often than before the pandemic. More than half of the respondents perceive that they met with more than five people from outside their household less often after the outbreak (52.7% Polish; 61.9% Portuguese) and visiting other family

members (60.5%) less frequently compared to before the pandemic. Respondents from Poland met less frequently with other people than respondents from Portugal. This was also the case for meeting other family members: 56.4% of Polish respondents perceive a decrease in the meeting frequency, while this proportion is even higher in the Portuguese sample (67.7%).

Table 4 also shows that personal contact with their own children was perceived to be daily for 47.3% of the Polish respondents, while this was much lower for the Portuguese respondents (18.1%) since the majority (76.1%) did not have children of their own. Respondents from Poland (33.4%) were more likely to visit their parents daily than respondents from Portugal (30.5%). In addition, Portuguese respondents (12.9%) were less likely than Polish respondents (51.8%) to have had personal contact with other relatives several times a week. Regarding personal contact with other persons such as neighbours, friends, or colleagues, 54.1% of Polish respondents reported that they met them several times a week, while the majority of Portuguese respondents (56.4%) reported less frequent contact. The results show the existence of statistically significant differences in all social relations variables according to the country of origin.

Economic Situation

Table 5 presents the perceived results of the economic situation. The majority of the respondents from both

Table 4. Perception of change in frequency of social activities and of personal contact (face to face)

