Surgical role in the management of peritoneal dialysis catheters – evidence and proposals to improve current practices
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INTRODUCTION

New evidence regarding catheter implantation and management has emerged in recent years, which can ameliorate the existing practices, potentially improving peritoneal dialysis (PD) survival.

Mechanical complications are one of the main reasons of dropout among PD patients. In Portugal they are the third cause of PD withdrawal. In 2018 and 2019, an increase in dropout rate for mechanical problems was reported: 17.5% and 15.2% respectively compared to 9.4 -11.3% in the years between 2014 -2017 (data from the Portuguese Registry of Dialysis and Transplantation)1.

Mechanical complications appear to have an increased incidence in the earliest period in PD (first 3 months) with a significant decrease after 1-year, as described by Kolesnyk et al2. This notion reflects the importance of the practices in pre, peri and postoperative periods by a multidisciplinary PD access team. Therefore, the moment of patient evaluation, with catheter selection, determination of insertion and exit-site location, and the selection of placement procedure according to the patient’s history and characteristics should have a dedicated time for an optimal assessment.

Another factor associated with improvement of perioperative adverse effects is a center with great number of catheter implantations, which can lead to a better training and accumulated experience and skills3.

A prompt timing and an appropriate intervention can overcome possible mechanical and infectious problems with catheter salvage, without interrupting PD treatment. Many centers still lack a proper articulation between nephrology and surgical departments, leading to a delay in the resolution of these complications with a consequent temporary transition to hemodialysis (HD), frequently by a central venous catheter. An inadequacy in the timing of response can also be discouraging to PD patients and precipitate a definitive transfer to HD.

In this article, our aim is to show the current available surgical approaches in the implantation of PD catheters, management of mechanical complications, exit-site infections and other abdominal surgical procedures and to reinforce the importance of an established articulation amongst nephrologists and surgeons with institutional pathways.

CATHETER PLACEMENT PROCEDURES

A PD catheter can be inserted by blind techniques – percutaneous needle-guidance technique with or without image guidance (fluoroscopy and/or ultrasonography), peritoneoscopy assisted technique (Y-TEC) or by direct visualization techniques – open surgical dissection, basic and advanced laparoscopy. Advanced laparoscopy adds procedures and skills to overcome the risk of peritoneal catheter dysfunction in more complex patients.

A 2018 meta-analysis that compared, for the first-time, advanced laparoscopy, basic laparoscopy and open dissection showed significant superiority in advanced laparoscopy over the other procedures4. Previous studies did not report significant differences between the various techniques in terms of negative outcomes and catheter survival because patients submitted to basic and advanced were grouped together. This was most likely the flaw that led to inconsistent results5-8.
In fact, in advanced laparoscopy, there was a considerable reduction in negative outcomes: less catheter obstruction, catheter migration, pericircular leak and incisional hernias, and a better catheter survival at 1 and 2-years. This may be explained by the preventive measures taken during the procedure: rectus sheath tunneling – that allows a longer path in the sheath of the rectus muscle, preventing catheter migration, omental wrapping, pericatheter hernias and leaks; selective omentopexy – which consists of omental fixation to the anterior abdominal wall of the upper abdomen in the case of a redundant omentum; adhesiolysis in the presence of previous adhesions, preventing intraperitoneal compartmentalization with incomplete drainage; correction of occult hernias not identified by clinical evaluation, and resection of intraperitoneal structures that could interfere with the catheter (e.g. epiploic appendices of the sigmoid colon).

The 2019 update in the International Society for Peritoneal Dialysis (ISPD) guidelines on the peritoneal dialysis access recommended advanced laparoscopy as the better placement procedure for minimizing the risk of mechanical complications (ISPD level of evidence: 1B). Nevertheless, they maintain the suggestion that the choice of technique should be based on center possibilities, operator expertise and patient characteristics (ISPD level of evidence: not graded). The preference for advanced laparoscopy (first option) and open surgical dissection is also suggested over the other techniques when there is a positive history of previous major surgery or peritonitis.

All insertion methods allow the embedding technique, also known as Moncrief-Popovich, which facilitates a programmed implantation of PD catheters, as occurs with the vascular access for HD. In addition to the numerous potential advantages of catheter embedding (better healing process, needless of break-in period, reduced catheter induced peritonitis, greater patient acceptance) it can also improve the implantation schedule. However, this technique should not be performed if there is a risk of blood accumulation in the peritoneal cavity, when adhesiolysis, omentopexy or hernia correction is needed to enable optimal catheter placement by advanced laparoscopy. In these situations, peritoneal dialysis catheter should be externalised to permit a flushing protocol, reducing the risk of intraluminal clots and adhesion formation.

