

Revista Portuguesa de Estomatologia, Medicina Dentária e Cirurgia Maxilofacial

REV PORT ESTOMATOL MED DENT CIR MAXILOFAC. 2021;62(4):194-200

Original Research

Fit accuracy of removable partial denture metal frameworks produced by CAD-CAM – a clinical study

Pedro R. Conceição¹ , Margarida Franco², Nuno Alves², Jaime Portugal¹, Cristina B. Neves^{1,*}

¹ Unidade de Investigação em Ciências Orais e Biomédicas (UICOB), Faculdade de Medicina Dentária, Universidade de Lisboa, Lisboa, Portugal ² Centro para o Desenvolvimento Rápido e Sustentado de Produto (CDRSP), Instituto Politécnico de Leiria, Marinha Grande, Portugal

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 19 October 2021 Accepted 17 December 2021 Available online 23 December 2021

Keywords:

CAD-CAM Clinical fit accuracy Micro-computed tomography Removable partial denture metal framework

ABSTRACT

Objectives: To assess the effect of a CAD-CAM protocol fabrication on the clinical fit accuracy of removable partial denture metal frameworks to abutment teeth.

Methods: Fifteen patients with partial edentulism were selected to participate in this clinical study, and twenty dental arch rehabilitations were planned. For each dental arch (n=20), two cobalt-chromium frameworks were produced through two protocols: CAD-CAM production (experimental group); and conventional lost-wax casting technique (control group). Clinical fit accuracy was assessed using an indirect quantitative method to evaluate the gap between the framework occlusal rest and the corresponding rest seat. A silicone mold of that gap was obtained, digitized, and analyzed by micro-computed tomography. The two silicone molds obtained for each occlusal rest were overlapped and evaluated for thickness and volume. Data were analyzed with the paired t test for silicone thickness results and the Wilcoxon test for silicone volume results (α = 0.05).

Results: Considering the two dependent variables under study, no statistically significant (p=0.441 for silicone thickness and p=0.204 for silicone volume) differences were found between groups.

Conclusions: The results of this study suggest that the CAD-CAM protocol applied is a viable method for the production of removable partial denture metal frameworks. (Rev Port Estomatol Med Dent Cir Maxilofac. 2021;62(4):194-200)

© 2021 Sociedade Portuguesa de Estomatologia e Medicina Dentária. Published by SPEMD. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: mneves@campus.ul.pt (Cristina Bettencourt Neves).

http://doi.org/10.24873/j.rpemd.2021.12.851

1646-2890/© 2021 Sociedade Portuguesa de Estomatologia e Medicina Dentária. Published by SPEMD.

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Adaptação de esqueletos metálicos de próteses parciais removíveis produzidos por CAD-CAM – estudo clínico

RESUMO

Objectivos: Avaliar o efeito da produção CAD-CAM de esqueletos metálicos de próteses parciais removíveis na adaptação clínica aos dentes de suporte.

Métodos: Quinze pacientes foram selecionados para participar no estudo, tendo sido planeada a reabilitação de vinte arcadas dentárias parcialmente desdentadas. Para cada arcada dentária (n=20) foram produzidos dois esqueletos metálicos em cobalto-crómio segundo dois protocolos: por CAD-CAM (grupo experimental) e pela técnica convencional de fundição da cera perdida (grupo controlo). A adaptação clínica foi avaliada através da moldagem do espaço existente entre o apoio oclusal do esqueleto metálico e a sede dentária correspondente com silicone, cujo resultado foi digitalizado e posteriormente analisado com recurso a tecnologia de microtomografia computadorizada. Os dois moldes de silicone obtidos em cada apoio oclusal foram sobrepostos e avaliados na sua espessura e volume. Os dados recolhidos para a espessura de silicone foram analisados segundo um teste t de Student emparelhado, enquanto os dados obtidos para o volume de silicone foram analisados recorrendo a um teste de Wilcoxon (α =0,05).