| Poland (%) Portugal (%) | Frequency of social activities | Country | | |
|--|--------------------------------|----------------|---------------|--|
| Not any more Less often 47.9 45.7 About the same 50.6 47.8 More often 1.2 5.4 Go for a walk* Not any more 2.7 9.2 Less often 53.0 38.6 About the same 40.9 35.3 More often 3.3 16.8 Meet with more than 5 people from outside your household Not any more 1.8 11.6 Less often 52.7 61.9 About the same 44.8 23.3 More often 0.6 3.2 Meet with other members of your family* Not any more 2.7 5.3 Less often 56.4 67.7 About the same 40.3 24.3 More often 0.6 2.6 Own children* Daily 47.3 18.1 Several times a week 17.9 2.1 About once a week 0.6 1.1 Less often 0.3 2.7 Not applicable 33.9 76.1 Own parents* Daily 33.4 30.5 Several times a week 6.1 16.6 Less often 28.0 34.2 Not applicable 0.0 7.0 Other relatives* Daily 10.1 3.4 Several times a week 51.8 12.9 About once a week 2.7 11.8 Less often 35.1 69.1 Not applicable 0.3 2.8 Other non-relatives (e.g., neighbours, friends, colleagues)* Daily 21.6 4.5 Several times a week 54.1 24.0 About once a week 52.2 15.1 Less often 19.1 56.4 | | Poland (%) | Portugal (% | |
| Not any more Less often 47.9 45.7 About the same 50.6 47.8 More often 1.2 5.4 Go for a walk* Not any more 2.7 9.2 Less often 53.0 38.6 About the same 40.9 35.3 More often 3.3 16.8 Meet with more than 5 people from outside your household Not any more 1.8 11.6 Less often 52.7 61.9 About the same 44.8 23.3 More often 0.6 3.2 Meet with other members of your family* Not any more 2.7 5.3 Less often 56.4 67.7 About the same 40.3 24.3 More often 0.6 2.6 Own children* Daily 47.3 18.1 Several times a week 17.9 2.1 About once a week 0.6 1.1 Less often 0.3 2.7 Not applicable 33.9 76.1 Own parents* Daily 33.4 30.5 Several times a week 6.1 16.6 Less often 28.0 34.2 Not applicable 0.0 7.0 Other relatives* Daily 10.1 3.4 Several times a week 51.8 12.9 About once a week 2.7 11.8 Less often 35.1 69.1 Not applicable 0.3 2.8 Other non-relatives (e.g., neighbours, friends, colleagues)* Daily 21.6 4.5 Several times a week 54.1 24.0 About once a week 52.2 15.1 Less often 19.1 56.4 | Go shopping* | | | |
| Less often About the same More often Go for a walk* Not any more Less often About the same More often 53.0 About the same About once a week About once a | | 0.3 | 1.1 | |
| More often 1.2 5.4 Go for a walk* Not any more 2.7 9.2 Less often 53.0 38.6 About the same 40.9 35.3 More often 3.3 16.8 Meet with more than 5 people from outside your household not any more 1.8 11.6 Less often 52.7 61.9 About the same 44.8 23.3 More often 0.6 3.2 Meet with other members of your family* Not any more 2.7 5.3 Less often 56.4 67.7 About the same 40.3 24.3 More often 0.6 2.6 Own children* 0.6 2.6 Daily 47.3 18.1 Several times a week 17.9 2.1 About once a week 0.6 1.1 Less often 0.3 2.7 Not applicable 33.9 76.1 Own parents* Daily 33.4 30.5 Several times a week 32.5 11.8 <td< td=""><td></td><td>47.9</td><td>45.7</td></td<> | | 47.9 | 45.7 | |
| Go for a walk* Not any more Less often About the same About the same About with more than 5 people from outside your household Not any more Less often Not any more 1.8 Less often About the same About often About often About often About once a week About once a | About the same | 50.6 | 47.8 | |
| Not any more | More often | 1.2 | 5.4 | |
| Less often About the same About the same About the same About the same About often More often More often About with more than 5 people from outside your household not any more Less often About the same About often About the same About once a week About once a wee | Go for a walk* | | | |
| About the same | Not any more | 2.7 | 9.2 | |
| More often 3.3 16.8 Meet with more than 5 people from outside your household. Not any more 1.8 11.6 Less often 52.7 61.9 About the same 44.8 23.3 More often 0.6 3.2 Meet with other members of your family* Not any more 2.7 5.3 Less often 56.4 67.7 About the same 40.3 24.3 More often 0.6 2.6 Own children* 0.6 2.6 Daily 47.3 18.1 Several times a week 17.9 2.1 About once a week 0.6 1.1 Less often 0.3 2.7 Not applicable 33.9 76.1 Own parents* Daily 33.4 30.5 Several times a week 32.5 11.8 About once a week 6.1 16.6 Less often 28.0 34.2 Not applicable 0.0 7.0 Other relatives* 10.1 3.4 Several times a week | Less often | 53.0 | 38.6 | |
| Meet with more than 5 people from outside your household Not any more 1.8 11.6 Less often 52.7 61.9 About the same 44.8 23.3 More often 0.6 3.2 Meet with other members of your family* Not any more 2.7 5.3 Less often 56.4 67.7 About the same 40.3 24.3 More often 0.6 2.6 Own children* 0.6 2.6 Daily 47.3 18.1 Several times a week 17.9 2.1 About once a week 0.6 1.1 Less often 0.3 2.7 Not applicable 33.9 76.1 Own parents* Daily 33.4 30.5 Several times a week 32.5 11.8 About once a week 6.1 16.6 Less often 28.0 34.2 Not applicable 0.0 7.0 Other relatives* 10.1 3.4 Several times a week 51.8 12.9 About once | About the same | 40.9 | 35.3 | |
| Not any more 1.8 11.6 Less often 52.7 61.9 About the same 44.8 23.3 More often 0.6 3.2 Meet with other members of your family* Not any more 2.7 5.3 Less often 56.4 67.7 About the same 40.3 24.3 More often 0.6 2.6 Own children* 0.6 2.6 Daily 47.3 18.1 Several times a week 17.9 2.1 About once a week 0.6 1.1 Less often 0.3 2.7 Not applicable 0.3 2.7 Own parents* 33.4 30.5 Several times a week 32.5 11.8 About once a week 6.1 16.6 Less often 28.0 34.2 Not applicable 0.0 7.0 Other relatives* 11.8 12.9 About once a week 2.7 11.8 Less often 35.1 69.1 Not applicable 0.3 | | | | |