Another aspect to mention is the necessity of having a PD team with experience with the different types of catheters and the diverse possibilities of exit-site locations. This expertise is particularly difficult in small PD centers, but organizational steps should be taken in order to promote protocols of cooperation with reference centers of peritoneal access management.

Obesity is a worldwide problem and it is important to obtain an easily accessible exit-site location especially in this population of PD patients in order to minimize exit-site and tunnel infections and the nuisance to the patient. To overcome this issue, two-piece extended catheters were created to permit a preternal exit site or other remote locations in upper abdomen and back regions. Other potential candidates to these catheters are patients with stomas, floppy abdominal skin folds, fecal and urinary incontinence, suprapubic catheters and behavior problems. These catheters are composed of one-cuff abdomen catheter joined with a two-cuff extension segment through a titanium connector and are tunneled to the remote location with a tunneling rod. They can be placed by any of the previously described techniques. Although two-piece extended catheter utilization was introduced a long time ago (in 1992, by Twardowski), there is little experience in Portugal. Thus, there is room for improvement in this area.

Additionally, although advanced laparoscopy has proven superior to the other techniques, a proper patient selection for each procedure, taking into account patient history (previous interventions, peritonitis, biotype, tolerance for general anesthesia), center capacity and expertise is of utmost importance. Only by combining these factors can we achieve optimal results.

It must also be taken into account that catheter insertion by nephrologists seems to improve PD incidence, allowing PD catheters to be implanted in time. This avoids long waiting lists induced by tight operating theater schedules and anesthetist’s availability which may discourage potential PD patients and promote dialysis initiation by HD. Therefore, we believe that in the absence of history of abdominopelvic surgeries, abdominal wall hernias or significant obesity, catheter placement by nephrologists should be the first choice of catheter implantation.

Ambulatory surgical procedures have been put into practice and should be more broadly recommended, avoiding the burden of hospital admissions and, therefore, allowing a more cost-efficient intervention, with greater convenience and comfort to patients in the field of peritoneal access implantation, but the majority of hospitals have not promoted this access circuit yet.

In more complex patients, catheter implantation may not be feasible as an outpatient procedure, particularly if immediate induction of intermittent automated peritoneal dialysis is needed. However domiciliary hospitalization for such technical causes might be an organisational resource.

Furthermore, clinicians still lack a “dialysis access corridor” for surgical peritoneal catheter complications that need urgent treatment. That can be achieved by raising hospital administration awareness to this particular issue and by establishing a close articulation with the surgical department of each hospital. If it is verified that this cannot be accomplished, the creation of a peritoneal access center of reference, at a regional level, could be a possibility. This, as previously mentioned, is a measure that can potentially prevent a temporary transition to HD and avoid CVC placement, preserving vascular integrity, and even assure patients’ confidence in PD as a worthwhile renal replacement technique.

- **MECHANICAL COMPLICATIONS**

- **Catheter dysfunction**

Catheter dysfunction is a frequent complication in PD and presents with outflow or inflow-outflow problems. The most common and easily reversible cause of outflow dysfunction is constipation. Other
conditions which frequently lead to one-way dysfunction include bladder distention, catheter migration, extrinsic tissue attachment (omental entrapment, adhesions, uterine tube, epiploic appendices of colon), and compartmentalizing adhesions. Catheter obstruction due to fibrin or blood clots and kinking of the catheter are associated with two-way dysfunction.

The diagnostic and therapy approach should progress from conservative and less invasive to more aggressive interventions (ISPD level of evidence: not graded)\(^{10}\).

A possible model of catheter dysfunction’s management is represented in Figure 1.

**Laparoscopy**

Laparoscopy is currently the gold standard for catheter malfunction treatment should the first measures referred in Figure 1 prove unsuccessful. This technique enables direct visualization of malfunction etiology, allowing the resolution of the problem. Laparoscopy is associated with a higher length of catheter patency with a reported long-term clinical success of 62.5-100%\(^{16-19}\).

It allows patients to immediately restart treatment, using an intermittent dialysis protocol, in supine position, with low volume to prevent abdominal leaks and hernias.

Laparoscopy addresses to the primary cause through many available procedures: omentopexy, adhesiolysis, catheter redirection to a pelvic location, laparoscopic milking of occluded catheters, epiplopectomy, salpingectomy, colopexy\(^{20}\).

In the case of catheter tip migration, this technique also enables a suture sling around the catheter in the lower abdomen to prevent migration recurrence which will not hamper catheter removal in the future\(^{20,21}\). Catheter tip suture to pelvic structures is not advised for
the likelihood of suture erosion and it may make catheter removal when necessary difficult.21

To minimize risk of abdominal leaks a watertight suture closure of all laparoscopic port sites is important.