Resultados: Considerando as duas variáveis dependentes estudadas, não foram encontradas diferenças estatisticamente significativas (p=0,441 para a espessura de silicone e p=0,204 para o volume de silicone) entre os dois grupos.

Conclusões: Os resultados deste estudo sugerem que o protocolo CAD-CAM aplicado é um método viável para a produção de esqueletos metálicos de próteses parciais removíveis. (Rev Port Estomatol Med Dent Cir Maxilofac. 2021;62(4):194-200)

© 2021 Sociedade Portuguesa de Estomatologia e Medicina Dentária. Publicado por SPEMD. Este é um artigo Open Access sob uma licença CC BY-NC-ND (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Introduction

Palavras-chave:

Adaptação clínica

Microtomografia computadorizada

Esqueletos metálicos de próteses

parciais removíveis

CAD-CAM

The replacement of missing teeth is essential to restore oral functions, such as masticatory, phonetic, and aesthetic.¹ Removable partial dentures (RPDs) are a cost-effective treatment, making them an important alternative to fixed dentures.² However, the clinical success of RPDs depends on various mechanical functions, including stability, support, retention, and reciprocity, which in turn are influenced by the fit accuracy of their framework.^{3,4}

Cobalt-chromium (Co-Cr) alloys are still the most popular materials used to produce RPD frameworks due to their ideal mechanical properties, such as high yield strength, low elastic modulus, and high corrosion resistance.^{5,6} For decades, RPD metal frameworks were produced through the lost-wax technique, which involves many laboratory procedures prone to human errors and material distortions.¹

With computer-aided design and computer-aided manufacturing (CAD-CAM) technology, it becomes possible to overcome many disadvantages of conventional techniques.⁷ Some of the well-known advantages of CAD-CAM, in comparison to traditional production techniques, are an efficient use of material with waste reduction; simplification of the procedures with less labor time; capacity for multiple productions at the same time; reduction of the operator variability with the elimination of multiples steps prone to human errors; and higher predictability and reproducibility.⁸ According to our knowledge, the application of CAD-CAM techniques to produce an RPD metal framework was firstly presented in 2004.⁹ Since then, few clinical studies have evaluated the influence of digital technology on the RPD's fit accuracy, despite the growing digital laboratory developments.¹⁰

The purpose of this clinical study was to assess the influence of a CAD-CAM production protocol on the clinical fit accuracy of RPD metal frameworks to the supporting tooth structure. The null hypothesis tested was that the production protocol does not influence the clinical fit of RPD metal frameworks.

Material and methods

The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee for Health of the Faculty of Dental Medicine of the University of Lisbon (FMDUL). Fifteen patients of the university's dental clinic were selected by convenience from those who needed RPD rehabilitation, according to the following inclusion criteria: age over 18 years; at least one premolar or molar as a prosthetic abutment; absence of metal allergy; absence of active dental caries or grade II or III tooth mobility in the prosthetic abutments; absence of other oral lesions. All patients voluntarily signed a written informed consent agreement.

A total of twenty dental arches (n=20) were prepared after an evaluation of the study stone casts (Elite Model, Zhermack



GmbH, Germany) obtained by pouring the primary alginate impressions (Orthoprint, Zhermack GmbH, Germany). Subsequently, secondary impressions were recorded using alginate impression material (Hydrogum 5, Zhermack GmbH, Germany) and individual trays and then poured with type IV plaster (Elite Rock, Zhermack GmbH, Germany) to achieve master stone casts. From each master cast, two cobalt-chromium RPD frameworks were produced with two different protocols: a CAD-CAM protocol (experimental group) and the conventional lost wax casting protocol (control group) (Figure 1).