| Less often 52.7 61.9 About the same 44.8 23.3 More often 0.6 3.2 Meet with other members of your family* 18.1 Not any more 2.7 5.3 Less often 56.4 67.7 About the same 40.3 24.3 More often 0.6 2.6 Own children* 0.6 2.6 Daily 47.3 18.1 Several times a week 17.9 2.1 About once a week 0.6 1.1 Less often 0.3 2.7 Not applicable 33.9 76.1 Own parents* 33.9 76.1 Own parents* 33.4 30.5 Several times a week 32.5 11.8 About once a week 6.1 16.6 Less often 28.0 34.2 Not applicable 0.0 7.0 Other relatives* 0.0 7.0 Daily 10.1 3.4 Several times a week 51.8 12.9 About | Meet with more than 5 people | from outside y | our household | |
| About the same | | 1.8 | 11.6 | |
| More often 0.6 3.2 Meet with other members of your family* 7 Not any more 2.7 5.3 Less often 56.4 67.7 About the same 40.3 24.3 More often 0.6 2.6 Own children* 0.6 2.1 Daily 47.3 18.1 Several times a week 17.9 2.1 About once a week 0.6 1.1 Less often 0.3 2.7 Not applicable 33.9 76.1 Own parents* Daily 33.4 30.5 Several times a week 32.5 11.8 About once a week 6.1 16.6 Less often 28.0 34.2 Not applicable 0.0 7.0 Other relatives* 10.1 3.4 Daily 10.1 3.4 Several times a week 51.8 12.9 About once a week 2.7 11.8 Less often 35.1 69.1 Not applicable 0.3 2.8 | | 52.7 | 61.9 | |
| Meet with other members of your family* Not any more 2.7 5.3 Less often 56.4 67.7 About the same 40.3 24.3 More often 0.6 2.6 Own children* 0.6 2.1 Daily 47.3 18.1 Several times a week 0.6 1.1 About once a week 0.6 1.1 Less often 0.3 2.7 Not applicable 33.9 76.1 Own parents* Daily 33.4 30.5 Several times a week 32.5 11.8 About once a week 6.1 16.6 Less often 28.0 34.2 Not applicable 0.0 7.0 Other relatives* 10.1 3.4 Several times a week 51.8 12.9 About once a week 2.7 11.8 Less often 35.1 69.1 Not applicable 0.3 2.8 Other non-relatives (e.g., neighbours, friends, colleagues)* Daily 21.6 4.5 | About the same | 44.8 | 23.3 | |
| Not any more 2.7 5.3 Less often 56.4 67.7 About the same 40.3 24.3 More often 0.6 2.6 Own children* 3.6 2.6 Daily 47.3 18.1 Several times a week 17.9 2.1 About once a week 0.6 1.1 Less often 0.3 2.7 Not applicable 33.9 76.1 Own parents* Daily 33.4 30.5 Several times a week 6.1 16.6 Less often 28.0 34.2 Not applicable 0.0 7.0 Other relatives* 10.1 3.4 Several times a week 51.8 12.9 About once a week 2.7 11.8 Less often 35.1 69.1 Not applicable 0.3 2.8 Other non-relatives (e.g., neighbours, friends, colleagues)* 2.8 Other non-relatives (e.g., neighbours, friends, colleagues)* 24.0 About once a week 54.1 24.0 | | | 3.2 | |
| Less often 56.4 67.7 About the same 40.3 24.3 More often 0.6 2.6 Own children* 3.6 2.6 Daily 47.3 18.1 Several times a week 17.9 2.1 About once a week 0.6 1.1 Less often 0.3 2.7 Not applicable 33.9 76.1 Own parents* 76.1 Daily 33.4 30.5 Several times a week 32.5 11.8 About once a week 6.1 16.6 Less often 28.0 34.2 Not applicable 0.0 7.0 Other relatives* 10.1 3.4 Several times a week 51.8 12.9 About once a week 2.7 11.8 Less often 35.1 69.1 Not applicable 0.3 2.8 Other non-relatives (e.g., neighbours, friends, colleagues)* Daily 21.6 4.5 Several times a week 54.1 24.0 About once a w | • | | | |
| About the same 40.3 24.3 More often 0.6 2.6 Own children* Daily 47.3 18.1 Several times a week 17.9 2.1 About once a week 0.6 1.1 Less often 0.3 2.7 Not applicable 33.9 76.1 Own parents* Daily 33.4 30.5 Several times a week 6.1 16.6 Less often 28.0 34.2 Not applicable 0.0 7.0 Other relatives* Daily 10.1 3.4 Several times a week 51.8 12.9 About once a week 2.7 11.8 Less often 35.1 69.1 Not applicable 0.3 2.8 Other non-relatives (e.g., neighbours, friends, colleagues)* Daily 21.6 4.5 Several times a week 54.1 24.0 About once a week 5.2 15.1 Less often 19.1 56.4 | • | | | |
| More often 0.6 2.6 Own children* 18.1 Daily 47.3 18.1 Several times a week 17.9 2.1 About once a week 0.6 1.1 Less often 0.3 2.7 Not applicable 33.9 76.1 Own parents* 76.1 Daily 33.4 30.5 Several times a week 32.5 11.8 About once a week 6.1 16.6 Less often 28.0 34.2 Not applicable 0.0 7.0 Other relatives* 10.1 3.4 Several times a week 51.8 12.9 About once a week 2.7 11.8 Less often 35.1 69.1 Not applicable 0.3 2.8 Other non-relatives (e.g., neighbours, friends, colleagues)* Daily 21.6 4.5 Several times a week 54.1 24.0 About once a week 5.2 15.1 Less often 19.1 56.4 | | | | |
| Own children* 47.3 18.1 Several times a week 17.9 2.1 About once a week 0.6 1.1 Less often 0.3 2.7 Not applicable 33.9 76.1 Own parents* Daily 33.4 30.5 Several times a week 32.5 11.8 About once a week 6.1 16.6 Less often 28.0 34.2 Not applicable 0.0 7.0 Other relatives* 10.1 3.4 Several times a week 51.8 12.9 About once a week 2.7 11.8 Less often 35.1 69.1 Not applicable 0.3 2.8 Other non-relatives (e.g., neighbours, friends, colleagues)* Daily 21.6 4.5 Several times a week 54.1 24.0 About once a week 5.2 15.1 Less often 19.1 56.4 | | | | |
| Daily 47.3 18.1 Several times a week 17.9 2.1 About once a week 0.6 1.1 Less often 0.3 2.7 Not applicable 33.9 76.1 Own parents* Daily 33.4 30.5 Several times a week 32.5 11.8 About once a week 6.1 16.6 Less often 28.0 34.2 Not applicable 0.0 7.0 Other relatives* Daily 10.1 3.4 Several times a week 51.8 12.9 About once a week 2.7 11.8 Less often 35.1 69.1 Not applicable 0.3 2.8 Other non-relatives (e.g., neighbours, friends, colleagues)* Daily 21.6 4.5 Several times a week 54.1 24.0 About once a week 5.2 15.1 Less often 19.1 56.4 | | 0.6 | 2.6 | |
| Several times a week 17.9 2.1 About once a week 0.6 1.1 Less often 0.3 2.7 Not applicable 33.9 76.1 Own parents* 30.5 Daily 33.4 30.5 Several times a week 32.5 11.8 About once a week 6.1 16.6 Less often 28.0 34.2 Not applicable 0.0 7.0 Other relatives* 10.1 3.4 Several times a week 51.8 12.9 About once a week 2.7 11.8 Less often 35.1 69.1 Not applicable 0.3 2.8 Other non-relatives (e.g., neighbours, friends, colleagues)* Daily 21.6 4.5 Several times a week 54.1 24.0 About once a week 5.2 15.1 Less often 19.1 56.4 | | | | |