Laparoscopy has some disadvantages such as requiring general anesthesia and an available operating theater, longer duration of the intervention and higher costs than the other techniques.

In essence, restoring catheter function is of utmost importance to prevent temporary transition to hemodialysis. Therefore, a protocol of urgent intervention must be established in these situations between the nephology and surgery departments.

**Fluoroscopic guidewire manipulation**

Radiological intervention is a minimally invasive approach with a low complication rate but with a reported success rate of 25-67%. Therefore, it frequently requires multiple interventions, potentially discouraging patients from this PD modality.22-26

Guidewire manipulation of swan-neck catheters may be challenging owing to their arc bend shape and could lead to tunnel trauma.21

An analysis of 70 non-functional catheters treated with fluoroscopic manipulation identified two predictors of successful procedure: pelvic location of the catheter tip versus upper abdominal location (73.5 versus 42.9%) and catheters that were initially functional compared with those that failed at exteriorization (75% versus 46.7%)22.

The association between the timing of dysfunction after implantation are described in other reports.23,26-27 Hevia C.27 reported a percentage of 84% unsuccessful procedures in the first 3 months of catheter life.

A strong association between fluoroscopic manipulation failure and previous history of abdominopelvic surgery and peritonitis is also described, implying that the presence of adhesions may be the cause of these outcomes.21,24

These findings may potentially help us select which patients could have a greater chance of success with this technique for a better resource optimization. According to these results, a patient with a catheter tip not far from pelvic location, with a catheter that had some functionality before the dysfunction and without a history of abdominopelvic surgery or peritonitis, could be a more suitable candidate for this technique. Beyond that, this technique is a possible option for patients that cannot go under general anesthesia.

The fluoroscopic guidewire manipulation of peritoneal catheter is a technique rarely performed in Portugal. It could be a way to a faster response to catheter dysfunction resolution in selected patients, despite the lower successful rates comparing to the laparoscopic approach. This might be possible using the available angiography suites already utilized in vascular access management.

**Simultaneous catheter replacement by open surgical technique**

This procedure doesn’t allow the identification of what is causing the malfunction. It’s associated with the high probability of recurrence. In one study only a 37.5% success rate was demonstrated.16

Furthermore, after catheter replacement a resting period is recommended in order to facilitate wound healing to prevent abdominal and pericatheter leaks and hernias. In patients without adequate residual renal function (RRF), it frequently involves transitory transition to hemodialysis.

This technique should be reserved for when laparoscopy is not possible, in the case of patient intolerance to general anesthesia or for logistic reasons.

**Abdominal wall hernias**

PD patients have a higher probability of hernia occurrence than the general population, possibly caused by increased intra-abdominal pressure (IAP) and deteriorated connective tissue.28 These factors also predispose to high recurrence rates.29

Hernias in PD patients should be repaired as early as possible to prevent complications and emergency surgery in the case of incarceration or strangulation.30

Hernia correction in these patients must allow PD resumption in the postoperative period while at the same time minimizing the potential risk of abdominal leaks. Many authors recommend that hernias in PD patients should be repaired using prosthetic material, even the smaller ones.31 Herniorrhaphy should be performed with tension-free techniques.31 As described by Ureña et al the hernial sac must be dissected and inverted without opening it, both in midline as in inguinal hernias.32,33 If the sac is opened, a watertight closure should be made with an absorbable suture.34

After the surgery, IAP should be maintained as low as possible to reduce the risk of complications, by using low volumes, with the patient in supine position, with dry-day and if possible, by automated peritoneal dialysis.32 The timing of PD restart depends on patient’s RRF. Patients with acceptable RRF may withstand discontinuation of treatment for a week, whereas those with low or without RRF must resume PD after hernia repair or be transferred temporarily to hemodialysis for a few weeks.21

**Surgical catheter salvage in infectious complications**

Exit-site infection (ESI) of the peritoneal dialysis catheter remains a significant cause of catheter loss. ESIs are considered chronic when they reappear after a 2-4 week course of adequate antibiotic therapy and are caused by the same agent.33 The major risk of chronic ESI is the development of a catheter-associated peritonitis, if the infecting organisms track along the catheter into the peritoneal cavity. To prevent this complication and consequent catheter removal, many techniques have been developed to eradicate the probable site of bacterial
seeding, the superficial cuff, and/or relocate the exit-site to a non-
colonized area.

According to the ISPD 2019 guidelines, ultrasonography can be
useful in procedure selection. If fluid is not detected around the exter-
nal cuff and infection is caused by poor exit-site, the splicing technique
may resolve chronic ESI. On the other hand, if fluid is detected around
the external cuff, its shaving with cleansing of the affected tissue can
effectively manage the infectious process.