In the experimental CAD-CAM group, each master cast was digitized with a laboratory scanner (S600 Arti, Zirkonzahn GmbH, Italy). The Partial Planner software (Zirkonzahn GmbH, Italy) was used to digitally analyze the insertion axis and design the RPD framework, creating an STL file that was sent to a laboratory center production (Sineldent[®], Spain). The RPD metal framework was directly produced by the direct metal laser sintering (DMLS) technique, using a cobalt-chromium SP2 alloy (EOS GmbH, Germany) and the EOSINT M270 equipment. The metal framework was then submitted to a heat treatment for 45 minutes to improve its mechanical properties.

In the control group, each master stone cast was duplicated to a refractory cast, and wax patterns were positioned to reproduce the RPD framework design. The created patterns were eliminated in an oven (Infinity L30, Jelrus, USA), and then the cobalt-chromium alloy (Remanium G 380+, Dentaurum GmbH & Co. KG, Germany) was injected using an induction casting equipment (Ducatron Quattro, Ugin Dentaire, France).

A single technician applied equal finishing and polishing methods in both groups, using the same master cast for testing. Hand drills and rubbers were used for finishing, followed by immersion in an electrolytic bath (Polytherm compact, Dentaurum GmbH & Co. KG, Germany) for 3 minutes. Brushes and paste were used for the final polish.

Each RPD framework was adjusted until the correct fit to the supporting oral structures was achieved. After that, the fit accuracy of the framework occlusal rests to the corresponding rest seats of the abutment teeth was assessed by an indirect quantitative digital method.

The evaluation was performed with a silicone mold (V-Posil Light Fast set, Voco GmbH, Germany) of the gap between the framework occlusal rests and their respective rest seats. To avoid pores in the molds, the rest seats were previously dried with a dental-chair air tip, and the silicone was injected using an applicator and mixing tips. In addition, after the application on the rest seats, the silicone was vibrated with a finger. Next, the metal framework was inserted and, pressuring the occlusal rests using the fingers, the polymerization of the silicone was done. The obtained silicone molds were trimmed by removing the exceeding material with a scalpel blade, and the resulting specimens were individually digitized using micro-computed tomography (micro-CT) equipment (Skyscan 1174, Bruker, Belgium) (Figure 2). An orthodontic wax (Protection wax, Dentaurum, Germany) was used to stabilize the silicone specimens during the digitization. The scans were performed with 50 KV, 800 μ A, 6.6 μ m of image, 5500 ms of exposure, 0.9 of rotation step, and no Al filter. The software used for reconstruction, three-dimensional (3D) registration, and morphometric analysis were NRecon (Bruker, Belgium), DataViewer (Bruker, Belgium), and CTAn (Bruker, Belgium), respectively.

The projection images initially reconstructed with the NRecon software were uploaded in the DataViewer software, and the datasets of the silicone specimens were then reoriented. A 3D registration with overlapping of the silicone specimens was done using their counterpart as a reference, and the





silicone molds after digitization by micro-CT, by overlapping of the datasets using the same VOI in the DataViewer.

same VOI (volume of interest) was defined for reference and target datasets (Figure 3). After segmentation, the mean thickness and the mean volume of the selected fraction of each specimen were calculated, respectively, in micrometers and cubic micrometers, using the CTAn (Bruker, Belgium). The final thickness and volume data were obtained using the mean thickness and volume values of all silicone specimens assessed per framework (Figure 4). The same investigator, previously submitted to a training and calibration period, performed the fit accuracy evaluation of all RPD metal frameworks.

The collected data were analyzed (IBM SPSS, v.25) using a Shapiro-Wilk test to confirm normal distributions (p>0.05), a paired t test for the silicone thickness data, and a Wilcoxon test for the silicone volume data (α =0.05).

Results

The results obtained are presented in Table 1. The thickness mean values of the silicone specimens were 309.8 ± 112.57 µm for the conventional protocol and 333.4 ± 115.44 µm in the CAD-CAM group. In turn, the volume mean values of the silicone specimens were $5.4 \times 10^8 \pm 4.00 \times 10^8$ µm³ for the conventional protocol and $6.0 \times 10^8 \pm 3.34 \times 10^8$ µm³ in the CAD-CAM group. No statistically significant differences in silicone thickness (*p*=0.441; paired t test) (Figure 5) and silicone volume (*p*=0.204; Wilcoxon test) (Figure 6) were found between the two production protocols.