| About once a week 0.6 1.1 Less often 0.3 2.7 Not applicable 33.9 76.1 Own parents* Daily 33.4 30.5 Several times a week 32.5 11.8 About once a week 6.1 16.6 Less often 28.0 34.2 Not applicable 0.0 7.0 Other relatives* Daily 10.1 3.4 Several times a week 51.8 12.9 About once a week 2.7 11.8 Less often 35.1 69.1 Not applicable 0.3 2.8 Other non-relatives (e.g., neighbours, friends, colleagues)* Daily 21.6 4.5 Several times a week 54.1 24.0 About once a week 5.2 15.1 Less often 19.1 56.4 | • | | | |
| Less often 0.3 2.7 Not applicable 33.9 76.1 Own parents* 33.4 30.5 Daily 33.4 30.5 Several times a week 32.5 11.8 About once a week 6.1 16.6 Less often 28.0 34.2 Not applicable 0.0 7.0 Other relatives* Daily 10.1 3.4 Several times a week 51.8 12.9 About once a week 2.7 11.8 Less often 35.1 69.1 Not applicable 0.3 2.8 Other non-relatives (e.g., neighbours, friends, colleagues)* Daily 21.6 4.5 Several times a week 54.1 24.0 About once a week 5.2 15.1 Less often 19.1 56.4 | | | | |
| Not applicable 33.9 76.1 Own parents* 33.4 30.5 Daily 33.4 30.5 Several times a week 32.5 11.8 About once a week 6.1 16.6 Less often 28.0 34.2 Not applicable 0.0 7.0 Other relatives* Daily 10.1 3.4 Several times a week 51.8 12.9 About once a week 2.7 11.8 Less often 35.1 69.1 Not applicable 0.3 2.8 Other non-relatives (e.g., neighbours, friends, colleagues)* Daily 21.6 4.5 Several times a week 54.1 24.0 About once a week 5.2 15.1 Less often 19.1 56.4 | | | | |
| Own parents* Daily 33.4 30.5 Several times a week 32.5 11.8 About once a week 6.1 16.6 Less often 28.0 34.2 Not applicable 0.0 7.0 Other relatives* Daily 10.1 3.4 Several times a week 51.8 12.9 About once a week 2.7 11.8 Less often 35.1 69.1 Not applicable 0.3 2.8 Other non-relatives (e.g., neighbours, friends, colleagues)* 2.8 Daily 21.6 4.5 Several times a week 54.1 24.0 About once a week 5.2 15.1 Less often 19.1 56.4 | | | | |
| Daily 33.4 30.5 Several times a week 32.5 11.8 About once a week 6.1 16.6 Less often 28.0 34.2 Not applicable 0.0 7.0 Other relatives* Daily 10.1 3.4 Several times a week 51.8 12.9 About once a week 2.7 11.8 Less often 35.1 69.1 Not applicable 0.3 2.8 Other non-relatives (e.g., neighbours, friends, colleagues)* 2.8 Daily 21.6 4.5 Several times a week 54.1 24.0 About once a week 5.2 15.1 Less often 19.1 56.4 | | 33.9 | 76.1 | |
| Several times a week 32.5 11.8 About once a week 6.1 16.6 Less often 28.0 34.2 Not applicable 0.0 7.0 Other relatives* Daily 10.1 3.4 Several times a week 51.8 12.9 About once a week 2.7 11.8 Less often 35.1 69.1 Not applicable 0.3 2.8 Other non-relatives (e.g., neighbours, friends, colleagues)* Daily 21.6 4.5 Several times a week 54.1 24.0 About once a week 5.2 15.1 Less often 19.1 56.4 | | | 20.5 | |
| About once a week Less often 28.0 34.2 Not applicable 0.0 Other relatives* Daily 10.1 Several times a week About once a week Less often 35.1 Not applicable 0.3 Other non-relatives (e.g., neighbours, friends, colleagues)* Daily 21.6 Several times a week About once a week 54.1 About once a week 55.2 Less often 19.1 56.4 | | | | |
| Less often 28.0 34.2 Not applicable 0.0 7.0 Other relatives* 10.1 3.4 Daily 10.1 3.4 Several times a week 51.8 12.9 About once a week 2.7 11.8 Less often 35.1 69.1 Not applicable 0.3 2.8 Other non-relatives (e.g., neighbours, friends, colleagues)* Daily 21.6 4.5 Several times a week 54.1 24.0 About once a week 5.2 15.1 Less often 19.1 56.4 | | | | |
| Not applicable 0.0 7.0 Other relatives* 10.1 3.4 Daily 10.1 3.4 Several times a week 51.8 12.9 About once a week 2.7 11.8 Less often 35.1 69.1 Not applicable 0.3 2.8 Other non-relatives (e.g., neighbours, friends, colleagues)* Daily 21.6 4.5 Several times a week 54.1 24.0 About once a week 5.2 15.1 Less often 19.1 56.4 | | | | |
| Other relatives* 10.1 3.4 Several times a week 51.8 12.9 About once a week 2.7 11.8 Less often 35.1 69.1 Not applicable 0.3 2.8 Other non-relatives (e.g., neighbours, friends, colleagues)* Daily 21.6 4.5 Several times a week 54.1 24.0 About once a week 5.2 15.1 Less often 19.1 56.4 | | | | |
| Daily 10.1 3.4 Several times a week 51.8 12.9 About once a week 2.7 11.8 Less often 35.1 69.1 Not applicable 0.3 2.8 Other non-relatives (e.g., neighbours, friends, colleagues)* Daily 21.6 4.5 Several times a week 54.1 24.0 About once a week 5.2 15.1 Less often 19.1 56.4 | • • | 0.0 | 7.0 | |
| Several times a week 51.8 12.9 About once a week 2.7 11.8 Less often 35.1 69.1 Not applicable 0.3 2.8 Other non-relatives (e.g., neighbours, friends, colleagues)* Daily 21.6 4.5 Several times a week 54.1 24.0 About once a week 5.2 15.1 Less often 19.1 56.4 | | 10.1 | 2.4 | |
| About once a week 2.7 11.8 Less often 35.1 69.1 Not applicable 0.3 2.8 Other non-relatives (e.g., neighbours, friends, colleagues)* Daily 21.6 4.5 Several times a week 54.1 24.0 About once a week 5.2 15.1 Less often 19.1 56.4 | , | | | |
| Less often 35.1 69.1 Not applicable 0.3 2.8 Other non-relatives (e.g., neighbours, friends, colleagues)* Daily 21.6 4.5 Several times a week 54.1 24.0 About once a week 5.2 15.1 Less often 19.1 56.4 | | | | |
| Not applicable 0.3 2.8 Other non-relatives (e.g., neighbours, friends, colleagues)* Daily 21.6 4.5 Several times a week 54.1 24.0 About once a week 5.2 15.1 Less often 19.1 56.4 | | | | |
| Other non-relatives (e.g., neighbours, friends, colleagues)* Daily 21.6 4.5 Several times a week 54.1 24.0 About once a week 5.2 15.1 Less often 19.1 56.4 | | | | |
| Daily 21.6 4.5 Several times a week 54.1 24.0 About once a week 5.2 15.1 Less often 19.1 56.4 | | | | |
| Several times a week 54.1 24.0 About once a week 5.2 15.1 Less often 19.1 56.4 | | | | |
| About once a week 5.2 15.1 Less often 19.1 56.4 | , | | | |
| Less often 19.1 56.4 | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Not applicable 0.0 0.0 | | | | |
| | тот аррпсавіе | 0.0 | 0.0 | |