As described below, there are several techniques to address this
problem. In the literature, many distinct ways to perform each tech-
nique are illustrated, some even combining them, such as Fukasawa
that combined shaving procedure with catheter splicing.

In this article we mention only the fundamentals of each
procedure.

Catheter splicing

Catheter splicing consists of replacement of the external infected
part of the catheter by a new segment, joined by a titanium connector.
As mentioned before, this technique is favored when a better exit-site
location is needed to improve the exit-site care, minimizing the risk of
new infections (e.g. to the upper abdomen and chest locations). The
procedure can be performed with local or general anesthesia, depending
on the tunneling extension. It is made with an incision close to or in
the previous insertion site to expose the intercuff segment. The
catheter is split up at this level, conserving a 1.5- to 2-cm segment in
the deep-cuff side. The extension is attached to the stump by a tita-
nium connector. Both catheter ends are tied with prolene stitches
to each other. The external segment is tunneled to the new exit-site,
the wound is closed, and the previous catheter is removed.

Unroofing of the tunnel track and external cuff shaving

This procedure consists of making an elliptical incision around the
exit-site after infiltration of the area with local anesthetic. The incision
is extended to the superficial cuff location. The exit-site skin and inflam-
matory tissue is removed. Then the external cuff is stripped. Many
describe the use of a scalpel, but in our experience, we recommend
the use of a blunt instrument, such as a Kelly curved forceps, to prevent
catheter puncture. The catheter is immobilized in the medial corner
of the incision with sterile adhesive strips for better exit-site cicatrisa-
tion, with the previous external cuff area exteriorized. The incision
heals by secondary intention.

A variation to this technique is the en bloc resection, where the exit-
site skin to the superficial cuff location is removed in a teardrop shape,
that combined shaving procedure with catheter splicing.

Exit-site relocation with external cuff shaving

Initially, the catheter is soaked with chlorhexidine 2% solution for
at least 5 minutes. This procedure is made under local anesthesia. An
incision close to the superficial cuff is made and after the exposition
of the external cuff, it is stripped off, using forceps. After the soaking
period, the catheter is retracted through the cuff incision site and
re-soaked in chlorhexidine for another 5 minutes. After that, a
new exit-site location is created, with the help of a tunneling tool.

This procedure may potentially be beneficial in patients with a
deep and/or distant from the exit-site external cuff. Being minimally
invasive, it reduces the risk of hemorrhage and morbidity of a large
incision healing by secondary intention.

In all techniques maintaining oral antibiotic therapy for a further
2 to 4 weeks after surgery is recommended.

Other abdominal surgical procedures

Laparoscopic approach has become a preferential option with PD
patients in need of abdominal surgery. It’s a less invasive procedure
than open surgery, which leads to lesser abdominal adhesion forma-
tion and better preservation of peritoneum integrity. It involves
smaller incisions, which reduces the risk of complications when PD is
resumed, such as abdominal leakage and hernia formation.

Many case series of laparoscopic cholecystectomies, appendicec-
tomies, nephrectomies, colectomies, and bariatric surgeries have been
published. A review of the literature of laparoscopic surgery in PD
patients published in 2017 concluded that this technique permits
an earlier resumption of PD treatment. Although in most cases it
meant a transitory transition to hemodialysis, many case reports
describe early PD resumption safety in laparoscopic cholecystectomy.
Some aspects may be important for the prevention of postoperative
complications. An adequate suturing of peritoneum, fascia, and
abdominal wall providing a correct seal of the port sites can minimize
complication risk. Another important aspect is PD prescription after
surgery, which must consider lowering intraabdominal pressure as
much as possible, as has been previously described in the manage-
ment of abdominal hernias.

Laparoscopic cholecystectomy may also be performed at the time
of catheter placement, in patients with symptomatic biliary tract dis-
ease, as long as they do not have active infection. This measure
can avoid gallstone-related complications, such as acute cholecystitis,
that can interfere with PD survival.

CONCLUSIONS

We feel there is still a lack of urgency in the resolution of PD
catheter-associated complications, with a transient transference to
HD generally well accepted, even among nephrologists. However, the
prompt salvage of PD catheter for mechanical or infectious complica-
tions has an important role in reducing patient morbidity, financial
burden, and technique dropout. The surgical department plays a fun-
damental role in the management of PD catheter from implantation,
treatment of catheter dysfunction, abdominal wall complications, and
other abdominal interventions. Laparoscopic interventions can poten-
tially deal with abdominal surgery, minimizing the transference to HD.
An established articulation between the nephrology and surgical departments is of utmost importance to implement a successful PD program. The creation of a "dialysis access corridor" is needed for a better management of complex patients and emergent complications not amenable within ambulatory surgery schedules.
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