Discussion

There are several descriptions of methods to assess the fit accuracy of the RPD metal frameworks in the literature. Considering their importance for the stability and function of RPD, the occlusal rests are the most evaluated component.¹⁰⁻¹³ Although no method can be considered the gold standard, quantitative data acquisition enables a more detailed and precise evaluation than qualitative information.¹⁰ Qualitative methods like direct visual inspection or pressing test on oc-



Figure 4. 2D measurement of the mean thickness of a transversal segment of a silicone mold, after segmentation and mean thickness and volume calculation, in the CT-Analyzer.

Table 1. Fit accuracy results for thickness (μm) and volume (μm³), considering the production protocols (n=20)				
		Conventional protocol	CAD-CAM protocol	Significance (p)
Silicone thickness	Maximum	586.7	532.9	
(μm)	Minimum	172.8	123.3	
	Mean	309.8	333.4	0.441 (paired t test)
	Standard deviation	±112.57	±115.44	
	Normality test	0.173	0.819	
Silicone volume	Maximum	1.6x10 ⁹	1.2 x10 ⁹	
(µm³)	Minimum	8.1 x10 ⁷	5.7 x10 ⁷	0.204 (Wilcoxon test)
	Mean	5.4 x10 ⁸	6.0 x10 ⁸	
	Standard deviation	±4.00 x10 ⁸	±3.34 x10 ⁸	
	Normality test	0.002	0.281	



clusal rests provide limited information and are prone to human subjectivity.^{9,10,14,15} An inspection using a calibrated wire is a more objective qualitative method but still provides limited information.¹⁶

Regarding how information is collected, the fit accuracy assessment methods can be direct or indirect. Although a direct quantitative assessment can provide higher trueness, it may not be applied to a clinical evaluation (for instance, the gap measurement by microscopy after the cut of the structures) and may involve patient radiation (for instance, the digital measurement after structures digitization by computed tomography techniques) or the match of different scanners by a computer algorithm (for example, the digital measurement after structures digitization by an intra-oral scanner).¹⁷⁻¹⁹

The indirect assessment of the fit accuracy of RPD metal frameworks applied, using silicone molds of the gap between occlusal rest and the respective rest seats, preserved the integrity of the structures and had already been considered by many authors as a reliable technique.^{1,10-12} Compared to other substrates, as acrylic, the addition silicone (V-Posil light Fast set, VOCO) has greater reproduction detail, radio-opacity, resistance, flexibility, and tolerance to taste.^{1,10,12,20}

In the present study, the measurement of the thickness and volume of the silicone specimens was made by micro-CT



No statistically significant differences (p=0.204; Wilcoxon test) were found between groups.

technique due to its advantages to other analog or digital measurement techniques (for example, methods using gauges, microscopes, or scanners), such as specimen preservation, high-resolution power, high precision, simple methodology, specimen digitization by just one scan, and the ability to measure the total thickness and simultaneously the volume of the specimen.^{1,10-12,21-23}

No differences in thickness and volume were found between the two groups of frameworks, so the null hypothesis could not be rejected. These findings are in line with the results of a previous laboratory study,¹ whose authors also did not declare differences in the fit accuracy of RPD metal frameworks produced by a similar CAD-CAM protocol with those produced by a conventional protocol.¹ However, a clinical study¹⁰ demonstrated better results with the conventional protocol compared to the CAD-CAM protocol. These results can be explained by differences in the experimental design and by the different indirect quantitative assessment methods used, namely, evaluating silicone specimens that were cut in slices and measured in specific points by optical microscopy. In the present study, to avoid different distortions, the same master stone cast was used to produce both protocols, in opposition to two master stone casts (one for each protocol) used in the previously mentioned clinical study.¹⁰ In addition, despite the use of the same production equipment (EOSINT M270) in the two studies, different cobalt-chromium alloys were used: instead of Wirebond C+,¹⁰ our study used the EOS SP2, known to create structures of high density, strength, and biocompatibility.⁷