Modal level in bold. Comparisons between the country of origin and the social activities variables were analysed using the χ^2 test. *p < 0.05.

countries did not become unemployed, were laid off, or had to close their businesses due to the pandemic. Nevertheless, 49.1% of Polish respondents found themselves in

that situation, whilst only about one-fourth of the Portuguese respondents did. When asked whether the number of working hours of the respondents had decreased since the outbreak, almost three-quarters (70.3%) of the Polish respondents and 81.5% of the Portuguese respondents said that it had not decreased. Nevertheless, the proportion of respondents that perceived a reduction in working hours was high (29.7% within the Polish sample and 18.5% within the Portuguese sample). Additional financial support in connection with the pandemic from the employer, public institutions, relatives, or other persons was not received by the majority of the respondents from both samples. Such financial support was received by almost one-fourth of the Polish respondents and by one-fifth of the Portuguese respondents. Considering the total monthly household income since the outbreak, the majority of Polish respondents' households had some difficulty making "ends meet" (66.7%); this proportion was 45.5 in the Portuguese sample. Almost 45% of the Polish respondents had to postpone regular payments such as rent, loan repayments, and mortgages due to the outbreak, but this proportion was lower within the Portuguese sample (83.6%). Respondents' reports on the use of savings for daily expenses since the outbreak were somewhat different between both countries. While the majority of the Polish sample (54.5%) used their savings to cover essential daily expenses since the outbreak, this was not the case for 65.6% of the Portuguese sample. The results show the existence of statistically significant differences in all economic variables according to the country of origin.