The measurement of the gap volume by application of micro-CT techniques was an innovative approach. Compared to other methods, the evaluation of the gap volume allows a more concrete interpretation of the 3D maladjustment between two structures. However, considering the definition of the segmentation value, despite being a reference in relative measurements, the micro-CT technique requires special attention in absolute measurements.²⁴

Other limitations of this study include the maintenance of the metal framework in the correct oral positioning during the polymerization of the silicone and the stabilization of the silicone molds in the orthodontic wax before the micro-CT digitization. To overcome the difficult standardization of these steps and avoid bias, the same investigator executed these procedures after a period of training and calibration. In addition, the VOI was always selected after the registration to exclude areas where the silicone molds were bent or had a pore.

Notwithstanding the complexity and the obstacles of clinical investigations on this topic, more studies with larger samples are needed to confirm the viability of the proposed CAD-CAM protocol.

Conclusions

Considering the obtained results and the limitations presented, this study concludes that there are no differences in the clinical fit accuracy of RPD metal frameworks between the two production protocols studied. In addition, considering the well-known benefits of digital technology, this research suggests that the proposed CAD-CAM protocol is a viable method for RPD metal framework production. However, further studies with a larger sample and other variables are needed to confirm this suggestion.

Acknowledgments

This research was only possible through the support of the Centre for Rapid and Sustainable Product Development (Project UIDB/04044/2020), VOCO GmbH, and Sineldent[®].

Ethical disclosures

Protection of human and animal subjects. The authors declare that the procedures followed were in accordance with the regulations of the relevant clinical research ethics committee and with those of the Code of Ethics of the World Medical Association (Declaration of Helsinki).

Confidentiality of data. The authors declare that they have followed their work center protocols on access to patient data and for its publication.

Right to privacy and informed consent. The authors have obtained the written informed consent of the patients or subjects mentioned in the article. The corresponding author is in possession of this document.

Conflict of interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

ORCID

Pedro Rodrigues Conceição (D) 0000-0002-3919-7192 Margarida Franco (D) 0000-0001-9659-7646 Nuno Alves (D) 0000-0002-5016-0868 Jaime Portugal (D) 0000-0001-5058-6554 Cristina Bettencourt Neves (D) 0000-0003-4327-1372

REFERENCES

- Bajunaid SO, Altwaim B, Alhassan M, Alammari R. The Fit Accuracy of Removable Partial Denture Metal Frameworks Using Conventional and 3D Printed Techniques: An In Vitro Study. J Contemp Dent Pract. 2019;20:476-81.
- Lang LA, Tulunoglu I. A critically appraised topic review of computer-aided design/computer-aided machining of removable partial denture frameworks. Dent Clin North Am. 2014;58:247-55.
- Bohnenkamp DM. Removable partial dentures clinical concepts. Dent Clin North Am.2014;58:69-89.
- 4. Husain NA, Ozcan M, Schimmel M, Abou-Ayash S. A digital cast-free clinical workflow for oral rehabilitation with removable partial dentures: A dental technique. J Prosthet Dent. 2020;123:680-5.
- Kim SY, Shin SY, Lee JH. Effect of cyclic bend loading on a cobalt-chromium clasp fabricated by direct metal laser sintering. J Prosthet Dent. 2018;119: e1-e7.
- 6. Lee WF, Wang JC, Hsu CY, Peng PW. Microstructure, mechanical properties, and retentive forces of cobaltcrhromium removable partial denture frameworks fabricated by selective laser melting followed by heat treatment. J Prosthet Dent. 2020;21:S0022-3913(20)30566-7.
- 7. Ahmed MF, Abbas FS, Omar SS. Clinical and cytological evaluation of removable partial denture fabricated by selective laser sintering additice prototyping technique. Alex Dent J. 2016;41:42-9.
- Abduo J, Lyons K, Bennamoun M. Trends in computer-aided manufacturing in prosthodontics: a review of th available streams. Int J Dent. 2014; 783948.
- 9. Williams RJ, Bibb R, Rafik T. A technique for fabricating patterns for removable partial denture frameworks using digitized casts and electronic surveying. J Prosthet Dent. 2004;91:85-8.
- 10. Ye H, Ning J, Li M, Niu L, Yang J, Sun Y, et al. Preliminary clinical application of removable partial denture frameworks fabricated using computeraided design and rapid prototyping techniques. Int J Prosthodont. 2017;30:348-53.
- Stern MA, Brudvik JS, Frank RP. Clinical evaluation of removable partial denture rest seat adaptation. J Prosthet Dent. 1985;53:658-62.
- 12. Dunham D, Brudvik JS, Morris WJ, Plummer KD, Cameron SM. A clinical investigation of the fit of removable partial dental prosthesis clasp assemblies. J Prosthet Dent. 2006;95: 323-6.