Discussion

The present study sought to assess the perception of change during the COVID-19 pandemic in HRQoL among Polish and Portuguese young adults. It also aimed at studying the perceptions of changes during the CO-VID-19 pandemic in mental health, economic situation, and social relations among Polish and Portuguese young adults.

The findings reveal that almost 2 years since the beginning of the pandemic Polish and Portuguese young adults reported a perceived lower level of HRQoL in comparison to the times before the outbreak of CO-VID-19. The results show that the perception of the respondents shows an increase in problems related to mobility, usual activities, pain/discomfort, and anxiety/depression during the pandemic The EQ-5D-5L index

Table 5. Perceived economic situation during the pandemic

| Economic situation | | Country | |
|--|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| | | Poland (%) | Portugal (%) |
| Unemployment, lay-off, or closure of business due to the pandemic* | Yes | 49.1 | 24.9 |
| | No | 50.9 | 75.1 |
| Reducing working hours during the pandemic* | Yes | 29.7 | 18.5 |
| | No | 70.3 | 81.5 |
| Additional financial support in connection with the pandemic from employer, | Yes | 24.5 | 20.1 |
| public institutions, relatives, friends, and/or others | No | 75.5 | 79.9 |
| Origin of financial support* | Employer | 34.7 | 4.9 |
| | Government | 26.7 | 56.1 |
| | Relatives | 26.7 | 34.1 |
| | Friends | 4.0 | 2.4 |
| | Others | 8.0 | 2.4 |
| Household able to make ends meet with monthly household income since the outbreak* | With great difficulty | 9.7 | 7.4 |
| | With some difficulty | 66.7 | 45.5 |
| | Fairly easily | 21.5 | 30.7 |
| | Easily | 2.1 | 16.4 |
| Postpone regular payments such as rent, loan and mortgage repayments, and/or | Yes | 44.5 | 16.4 |
| bill payments since the outbreak* | No | 55.5 | 83.6 |
| Using savings to cover essential daily expenses since the outbreak* | Yes | 54.5 | 34.4 |
| | No | 45.5 | 65.6 |

Modal level in bold. Comparisons between the country of origin and the economic variables were analysed using the χ^2 test. $^*p < 0.05$.

shows that both samples perceived a decrease in their HRQoL, when comparing the period before with the period during the pandemic.

When assessing the perception of changes in mental health, results showed that the pandemic has affected the sleeping habits of both samples. The proportion of Poles who feel lonelier is higher than the proportion within the Portuguese sample, but the increase in the frequency of feelings of loneliness recently when compared to before the pandemic was higher within the Portuguese sample. Respondents from both countries reported a perception of a decrease in the frequency of meeting other people, whether relatives or non-relatives.

Similar results were obtained at the very beginning of the pandemic where 53.8% of respondents rated the psychological impact of the pandemic on mood as moderate or severe and 16.5% of respondents reported moderate to severe depressive symptoms [24]. The result of the study also showed that vulnerable groups, i.e., those showing higher levels of stress, anxiety, and depression, were women, people with specific physical symptoms (e.g., muscle pain, dizziness), and students. Another recent study published by Portuguese researchers revealed that

quarantined people reported higher levels of anxiety and lower HRQoL, as measured by the EQ-5D-5L, compared with the pre-COVID-19 pandemic general population and that those with higher levels of anxiety tended to have a lower HRQoL [19].

Although the majority of the surveyed respondents did not become unemployed, were laid-off, or had to close their businesses due to the pandemic, a high proportion of respondents perceived a reduction in working hours, received additional financial support in connection with the pandemic, had some difficulty to make the household income to fulfil their needs, had to postpone regular payments, and use their savings to cover essential daily expenses since the outbreak. In short, the results showed a perceived economic deterioration in both samples. The findings are in line with Szustak et al. [25] that found the economic situation of Poles people ambiguous. However, most Poles are doing well financially and do not complain about the state of their household budget during COVID-19, but the pandemic depleted some of it. The reason why savings are melting away may therefore be the reluctance of Poles to take on debt in times of economic instability and thus more inclined to compromise their savings than

to use credit or loans to finance larger purchases. It cannot be excluded that in this way respondents were thus trying to compensate for lower incomes during the lockout or even for losing them altogether. Based on literature research published at the time of writing this manuscript, this study appears to be the first to examine HRQoL to the same extent as the psychological, economic, and social consequences of a pandemic among young respondents from two different European countries.

However, this study had certain limitations that need to be taken into consideration. First, the respondents were based on non-probabilistic convenience and snowball techniques, which do not ensure the representativeness of the target population. Therefore, these results cannot be generalized to the entire Polish young adult population, nor to the entire Portuguese young adult population. Second, as noted in the introduction young adults are a group that is diverse in terms of developmental tasks. The questionnaire, on the other hand, focused more on young adults who are independent and self-supporting. Thus, the questionnaire could have included more differentiating questions for those still in university and living with their parents. This issue is also linked to another limitation, namely, other variables that could have been included in the questionnaire, such as level of education or the need to study/work remotely or onsite. Such variables might play a relevant role in the aim of the study. Nevertheless, there was a need to balance the length, the method of data collection, and the purpose of the questionnaire. Another limitation is the time when the survey was carried out - about 2 years after the announcement of the pandemic. It would also be extremely interesting to have carried out this study during the first lockdown. Given the variation in COVID-19 restrictions, the same research undertaken during the first lockdown could have impacted the results. Finally, as this is a cross-sectional study with one-time data collection, the study assessed the perception of change and not the change itself.

Despite its limitations, this study offers insights into the importance of studying these different areas. In fact, a comprehensive survey covering various areas of life is important in terms of improving the lives of the population since an effective pandemic response should consider the fact that social, economic, and health problems are closely interlinked.

Taking into account the perceived differences in mental, economic, and social relations between respondents from Poland and Portugal, it would be interesting to investigate whether these differences are correlated with the different restrictions for both countries – such as the length and number of lockdowns, the ban on moving between municipalities, or social isolation measures. A

study along these lines could indicate which restrictions are more effective in combating the virus while imposing the least possible burden on society.

Conclusion

The COVID-19 pandemic was an unexpected and sudden social experience. The collective lockdown recommended by the WHO to slow down the spread of the pandemic has caused health, social, and economic consequences. The uncertainty and the constant fear of getting sick have affected most aspects of citizens' lives. Particular attention should be paid to those in risk groups, including young adults. With the recurring restrictions and growing uncertainty, Portuguese and Polish young adults are facing an increased risk of depression and anxiety.

The results of this study indicate what factors contribute to the worsening of the respondents' general living situation. It also shows that governments and health authorities should, in addition to the medical consequences, take the necessary measures to mitigate the long-term consequences of the virus, as well as pay special attention to young adults who are trying to make the most important decisions for their development.

Acknowledgments

Lara N. Ferreira acknowledges support from CEISUC/CIBB and from CinTurs that are both funded by national funds through FCT – Foundation for Science and Technology, I.P., under the Multiannual Financing of R&D Units 2020–2023 (CinTurs: UIDB/04020/2020; CEISUC/CIBB: UIDB/04539/2020).

Statement of Ethics

All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki Declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. This study was reviewed and approved by the research Ethics Committee of the University of the Algarve (ref CEUAlg Pn°61/2021). Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study. Participants were informed about their freedom for refusal.

Conflict of Interest Statement

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Funding Sources

This work did not receive any kind of financial support from any entity in the public or private domain.

idea of the study and the questionnaire. S.F. and L.N.F. analysed the data, discussed the results, and wrote the manuscript.

Author Contributions

Sylwia Frączek (S.F.) implemented the survey and collected the data. Lara Noronha Ferreira (L.N.F.) conceived the general

Data Availability Statement

The dataset used and/or analysed during the current study is available from Sylwia Fraczek on reasonable request.

References

- 1 WHO. Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pandemic. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2020. [cited 2022 March 20]. Available from: https://www.euro.who.int/en/health-topics/health-emergencies/coronavirus-covid-19/novel-coronavirus-2019-ncov.
- 2 Galea S, Merchant RM, Lurie N. The mental health consequences of COVID-19 and physical distancing: the need for prevention and early intervention. JAMA Intern Med. 2020; 180(6):817–8.
- 3 Cao W, Fang Z, Hou G, Han M, Xu X, Dong J, et al. The psychological impact of the CO-VID-19 epidemic on college students in China. Psychiatry Res. 2020;287:112934–6.
- 4 Singh J, Singh J. COVID-19 and its impact on society. ERJ Soc Sci Humanities. 2020;2(1): 168–72.
- 5 Tisdell CA. Economic, social, and political issues raised by the COVID-19 pandemic. Econ Anal Pol. 2020;68:17–28.
- 6 Girdhar R, Srivastava V, Sethi S. Managing mental health issues among elderly during COVID-19 pandemic. JGCR. 2020;7(1):32-5.
- 7 Novais F, Cordeiro C, Câmara Pestana P, Côrte-Real B, Reynolds Sousa T, Delerue Matos A, et al. O impacto da COVID-19 na população idosa em Portugal: resultados do Survey of Health, Ageing and Retirement (SHARE) [The impact of COVID-19 in older people in Portugal: results from the Survey of Health, Ageing and Retirement (SHARE)]. Acta Med Port. 2021;34(11):761-6.
- 8 Skarżynska K. Młodzi dorośli Polacy: wspólne i indywidualne doświadczenia oraz ich mentalne konsekwencje [Young adult Poles: shared and individual experiences and their mental consequences]. In: Skarżynska K, editor. Młodzi dorośli: identyfikacje, postawy, aktywizm i problemy życiowe [Young adults: identifications, attitudes, activism and life issues]. Warsaw: Wydawnictwo SGGW; 2021. [chapter in Polish].
- 9 Liang L, Ren H, Cao R, Hu Y, Qin Z, Li C, et al. The effect of COVID-19 on youth mental health. Psychiatr Q. 2020;91(3): 841–52.