- 13. Tasaka A, Shimizu T, Kato Y, Okano H, Ida Y, Higuchi S, et al. Accuracy of removable partial denture framework fabricated by casting with a 3D printed pattern and selective laser sintering. J Prosthodont Res. 2020;64:224-30.
- 14. Frank RP, Brudvik JS, Leroux B, Milgrom P, Hawkins N. Relationship between the standards of removable partial denture construction, clinical acceptability, and patient satisfaction. J Prosthet Dent. 2000;83:521-7.
- 15. Tregerman I, Renne W, Kelly A, Wilson D. Evaluation of removable partial denture frameworks fabricated using 3 different techniques. J Prosthet Dent. 2019;122: 390-5.
- Keltjens HM, Mulder J, Käyser AF, Creugers NH. Fit of direct retainers in removable partial dentures after 8. J Oral Rehabil. 1997;24:138-42.
- Arnold C, Hey J, Schweyen R, Setz JM. Accuracy of CAD-CAMfabricated removable partial dentures. J Prosthet Dent. 2018;119:586-92.
- 18. Liedke GS, Spin-Neto R, Vizzotto MB, Silveira PF, Wenzel A, Silveira HE. Diagnostic accuracy of cone beam computed tomography sections with various thicknesses for detecting misfit between the tooth and restoration in metal-restored teeth. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol. 2015;120:131-7.

- Marques DN, Pinto RJ, Alves RV, Baratieri LN, Mata AD, Caramês JM. Soft tissue replication in single unit implant impressions – a three dimensional clinical study. J Esthet Restor Dent. 2019;31:359-68.
- 20. Diwan R, Talic Y, Omar N, Sadiq W. The effect of storage time of removable partial denture wax pattern on the accuracy of fit of the cast framework. J Prosthet Dent. 1997;77:375-81.
- 21. Soltanzadeh P, Suprono MS, Kattadiyil MT, Goodacre C, Gregorius W. An in vitro investigation of accuracy and fit of conventional and CAD/CAM removable partial denture frameworks. J Prosthodont. 2019;: p. 28, 547-55.
- 22. Han SH, Sadr A, Tagami J, Park SH. Non-destructive evaluation of an internal adaptation of resin composite restoration with swept-source optical coherence tomography and micro-CT. Dent Mater. 2016;32:1-7.
- 23. Ram SM, Ranadive NM, Nadgere JB. Microcomputed tomography a noninvasive method to evaluate the fit of a restoration as compared to conventional replica technique. J Indian Prosthodont Soc. 2019;19:233-9.
- 24. Mazonakis M, Damilakis J. Computed tomography: what and how does it measure?. Eur J Radiol. 2016; 85:1499-504.