- 10 Godinic D, Obrenovic B, Khudaykulov A. Effects of economic uncertainty on mental health in the COVID-19 pandemic context: social identity disturbance, job uncertainty and Psychological Well-Being Model. Int J Econ Dev. 2020;6(1):61–74.
- 11 Youth ILO. COVID. Impact on jobs, education, rights, and mental well-being: survey report 2020. Geneva: International Labour Organization; 2020.
- 12 Szczepańska A, Pietrzyka K. The COVID-19 epidemic in Poland and its influence on the quality of life of university students (young adults) in the context of restricted access to public spaces. J Public Health. 2023;31(2): 295–305. Epub 2021.
- 13 Długosz P. Krakowscy studenci w sytuacji zagrożenia pandemią koronawirusa. [Cracow students at risk of coronavirus pandemic]. Cracow: Institute of Philosophy and Sociology of the Pedagogical Academy of Cracow; 2020. [article in Polish].
- 14 Carneiro ACB. Impactos da COVID-19 em jovens adultos: o papel moderador da satisfação com o suporte social na relação entre stress e comportamentos de risco [Impacts of COVID-19 on young adults: the moderating role of satisfaction with social support in the relationship between stress and risk behaviours]. Lisboa: ISCTE-Instituto Universitário de Lisboa; 2020. [cited 2022 December 3]. Available from: http://hdl.handle.net/10071/21523 [master dissertation in Portuguese].
- 15 Bartkowiak A, Karmolińska-Jagodzik E. Relationship of young people (18-25) and their parents during COVID-19 pandemic. Społeczeństwo Edukacja Język. 2021;13:185–206.
- 16 Ferreira LN, Pereira L, da Fé Brás M, Ilchuk K. Quality of life under the COVID-19 quarantine. Qual Life Res. 2021;30(5):1389–405.
- 17 Petryszyn P, Kempa K, Ekk-Cierniakowski P, Battsengel R, Trznadel A, Więckowska N. Użyteczności stanów zdrowia eq-5d oceniane z zastosowaniem metod bezpośrednich przez studentów V roku farmacji UM we Wrocławiu [Usefulness of eq-5d health states assessed using direct methods by 5th year pharmacy students at Wrocław Medical University]. Polish J Food Nutr. 2015;3(44):170-6. [article in Polish].

- 18 EuroQol Research Foundation. EQ-5D-5L user guide: basic information on how to use the EQ-5D-5L instrument: version 3.0. updated September 2019. Rotterdam, The Netherlands: EuroQol Research Foundation; 2021. [cited 2022 April 20]. Available from: https://euroqol.org/publications/user-guides/.
- 19 Ferreira LN, Ferreira PL, Ribeiro F, Pereira LN. Comparing the performance of the EQ-5D-3L and the EQ-5D-5L in young Portuguese adults. Health Qual Life Outcomes. 2016;14:89.
- 20 Ferreira PL, Antunes P, Ferreira LN, Pereira LN, Ramos-Goñi JM. A hybrid modelling approach for eliciting health state preferences: the Portuguese EQ-5D-5L value set. Qual Life Res. 2019;28(12):3163–75.
- 21 Golicki D, Jakubczyk M, Graczyk K, Niewada M. Valuation of EQ-5D-5L health states in Poland: the first EQ-VT-based study in Central and Eastern Europe. Pharmacoeconomics. 2019;37(9):1165–76.
- 22 SHARE.ERIC. SHARE. Survey of Health, Ageing and Retirement in Europe: release guide 8.0.0. Munich: SHARE. ERIC; 2022. [cited 2022 April 20]. Available from: https://share-eric.eu/data/data-documentation/ share-data-releases.
- 23 Börsch-SupanA. Survey of Health, Ageing and Retirement in Europe (SHARE) wave 8: COVID-19 survey 1 interview date: release version: 8.0.0. Munich: SHARE-ERIC; 2022. Data set. [cited 2022 April 20]. Available from: https://share-eric.eu/data/data-documentation/waves-overview/wave-8.
- 24 Wang C, Pan R, Wan X, Tan Y, Xu L, Ho C, et al. Immediate psychological responses, and associated factors during the initial stage of the 2019 coronavirus disease (COVID-19) epidemic among the general population in China. IJERPH. 2020;17(5):1729.
- 25 Szustak G, Gradoń W, Szewczyk Ł. Household financial situation during the CO-VID-19 pandemic with particular emphasis on savings: evidence from Poland compared to other CEE states. Risks. 2021;9(9): 166–80.